

4 May 2018 20:18 (lasted 6 minutes)

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/may/04/stormy-daniels-donald-trump-death-s-ex-television#comment-115466693>

Missionary Man by Eurythmics is really great and is fitting to this article.

Here is another fitting song. By Kim Cesarion, really great song and if you understand the significance of the lyrics, then well done.

"I love this life" by Kim Cesarion (lyrics from genius.com)

She had a triple six tattoo  
Kinda scared me for a minute  
She said I really really like you  
Before I knew it I was in it  
She had a white smile, black hair  
Took my hand and led me up the dark stairs  
When I open up my eyes she's on top of me  
Coming in for a bite

[Hook]

Then she stopped and said, I love this life  
But it only last a minute  
And do you love this life?  
Cause if you do  
I promise I can make it last forever  
Forever  
Forever  
Forever

[Verse 2]

Woke up it was daylight  
Window was open, she was missing  
Something came over me last night  
I think I've fallen for her vision  
And now I know I gotta have her  
Even if it means I gotta live forever  
So I find her in the dark  
Open up my heart  
Beg her to be mine

[Hook]

She pulled me in, she said  
I love this life  
But it only last a minute  
And do you love this life?  
Cause if you do  
I promise I can make it last forever  
Forever

Forever  
Forever  
I promise I can make it last forever  
Forever  
Forever  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nf98lhhHSFA>  
Listen to it, the song is GREAT.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

9 March 2018 18:10

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/shortcuts/2018/apr/09/does-queen-deserve-nobel-peace-prize-commonwealth#comment-114535107>

If the media include the film industry, I think an appropriate film is the South African film "The Gods Must Be Crazy".  
Best Regards,  
/Per

9 March 2018 17:53

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/shortcuts/2018/apr/09/does-queen-deserve-nobel-peace-prize-commonwealth#comment-114534537>

A lot of cultures around the world is the trust and bet on one person only.  
The American President can start a preemptive nuclear war alone, as the current legislation in USA looks.  
Muslims trust in Allah.  
Christians trust in Christ.  
Does the UK bet on one person (the richest in the world, the Queen)?  
Best Regards,  
/Per

9 March 2018 17:27 (deleted after 5 minutes)

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/shortcuts/2018/apr/09/does-queen-deserve-nobel-peace-prize-commonwealth#comment-114533507>

"Elizabeth Windsor

@Queen\_UK

19 Nov 2016

Epic hangover."

"Elizabeth Windsor

@Queen\_UK

18 Nov 2016

Having a gin and gin, with a squeeze of gin and a dash of gin. And an ice cube #mixer"

(s: [https://twitter.com/queen\\_uk?lang=en](https://twitter.com/queen_uk?lang=en) )

The queen is an alcoholic?

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 17:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/shortcuts/2018/apr/09/does-queen-deserve-nobel-peace-prize-commonwealth#comment-114532546>

My logic of my posts are easy to follow (anyone doubting this, please look at my previous posts by clicking on my moniker); if you find common sense and straight forward logic accepted by most, hard to follow, that means you have a comprehension problem.

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 16:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/shortcuts/2018/apr/09/does-queen-deserve-nobel-peace-prize-commonwealth#comment-114531798>

If you are the richest person in the world (i.e. most powerful) then you can pull the strings needed to win a Nobel.

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 16:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/shortcuts/2018/apr/09/does-queen-deserve-nobel-peace-prize-commonwealth#comment-114531277>

The queen is the legal owner of one sixth of the worlds non-ocean surface.  
(s: google "the queen land ownership")  
That makes her the richest person in the world?  
As population density just increases, land ownership will become even more valuable?  
Best Regards,  
/Per

9 March 16:22

<https://www.theguardian.com/lifeandstyle/shortcuts/2018/apr/09/no-kidding-goat-meat-ethical-new-lamb-healthy-sustainable-flavour#comment-114530560>

Is GOAT, Greatest Of All Time?  
I'll get my goat...  
Best Regards,  
/Per

8 March 2018 21:06

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/08/uk-living-rooms-have-shrunk-by-a-third-survey-finds#comment-114498818>

Speaking of Nazis, today's news in Daily Mail,  
<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-5590927/Kremlin-taunts-Britain-picture-Englands-1938-football-team-doing-Nazi-salute.html>  
Comment: England football team doing the Nazi salute in May 1938  
At least Sweden have an excuse for supplying iron ore to the Nazis, we would be invaded otherwise.  
Best Regards.  
/Per

8 March 2018 19:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/08/uk-living-rooms-have-shrunk-by-a-third-survey-finds#comment-114495971>

One of the profound things that I have learned in life is that life is imperfect. If you want something good, you always get something else not so good in the baggage.

That does not mean all choices are equally bad. You still have to value and balance each "deal" you get.

For example, if you have a choice between pestilence and cholera, you choose the disease that you are immune to, or the disease that you have access to curing medicines to.

There are still best choices.

If your choice is between a small apartment near to your job, or a larger apartment long away from your job but costing the same, I would choose the small apartment, since I would have less travel time.

It is all about values.

Best Regards,

/Per

8 March 2018 18:31

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/08/uk-living-rooms-have-shrunk-by-a-third-survey-finds#comment-114495061>

All the sensible people with common sense need to unite. I still support Manchester United.

Best Regards,

/Per

8 April 2018 17:49

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/08/uk-living-rooms-have-shrunk-by-a-third-survey-finds#comment-114494061>

London + Birmingham + Manchester = 21 million (metro).

$21/66$  (those cities divided by pop of UK) =  $0.32 = 32\%$ .

Best Regards,

/Per

8 April 2018 17:39

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/08/uk-living-rooms-have-shrunk-by-a-third-survey-finds#comment-114493766>

But those jobs you have as an example, are seasonal.

Why do you think cities are expanding all over the world?

Best Regards,  
/Per

8 April 2018 17:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/08/uk-living-rooms-have-shrunk-by-a-third-survey-finds#comment-114493669>

If you think it is too bothersome to click all your way to the last post, here is a link,  
<http://lotta.website/participation-2015.txt.pdf>  
Let this be an RfC (Request for Comments) and I will answer all interesting (in my opinion) questions.

Best Regards,  
/Per

8 April 2018 17:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/08/uk-living-rooms-have-shrunk-by-a-third-survey-finds#comment-114493169>

The problem is that too many people want to live in cities. This is a natural outcome of Capitalism, since people move where there are jobs.  
The solution is for an artificial incentive to create jobs in the countryside.  
An example of this is LOTTA (Labour Organized Trust Through Agreements), see my very first post in the Guardian.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

8 April 2018 16:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/08/uk-living-rooms-have-shrunk-by-a-third-survey-finds#comment-114491596>

I live in a 45 square meter self-owned apartment in an immigrant dense suburb of Stockholm. People here behave very nicely, immigrants especially, they say "var så god" (which means literally "be so good" and is a standard polite phrase in Swedish when you do a favour for somebody) when they hold up a door at the local mall, and I reply with "Tack" (thank you).

The taxi company Uber lets its drivers rate their customers, our community came up on top as the most nice customers, while the rich suburbs of Lidingö, Djursholm etc came with the worst rating. You do not need to live in a fashionable area with large spaces to be happy.

The important things is that people behave.

I am comfortable here.

Best Regards,

/Per

8 April 2018 11:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/08/thanks-to-bob-dylan-tunes-they-are-a-changin#comment-114483191>

controlledimmigrationparty.org is unregistered.

Split the right wing vote, if you want Labour to win in the FPTP system.

That is the way things work.

Best Regards,

/Per

8 April 2018 11:02

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/08/thanks-to-bob-dylan-tunes-they-are-a-changin#comment-114482560>

Remember, power is sexy.

If you are going to start a new political party, you know what to have as a manifest.

You want free advertising from Americans.

Best Regards,

/Per

8 April 2018 09:19

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/08/thanks-to-bob-dylan-tunes-they-are-a-changin#comment-114479667>

Tunes are 'a changing' indeed.

If you look at the Daily Mail, you can see that Americans have picked sides in the immigration to Europe debate. When American's are passionate about at thing, you know they are that because they have spotted a winner.

They are quite humorous and convincing emotionally.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 April 2018 23:45

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/07/manchester-city-manchester-united-premier-league-match-report#comment-114473351>

For the record, I am now no longer a Manchester City supporter.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 April 2018 22:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/07/manchester-city-manchester-united-premier-league-match-report#comment-114471374>

Victory. How?

Best Regards,

/Per

7 April 2018 20:43

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/07/manchester-city-manchester-united-premier-league-match-report#comment-114469110>

At least I can predict right. I predicted City would lose or draw today's game. Check my history of posts.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 April 2018 20:09



<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/07/manchester-city-manchester-united-premier-league-match-report#comment-114468260>

Comment at Daily Mail LIVE match report.

..

Post by me:

Jesus VS Red Devils. A match from God.

Reply by Seppy:

God played a short time for City but his heart was always at Liverpool.

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sport/football/article-5588881/Man-City-vs-Man-United-LIVE-score-updates-Premier-League-Etihad.html#comments>

Best Regards,

/Per

7 April 2018 16:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/07/global-inequality-tipping-point-2030#comment-114462879>

People are mainly motivated by fears and desires. They work in the direction to mitigate the fears, and at the same time in the direction to realize the desires.

When you have total power, you can,

1. Be relieved of your fears, since you can eliminate threats.
2. Fulfill your desires, since you can make sure, with your total power, that they happen.

It is not rocket science.

Yet many people do not realize this.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 April 2018 16:06

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/07/global-inequality-tipping-point-2030#comment-114461897>

1. Money is power.
2. All is about power.
3. When you have total power, you can have sex with anyone.
4. Including one sided BDSM.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 April 2018 15:49

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/07/global-inequality-tipping-point-2030#comment-114461331>

Did you know that it is easier to earn more money if you already have lots of money. The more money you have, the easier it is to earn more money. Because you can buy information. See the socialism debate that I won against American Kennedy68 on Irish St Patrick's Day (17th March) this year. (click on my name to see history of posts)

Best Regards,

/Per

7 April 2018 15:34

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/07/global-inequality-tipping-point-2030#comment-114460851>

1. Jeremy Corbyn is a Brexiteer.
2. The EU will only continue the accumulated wealth trend.
3. UK has nuclear weapons.
4. That means UK has sovereignty in practice.
5. UK can be an island of social equality in a world of inequality.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 April 2018 15:28

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/apr/07/global-inequality-tipping-point-2030#comment-114460675>

Jeremy Corbyn can "turn the tables".

Best Regards,

/Per

6 April 2018 16:07

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/06/premier-league-10-things-to-look-out-for-this-weekend#comment-114433844>

Point 3) in the list outshines all other points. For a religious take on the Derby with capital D, see the thread by logica1 on the first comment page (sorted by "Newest") in the following link, <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-church-of-england#comments>

Best Regards,  
/Per

6 April 2018 15:29

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/06/roseanne-barr-donald-trump-conners-sitcom#comment-114432280>

Let us hope that ISIS does not do a major terrorist attack right before the election; this could swing the emotions towards Trump, because usually a terrorist attack (or war) favours the incumbent (statistics support this), further such an action would make people believe Trump is right about his anti ISIS rhetoric.

Best Regards,  
/Per

6 April 2018 15:03

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/06/roseanne-barr-donald-trump-conners-sitcom#comment-114431184>

Regarding humour, it is different for different people. As I say, "Taste is like waste, diverse."

Best Regards,  
/Per

6 April 2018 14:55

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/06/roseanne-barr-donald-trump-conners-sitcom#comment-114430798>

Regarding Trump, the showdown is the midterms. If the Democrats wins majority in the Senate and House, they can impeach Trump, for whatever reason; they will get a majority. If Trump is impeached, then he cannot run for 2020.

She cried to the Southern wind  
About a love that was sure to end  
Every dream in her heart was gone  
Heading for a showdown  
Bad dreamer, what's your name?  
Looks like we're riding on the same train  
Looks as though there'll be more pain  
There's gonna be a showdown.  
And it's raining, all over the world  
it's raining, all over the world  
Tonight, the longest night  
She came to me like a friend  
She blew in on the Southern wind  
Now my heart is turned to stone again  
There's gonna be a showdown  
Save me, oh save me  
It's unreal, the suffering  
There's gonna be a showdown  
And it's raining, all over the world  
Raining, all over the world  
Tonight, the longest night  
Raining, raining  
raining, raining  
Raining, all over the world  
Raining, all...  
(s: "Showdown", Electric Light Orchestra)  
Best Regards,  
/Per

6 April 2018 14:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/06/roseanne-barr-donald-trump-conners-sitcom#comment-114429977>

Your comment reminds me of a Beatles song, "A day in the life".

"He blew his mind out in a car  
He didn't notice that the lights had changed"  
Best Regards,  
/Per

6 April 00:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/apr/05/killer-robots-south-korea-university-boycott-artificial-intelligence-hanwha#comment-114409269>

I Trust Artificial Intelligence.

Muwahahaha...

Best Regards,

/Per

5 April 2018 20:43

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/05/stop-brexit-eu-withdrawal-bill#comment-114405589>

The most important "IF" questions, you should find out. The most important "IF" questions is the ones that have the answer "Then I have lost."

You should do everything in your power to prevent such a scenario of those "IF" questions.

Best Regards,

/Per

5 April 2018 20:14

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/05/stop-brexit-eu-withdrawal-bill#comment-114404745>

Tomorrow (as the Indians in America referred to the future) is all about "IF".

- If that happens, what do we do?
- If that happens, will we suffer?
- If that happens, do we have insurance?

In other words, "If we Brexit, will it be better or worse?", or "If we remain, will it be better or worse?"

To answer these questions, you have to be informed, and think. The Internet is an unparalleled option to keep you informed. At night, do the thinking, when all is quiet.

1. Get your real priorities straight.
2. Write them down.
3. Ask questions to yourself.
4. Find out the things you wonder about.

5. Draw conclusions.
6. And remember, everyone has an emotional agenda, even you.

Best Regards,  
/Per

5 April 2018 19:50

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/05/stop-brexit-eu-withdrawal-bill#comment-114404084>

Let us get the priorities straight.

People do not really care about in or out of EU.

What they care about is their well being. The health care. Not to be a victim of crime. To have housing, food on the table and be respected.

The age old question, "When should you be satisfied?" has an answer.

"When you have no pain."

The real Brexit debate is all about if these goals can be delivered in or outside of the EU.

That is really what all is about.

Best Regards,  
/Per

5 April 2018 18:17

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/05/stop-brexit-eu-withdrawal-bill#comment-114401239>

Patriotic Socialism (PS) is for equality and nationalism.

Globalism leads to inequality, proven by 1% empirically.

You can never achieve political consensus in the global electorate without borders.

Nations can.

Best Regards,  
/Per

5 April 2018 17:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/05/stop-brexit-eu-withdrawal-bill#comment-114400381>

quote article "But for remainers to give up on the campaign to stop Brexit would be wrong on principle, and practically ineffective."

I say onto you, remainers, acknowledge the following wisdom.

You can get humiliated by a number of reasons.

1. Wrong bet.
2. Wrong team.
3. You try to kill the wrong person.
4. You do not take life and your duty seriously.

Things that increase your chance of survival.

1. Right bet.
2. Right team.
3. Whiter than white.
4. You take life and duty seriously.
5. You have money to buy you time to adjust and mitigate 1-4 of the points in the previous paragraph.

Best Regards,

/Per

5 April 2018 17:23

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/apr/05/killer-robots-south-korea-university-boycott-artificial-intelligence-hanwha#comment-114398891>

I used to comment on the Telegraph (which used the Disqus system, back then, 2014), and edit of posts were allowed.

I remember particularly once instance were one user abused this feature. He or she replaced all his posts with "???" after I won the debate.

Edits should NOT be allowed.

Best Regards,

/Per

5 April 2018 15:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/apr/05/killer-robots-south-korea-university-boycott-artificial-intelligence-hanwha#comment-114393685>

I lock the AI wife up in a room in the cellar. That is the difference! ;-)

Best Regards,

/Per

5 April 2018 15:10

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/apr/05/killer-robots-south-korea-university-boycott-artificial-intelligence-hanwha#comment-114392319>

Well not from a STD perspective, unless you share you sex robot.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

5 April 2018 14:02

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/apr/05/killer-robots-south-korea-university-boycott-artificial-intelligence-hanwha#comment-114388849>

Make love, not war.  
I would rather prefer that people were more interested in sex robots than killer robots. I guess sex robots could potentially please more than humans, since sex preferences are like preferences in food, very different.  
Taste is like waste, diverse.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

5 April 2018 13:10

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/apr/05/killer-robots-south-korea-university-boycott-artificial-intelligence-hanwha#comment-114386106>

I can see the mafia is a market for AI killer robots. If they are good enough, they are better than hitmen. Are you going to jail a killer robot?  
Best Regards,  
/Per

5 April 2018 02:27

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/04/liverpool-manchester-city-champions-league-quarter-final-first-leg-match-report#comment-114368649>



You really do not like Morgan Freeman, do you? I remember your comments towards him still.

Best Regards,

/Per

5 April 2018 02:14 (whole thread deleted after I won the debate, at around 03:00 BST)

<https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2018/apr/04/how-london-southall-became-little-punjab-#comment-114368525>

Well not necessarily (I know Daily Mail was a Nazi newspaper in the 1930's).

"blames Jews for eating oranges"

"blames Christians for eating oranges"

"blames Englishmen for eating oranges"

these three are basically the same grammar.

Because the "Jews" is such a loaded concept, some people automatically makes it mean "all Jews", but just as saying "Englishmen" meaning 2 or more English in a group of say 20 people at a fruit party, means say 3 English men at the party, saying "Jews" was probably meant as "some of the Jews" during the 1930's and 1940's.

At least that is the way I interpreted it.

Best Regards,

/Per

5 April 2018 02:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/04/liverpool-manchester-city-champions-league-quarter-final-first-leg-match-report#comment-114368398>

In the future AI robot teams will play each other.

Who makes the best AI? Well the answer is that all premium AI will be at maximum intelligence. You see the AI makes a program that is a better AI and so on, until the maximum intelligence has been reached. You see,  $1+1=2$ , is the truth that all premium AI has to live with.

So the future AI robot football matches will be won by the teams that the truth favours.

Would that be AI robot team Liverpool or AI team Manchester City or any other team?

Best Regards,

/Per

5 April 2018 01:39

<https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2018/apr/04/how-london-southall-became-little-punjab-#comment-114368136>

Daily Mail headline snipped: "blames Jews"

It did not say "all Jews". Thus the implication is "some Jews", which is exactly the headline of the BBC.

Best Regards,  
/Per

5 April 2018 01:27

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/04/liverpool-manchester-city-champions-league-quarter-final-first-leg-match-report#comment-114367962> (deleted)

No, but I have seen someone else, famous.

Morgan Freeman. (The man that thinks he is God, not just playing God in the movie "Bruce Almighty", see wikipedia.)

<https://profile.theguardian.com/user/id/13200350?page=1>

Morgan Freeman comments in the Guardian. This is very risky, since you can be humiliated publicly as a commenter. Most celebrities comment only on twitter since there you will not be answered, you can use it as a propaganda channel, unanswered. It is probably him, since he has the name "Morgan\_Freeman" and his picture in the Guardian. If anyone dared to use his name and image, then someone would probably tip him off and that person would be sued, just like Madonna sued someone for having madonna.com and won.

Best Regards,  
/Per

5 April 2018 01:06

<https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2018/apr/04/how-london-southall-became-little-punjab-#comment-114367660>

Do you trust the BBC?

From bbc.com:

"Israel rebukes Poland PM for 'Jewish perpetrators' remark"

(s: <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-43102226> )

A simple google search, that is all, nowadays.

Best Regards,

/Per

5 April 2018 00:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/04/liverpool-manchester-city-champions-league-quarter-final-first-leg-match-report#comment-114367031>

They say that the difference between a genius and a crazy person is a thin line.

Who is the genius in Liverpool?

But I guess modern medicine can turn a crazy person into a genius, nowadays.

If they work.

Best Regards,

/Per

4 April 2018 22:59

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/04/liverpool-manchester-city-champions-league-quarter-final-first-leg-match-report#comment-114364702>

United game is this week, on Saturday.

Best Regards,

/Per

4 April 2018 22:42

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/04/liverpool-manchester-city-champions-league-quarter-final-first-leg-match-report#comment-114364098>

Jesus vs Red Devils on Saturday 7 April 17:30 (BST). I predict Jesus will lose or draw.

Best Regards,

/Per

4 April 2018 22:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/apr/04/liverpool-manchester-city-champions-league-quarter-final-first-leg-match-report#comment-114362557>

I will make a prediction, based on today's performance by City, that City will lose or draw the game on Saturday.

Best Regards,

/Per

4 April 2018 16:01

<https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2018/apr/04/how-london-southall-became-little-punjab-#comment-114353050>

If you wonder why included "disease" in my Easter Statement, it is because the Nazis killed,

1. Jews
2. Romani
3. Gays
4. Schizophrenic

I have references, if you doubt me, that I would gladly share.

Best Regards,

/Per

4 April 2018 15:36

<https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2018/apr/04/how-london-southall-became-little-punjab-#comment-114351978>

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2017/sep/29/right-social-democracy-dying-europe-afd-far-right-germany>

Happy?

None is as blind as those who do not want to see.

Best Regards,

/Per

4 April 2018 15:14

<https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2018/apr/04/how-london-southall-became-little-punjab-#comment-114350924>

So you think my post is silly and boring. Think again. The following from today's Daily Mail.  
How once-far-right views became mainstream in Europe: Warning issued after Poland blames Jews for their own destruction, Hungary declares that Europeans should not 'mix' with Africans and Croatia thanks Argentina for welcoming Nazis

Read more:

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-5575259/Far-right-views-mainstream-Europe.html#ixzz5BiH2XIBj>

Follow us: @MailOnline on Twitter | DailyMail on Facebook

Best Regards,

/Per

4 April 2018 13:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2018/apr/04/how-london-southall-became-little-punjab-#comment-114346099>

London is now a multi-ethnic capital. That is good. All is about good culture, not ethnicity as things look now.

Per's Easter Statement:

"The rules are the same for everyone. Regardless of race, religion, sexual orientation, disease or gender."

I do not believe in quick fixes, like sacrificing somebody innocent to save the multitude, the basic Christian doctrine, or the blaming of the Jews to unite Germany in the 1930's and part of the 1940's.

Best Regards,

/Per

2 April 2018 14:40

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/31/everton-manchester-city-premier-league-match-report#comment-114278198>

You know Madonna sued someone just for having madonna.com and won.

If someone false with the name of Morgan\_Freeman and a picture of the actual Morgan Freeman dared, he or she would be sued. All it requires is the actual Morgan Freeman to be tipped off.

Morgan Freeman is wealthy, and does probably care about his name. Anyone one in the world would have to travel to the USA to face the court.

Best Regards,

/Per

2 April 2018 00:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/01/atheists-logic-easter-faith-belief#comment-114261447>

Magic. What a wonderful word. Let me state a truly right statement.

"With magic, anything logically consistent is possible in the physical world."

Is magic a reality?

In my worldview, yes, since I have witnessed what I believe was due to magic.

God, the Devil, or whatever you want to call him, is subtle.

I believe God works through evolution.

We live in a world, where the laws of physics are a "skin" on top of a truly magical world.

For example, the world we live in \*could\* have been created 6000 years ago with magic. All the fossils with dinosaur remains laid down in the soil, to create the perfect illusion. With magic, a snake could talk. With magic, the biblical flood from rain \*could\* be possible.

I have come across of a proof of the unequivocal existence of an "observer", call him God, the Devil, or whatever, the study of the laws of physics inevitable leads to the conclusion that there is a Divine observer; it is almost as if this Divine observer wanted us to know that he existed, some day, when he defined and realized the laws of physics and the subsequent creation of this world we live in. (s: "Russian Quantum Physics Argument")

Some people say casually, there is no right or wrong.

That is false. There are things that are right or wrong.

For example,

1. Mathematical truths, e.g.  $1+1=2$ .
2. Historical facts, e.g. the Holocaust.
3. Spelling of words, e.g. the spelling of the word "spell".

Best Regards,

/Per

1 April 2018 22:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/01/atheists-logic-easter-faith-belief#comment-114259243>

1. ONLY NOTHING CAN COME OUT OF NOTHING
2. BUT WE HAVE SOMETHING NOW
3. THEREFORE SOMETHING HAS EXISTED FOREVER

(s: religion.org )

Best Regards,

/Per

1 April 2018 22:07

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/01/atheists-logic-easter-faith-belief#comment-114258548>

How do you win over a woman to your religion?

It is not tulips.

Best Regards,

/Per

1 April 2018 21:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/apr/01/atheists-logic-easter-faith-belief#comment-114257542>

See "Russian Quantum Physics Argument". It's on the Internet.

Best Regards,

/Per

1 April 2018 16:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/apr/01/nger-at-firms-bid-to-cash-in-on-rexit-discord-with-emojis#comment-114248381>

No. The Brexit fight is not over. So it would be wrong to say that.

Best Regards,

/Per

1 April 2018 16:08

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-church-of-england#comment-114248063>

Good point. You are a thinking man. But quantum physics observers are with the \*intention\* to observe. The detector is there with the \*intention\* to observe. Read up on quantum physics, e.g. Schrödinger's cat.

Best Regards,  
/Per

1 April 2018 15:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/apr/01/nger-at-firms-bid-to-cash-in-on-rexit-discord-w-ith-emojis#comment-114247225>

The psychology of a joke, and why we laugh, is that there is an element of some truth in it. Remainers, looke at the Brexit emoji, and compare, "Closer but no cigar."

Best Regards,  
/Per

1 April 2018 14:44

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/apr/01/nger-at-firms-bid-to-cash-in-on-rexit-discord-w-ith-emojis#comment-114246070>

You are right. I do not know why google translate before showed "schedule". Now it shows "joke", "jest". Strange.

Best Regards,  
/Per

1 April 2018 14:27

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/apr/01/nger-at-firms-bid-to-cash-in-on-rexit-discord-w-ith-emojis#comment-114245623>

This is an April 1st joke. Reasons?

1. It was published on April 1st (BST).
2. Scherzo Primavera (the author of the article) means Schedule Spring (s: google translate)
3. The emojis are too detailed to be rendered in an actual emoji (too few pixels, too low resolution, e.g. the British flag could never be rendered accurately with today's emojis)

Best Regards,  
/Per



31 March 2018 23:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114227771>

Please use your Share function if you have facebook, and you find this discussion interesting. Another interesting coincidence. Simon Jenkins (the author of this article) and Vernon Jenkins (that I referred to above) have the same surname.

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 23:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114227532>

Did you know that Manchester City has a player called Jesus in their team?

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 23:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114226981>

By the way, I am not a religious nutter, I just got an interest in religious number analysis after I read Vernon Jenkins, MSc, home page.

Google "otherbiblecode" or go to the link below,

<http://www.otherbiblecode.com/>

It is interesting, there truly are some religious significant numbers, like  $666 = 18 \times 37$ .

The first passage in the Bible is  $37 \times 73$ , if you do the analysis, learned from Vernon Jenkins.

In all other cases, it is quite amusing.

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 22:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-curch-of-england#comment-114226614>

See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ark\\_of\\_the\\_Covenant](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ark_of_the_Covenant)

Thus the Ark was a type of chest(er). That the Jews carried with them.

Getting spooky?

The Ark was also according to the old testament, a boat that saved Noah from the floods. Thus the Ark was a saviour.

Jesus is commonly referred to as the saviour.

Best Regards.

/Per

31 March 2018 22:23

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-curch-of-england#comment-114226380>

If Man City win the match, they will arrive at 87 points. Now 8 is very similar to the infinity mark.

Infinity 7, in other words.

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 22:03

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-curch-of-england#comment-114226007>

On 7th of April, Manchester City will play Manchester United. It is a game, where the title for Man City could be won. Now if you add all the letters of "Jesus", according to their alphabetic place (J = 10, E = 5 etc), you get 74. The date is 7/4 2018. 7 is also a very religious number, the perfect number according to the religious Jews. Spooky?

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 21:34

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/31/everton-manchester-city-premier-league-match-report#comment-114225447>

Are you confused? A derby is a game where teams from the same area meet. E.g. Manchester City versus Manchester United.

They play for 6 points, relatively speaking.

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 21:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/31/everton-manchester-city-premier-league-match-report#comment-114225155>

Uncle Sam is another name for America. Freeman is American. Sam is the manager of Everton.

Simple enough?

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 20:58

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/31/everton-manchester-city-premier-league-match-report#comment-114224828>

Look at the table.

Man City 84, 31 games played

Man Utd 68, 31 games played

Liverpool 66 32 games played

If Man City win the derby, they will have 87 points, and Man Utd still 68. 6 games left after the match, 18 points to play for. Now  $87 - 68 = 19$ . For Liverpool, also 18 points to play for, and  $87 - 66 = 21$ .

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 20:25

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/31/everton-manchester-city-premier-league-match-report#comment-114224161>

The swamp seems to be winning all over the world. Vega in American Spanish means swamp. Vegas leads the NHL pacific division. Even though they are newcomers.

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 18:07

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-curch-of-england#comment-114221612>

Third one (that is related to the article by Simon) at Jesus wikipedia talk page, Here are some interesting coincidences. 2015 is "TO" (twentieth letter in the alphabet and fifteenth). History of the world goes TO this point. John 20:15 is the first time Jesus speaks after his death. Revelation chapter 20 has 15 verses, with 20:15 being the most scary text of all in the Bible. Mathews 5:13 speaks of salt losing its power. What does Jesus mean by salt? Probably faith, since this is the most central theme of his teachings. Thus he speaks of losing faith. 5:31 speaks of divorcing your wife, your life partner. Your life partner, true for all humans is this world we live in, thus divorcing this world, the end of the world. Now  $5 \cdot 13 \cdot 31 = 2015$ . Strange coincidences, or is there some hidden meaning? Since the world did not end 2015, GOD MUST BE DEAD. (As Nietzsche said) Per in Sweden (talk) 18:47, 26 March 2018 (UTC) edit Per in Sweden (talk) 18:48, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

See WP:NOTFORUM --NeilN talk to me 18:57, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

I apologize in advance for my bluntness, but is this some kind of joke or are you really serious? Bible code is complete nonsense; if you look hard enough, you can find "codes" or "hidden messages" like this in anything, even a lump of swiss cheese. It is caused by a psychological phenomenon called pareidolia. By the way, you do realize that the chapter and verse numbers we use today are not part of the original Biblical texts, right? They were added in the Early Modern Period for the simple convenience of being able to cite specific passages without quoting them. --Katolophyromai (talk) 19:33, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

My personal theory is that Flying Spaghetti Monster killed God in a cosmic fight. ;- ) Per in Sweden (talk) 19:46, 26 March 2018 (UTC) On a serious note, see Existence of God talk page. Per in Sweden (talk) 19:50, 26 March 2018 (UTC) Indentation added Per in Sweden (talk) 19:51, 26 March 2018 (UTC) As for chapter and verse numbers, it does not matter when they were made, see God controls fate through controlling quantum randomness, thus quantum randomness is not random but controlled by God to suit his plan for the fate of the world. Per in Sweden (talk) 19:58, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 17:57

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114221388>

Second one,

Argument from magic In the news today, you get images and videos that seem very probable as magic. They could be CGI and fake, of course, but not all of them, probably. UFO reports could be reports of magic. The Divine is a perquisite of the Divine magic. Per in Sweden (talk) 00:21, 21 March 2018 (UTC)

For a weak example, look at this video

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-5523581/Remarkable-moment-feisty-rodent-turns-table-cat-s-cares-him.html> Per in Sweden (talk) 14:57, 21 March 2018 (UTC)

Regarding above video, the cat is a symbol for the Devil, throughout medieval history in Europe. Of course, the cat and the rat are opposites, just like the Devil and God. Per in Sweden (talk) 15:19, 21 March 2018 (UTC)

Argument from coincidences In the news today, you get report of amazing coincidences. They could be fake news, of course, but not all of them. This amazing timing hints that something Divine want to teach us something. Thus a high probability of the Divine. Per in Sweden (talk) 00:18, 21 March 2018 (UTC)

1) The first part of your argument is totally irrelevant, because God and magic are completely unrelated things. There is every reason why God could exist and not magic or vice versa. (Indeed, most orthodox Christians today would contend that belief in magic is incompatible with a Biblical worldview.) 2) I can only assume that the second part of your argument is yet another joke, because I do not think any serious person has ever tried to use an internet cat video as evidence for the existence of God, or for any other philosophical question for that matter. 3) This is still original research. All information on Wikipedia must be cited to a reliable source. We cannot just write whatever we feel like. Please refrain from wasting our time with non-serious proposals. There is real work that needs to be done here. --Katolophyromai (talk) 20:19, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

31 March 2018 17:53

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114221305>

Since most people are lazy and do not follow pointers I post the wikipedia articles here.

First one.

Russian Quantum Physics Argument

The double slit experiment is the basis. In this experiment you can for instance send electrons, one at a time through a plate with two slits and then the electrons hit a screen onto which a pattern emerges. The pattern proves that electron are waves. Even if you send one electron at a time. If you

place detectors at the slits to see which one of the two slits the electron pass through, then the pattern on the screen shows that the electrons are now particles. The detectors do not really interfere with the electrons, it is the fact that an observer observes the electrons that causes the electrons to become particles. Now the interesting point is that even if no human observes the data from the detectors, then the electrons will still behave as particles. Thus this proves there exist an observer that is not human. An observer with computation, memory and senses. I.e the divine. This proof is true if you believe the laws of physics are true. Otherwise it is not a proof. Per in Sweden (talk) 21:02, 19 March 2018 (UTC)

Unless you can provide reliable, academic sources making this argument, all your statements above qualify as original research, which is strictly forbidden here at Wikipedia. --Katolophyromai (talk) 20:08, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

Physics links related to double slit experiment (which proves the validity of the physics involved, the rest is just logic)

<http://physicsworld.com/cws/article/news/2015/may/26/do-atoms-going-through-a-double-slit-know-if-they-are-being-observed>

<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/1998/02/980227055013.htm> Per in Sweden (talk) 21:56, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

Neither of these are reliable sources. Furthermore, the source must make the same argument that you are trying to make. We do not allow any kinds of leaps of logic in our articles; if the source does not explicitly say something in the most direct way, we cannot include it here. In any case, we want academic sources, so, in this instance, we need scholarly sources written by reputable theologians. --Katolophyromai (talk) 22:09, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

Not reliable sources? What are you talking about? Peer reviewed quantum physics papers are as reliable as you can get. You want theologians to write science papers? Do not joke, either. Per in Sweden (talk) 22:14, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

These are both science magazines, not academic journals, and I am fairly certain that neither of them are peer-reviewed. In any case, that does not matter, because, even if they are reliable, neither of them say anything at all about the existence of God, which is the subject of this article. (In fact, the word "God" itself does not occur in either of the articles at all.) We need sources explicitly dealing with the subject of God's existence, not just sources you think could be used to argue for his existence.

Regarding your comment about "theologians writing science papers," the existence of God is not a scientific question; it is a philosophical one. Science deals with subjects that can be empirically verified through tests and observation; whereas philosophy deals with more abstract issues, such as "What is truth?", "Is it better to be good or evil?", or "Is there a God?" Theology is a branch of philosophy dealing with the study of the Divine. Naturally, therefore, arguments for the existence of God are going to come from philosophers and theologians, not from scientists, unless the scientist in question happens to also be a philosopher or a theologian. --Katolophyromai (talk) 22:52, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

Are you paranoid? Do you not trust those papers written by reputable authors, in a reputable academic science site. I do not know your background, but for you to doubt these papers is just laughable.

That there exist an observer that is not a human is self-evident from the physics involved and the Russian Quantum Physics Argument. That is not a leap of logic. Then if you call that observer "God", "The very evil Devil" or whatever, does not really matter. The self-evident fact is that there exist an

observer that is not human. An observer must have computational powers, memory and senses, that is self-evident from the very essence of what an observer is. Per in Sweden (talk) 23:07, 26 March 2018 (UTC)

Best Regards,  
/Per

31 March 2018 17:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-curch-of-england#comment-114220608>

Let me tell you something. With magic anything is possible. Is magic real. It seems so. Please the response of a wikipedia moderator (I believe), that refuted my argument that the Divine is a prerequisite of Divine magic, claiming that magic can happen without a God! And they require reliable sources, and they are very strict on this, and they spout such nonsense. Obviously he believed in that magic is a fact, otherwise he would not have made that claim.

Want to read it? Go to wikipedia "Existence of God" talk page.

Best Regards,  
/Per

31 March 2018 02:58

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-curch-of-england#comment-114206088>

I believe Jesus was a historical figure. He could do magic. (One gospel, not included in the Bible, claimed that Jesus while a child turned on of his fellow play mates into a goat). He Resurrected. I do not believe in giant conspiracies. There were many people that claimed Jesus existed and could do highly remarkable things, out of this world.

One crazy man can dream up a story of Jesus, but he will not get other people to believe him, since he will just be regarded as a lunatic. Thus one crazy man will not start a movement of this magnitude.

Note the the Jews never believed that Jesus was the messiah. Even though their holy scripture prophesied a messiah. Thus they would not make up a story of Jesus, since they believed he was not the messiah, if they believed he was the messiah, then things would be different.

Jesus was a big splash in the water, and Christianity was the movement due to the waves this splash made.

People are really passionate about the truth, they are not passionate about a lie.

So only if the Jesus story is true, it would reach such a person cult that Christianity is.

The reason the gospels were written 60-100 years later, was probably that his appearance were such a shock. They did not know what he was. They waited to write it down until proper discussions had been made.

That is my theory.

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 02:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114205716>

Today in the guardian, there is an article that claims that the Pope does not believe in Hell. To answer the question if there is a Hell or not, given that there is a God, and that Christianity hold some truth, let us use logic.

1. God and humans are basically the same character. (Christianity claims humans are made in God's image.)
2. Now if you believe humans are basically good, then there is no Hell.
3. Now if you believe that humans are basically evil (with a good surface) and would be happy to see other fellow humans burn in Hell forever, then there is a Hell.
4. Now which is it?

Best Regards,

/Per

31 March 2018 01:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114205095>

It is Easter Eve. Even by GMT standards.

Time for a song, a beautiful song by Aretha Franklin.

Youtube "Say a little prayer" or click on link on link below.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KtBbyglq37E>

It will ease your mind.

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 23:18



<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114203593>

For those interested, Jesus was crucified 3PM local time, and died 9 hours later. That means he died 00:00.

GMT time is 22:16 right now. That means non-summer time in Israel is 00:16.

So exactly 16 minutes ago some 2000 years ago Jesus died.

I know Easter is different dates every year, and we do not know exactly the year Jesus died.

Still, interesting.

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 22:41

If you study Nazism, you can see that it is an irrational, racist, absurd, incomprehensible religion.

The leaders of the religion Nazism knew they were all going to go to Hell, at the end of the war. What do they do? They try to fool as many as possible into Hell with them, just for the Hell of it.

You can learn a lot by studying history, if you are interested.

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 22:20

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114202467>

Do you have reliable sources for this statement, or is all your original work?

We have to keep some standards here at CiF.

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 20:47

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114200741>

The sources for Pilate's life are an inscription known as the Pilate Stone, which confirms his historicity and establishes his title as prefect; a brief mention by Tacitus; Philo of Alexandria;

Josephus; the four canonical gospels; the Acts of the Apostles; the First Epistle to Timothy; the Gospel of Nicodemus; the Gospel of Marcion; and other apocryphal works

(s: wikipedia)

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 20:36

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114200515>

You don't for instance believe that Pontius Pilate existed?

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pontius\\_Pilate](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pontius_Pilate)

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 20:17

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114200187>

You know what I am?

Strong theist.

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 20:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114199961>

For the purposes of discussion, Richard Dawkins described seven "milestones" on his spectrum of theistic probability:[4]

Strong theist. 100% probability of God. In the words of C.G. Jung: "I do not believe, I know."

De facto theist. Very high probability but short of 100%. "I don't know for certain, but I strongly believe in God and live my life on the assumption that he is there."

Leaning towards theism. Higher than 50% but not very high. "I am very uncertain, but I am inclined to believe in God."

Completely impartial. Exactly 50%. "God's existence and non-existence are exactly equiprobable."

Leaning towards atheism. Lower than 50% but not very low. "I do not know whether God exists but I'm inclined to be skeptical."

De facto atheist. Very low probability, but short of zero. "I don't know for certain but I think God is very improbable, and I live my life on the assumption that he is not there."

Strong atheist. "I know there is no God, with the same conviction as Jung knows there is one."

(s: wikipedia "Existence of God" )

Where are you on this scale?

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 16:40

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114195643>

Have you looked at the "Russian Quantum Physics Argument"?

It is very strong.

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 16:10

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114194700>

Have you looked at the wikipedia pages?

Come back with an argument after you have read it.

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 15:41

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/30/happy-easter-nationalise-churches-c-hurch-of-england#comment-114193787>

Simon refers to the lack of interest in church service (only 16 worshippers for the quarter of the churches).

Simon and many others probably expect the future of Christianity to fade away.

I disagree. The reason is that there exist an unequivocal proof of the Divine. See wikipedia "Existence of God", and do not forget to have a look at the talk page.

When people become aware of that there probably is a Divine reality, people will seek to join religions, Christianity one of them. Thus the number of Christian worshippers will increase, not decrease in the future.

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 02:50

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/29/heck-it-was-productive-new-zealand-employees-try-four-day-week#comment-114178855>

Jesus never came back, so far, as promised. He was Resurrected, but after that he went out of this world. Zombies are walking dead in this world? Jesus is not in this world.

The scripture you quote are dead buried people that come alive again. So they would be "walking dead", zombies.

I do not know what you mean by "chocolate thing".

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 02:24

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/29/heck-it-was-productive-new-zealand-employees-try-four-day-week#comment-114178452>

The free lunch. The promise of sugar candy mountain. (Animal Farm, by George Orwell). Used to fool the gullible.

"You shall eat, or you shall die"

(Lunch 12:30, King James Version)

(I may be misquoting)

Best Regards,

/Per

30 March 2018 02:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/29/heck-it-was-productive-new-zealand-employees-try-four-day-week#comment-114178274>

No. That is not in scripture.

Best Regards,  
/Per

30 March 2018 02:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/29/heck-it-was-productive-new-zealand-employees-try-four-day-week#comment-114178106>

Here is some reasoning on the subject.

1. Jesus died for our sins.
2. Money is the root of all evil.
3. Work gets payed by evil.
4. Ergo Jesus died for us not to work.

Best Regards,  
/Per

30 March 2018 01:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/29/heck-it-was-productive-new-zealand-employees-try-four-day-week#comment-114177949>

Brexit movement, for or against?

Best Regards,  
/Per

30 March 2018 01:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/29/heck-it-was-productive-new-zealand-employees-try-four-day-week#comment-114177786>

It is Good Friday.

Makes you wonder what Jesus opinion would be on this.

God does all the work, and humans are all about pleasure?

Best Regards,  
/Per

30 March 01:02

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/29/heck-it-was-productive-new-zealand-employees-try-four-day-week#comment-114177298>

Time to introduce "Perism".

Perism is defined as a "democratic government owning all large businesses under a free market money oriented society".

That way the ownership of large businesses and therefore the power is under democratic rule.

Medium and small businesses can freely form and remain privately owned.

A free market allows for government businesses to go bankrupt and all their bosses and workers are out of a job due to poor performance. That way the whip is on the bosses of government businesses to perform well. A classic problem in government businesses (who often are politically put there) under socialism, empirically proved.

(s: [www.taxharm.com](http://www.taxharm.com) )

Best Regards,  
/Per

30 March 2018 00:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/29/heck-it-was-productive-new-zealand-employees-try-four-day-week#comment-114177071>

You should calculate the number of man-hours needed for food, clothes, housing and energy. The necessities. It is astoundingly small number. Lots of hours goes to marketing (call centers, advertising, promotional activities etc), weapons production and military, miscellaneous services such as pool cleaning, lawn mowing, house cleaning etc), security (police, guards, computer security etc), speculation (stock market advisers, currency speculators, gambling etc). All that should not be necessary in a good world.

It is all about distribution of wealth in the future.

Best Regards,  
/Per

30 March 2018 00:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/29/heck-it-was-productive-new-zealand-employees-try-four-day-week#comment-114176391>

Less working hours, and socialism is the future. The reason is technological evolution, such as AI and robots.

I had a discussion, that I won, with a user called Kennedy68 (from USA) where I was for socialism, and he against. He tried his hardest, but, as I said I won the debate.

What to see the debate?

Look at my history of comments, on the Irish St Patrick's Day, this year.

Best Regards,

/Per

29 March 2018 19:18 (deleted after several hours)

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/commentisfree/2018/mar/29/the-sky-wont-fall-in-after-brexit-maybe-not-for-you-theresa#comment-114169327>

quote Mr Crace, "I think Brexit is going to deliver a country that will be different," the prime minister said carefully."

Brexit will have a profound effect on the attitude towards immigration.

The reason for the high immigration figures of UK, is because of \*feelings\* of the electorate. And the electorate's feelings decide how the government will rule, since UK is a democracy.

The positive feelings are,

- Foreigners are exotic and a welcome part in UK culture, since it is fun with exotic things.
- Diversity feels good.
- It feels good to be generous.

The negative feelings are,

- Xenophobia feels like racism.
- Being ungenerous feels stingy.
- Mono-culture feels boring.

The reason Brexit will change those feelings is the realization by logic (not feelings) that too many foreigners will change Britain to the worse.

Best Regards,

/Per

29 March 2018 00:33 (comment I replied to got deleted)

It is called nerve gas. Ergo they got gassed.

Best Regards,

/Per

29 March 2018 00:29

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/mar/28/pontius-maybot-fails-to-press-home-advantage-theresa-may-jeremy-corbyn-pmqs#comment-114133351>

I visit RT regularly. They allow comments on many articles. If you are a Nazi, it is perfect for you. Very blatant antisemitic comments are made, and they are not moderated.

I made a comment there,

"I have checked your history of comments. You are a disgusting antisemite."

And people responded by putting my username in ((( )))

I guess the Nazis feel they have the wind with them.

Best Regards,

/Per

29 March 2018 00:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/mar/28/pontius-maybot-fails-to-press-home-advantage-theresa-may-jeremy-corbyn-pmqs#comment-114132740>

You have got to know one thing about human nature. It is all about football teams. Bets and tests (matches).

As an example, Iraq used poison gas in the war with Iran in the 80's. Thousands of Iranians died.

There was no outrage. There was no talk of expelling diplomats from Iraq.

Then two Russians get gassed. TOTAL OUTRAGE. EXPELLING OF DIPLOMATS.

You see it is not the actions themselves that are condemned, but the fact that they were made by the opposing "football team" that causes outrage among the fans.

If you understand this, you have come a long way.

Best Regards,

/Per

28 March 2018 23:45

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/mar/28/pontius-maybot-fails-to-press-home-advantage-theresa-may-jeremy-corbyn-pmqs#comment-114132417>

No. I know.

Best Regards,



/Per

28 March 2018 23:34

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/mar/28/pontius-maybot-fails-to-press-home-advantage-theresa-may-jeremy-corbyn-pmqs#comment-114132143>

JC is your last hope, and he is going for crucifixion on Friday. And there will be no Resurrection on Sunday.

Best Regards,

/Per

28 March 2018 17:44

<https://www.theguardian.com/sport/blog/2018/mar/28/tampergate-david-warner-australia-cricket-ball-tampering-scandal#comment-114122362>

What happened to the saying "It's not cricket", meaning "It's not fair play".

Best Regards,

/Per

28 March 2018 17:32 (deleted within 3 minutes)

<https://www.theguardian.com/sport/blog/2018/mar/28/tampergate-david-warner-australia-cricket-ball-tampering-scandal#comment-114121864>

Dear Marina,

Welcome back. I like your writings, so I have missed you.

If you ever want to dwell into "heavy" topics (not like tampering with cricket balls) go to [godproof.wordpress.com](http://godproof.wordpress.com) . Since it is a wordpress site, you cannot get a virus from that site, so you have no excuse on those grounds not to look at it.

Best Regards,

/Per

28 March 2018 00:45

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/27/england-italy-international-friendly-match-report#comment-114089636>

I said "prediction" (not plural predictions). So you have to pick one of the two.

Best Regards,  
/Per

27 March 2018 23:38

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/27/england-italy-international-friendly-match-report#comment-114088054>

Ok, time for your prediction of winner of FIFA 2018 WC.

The one(s) who gets it right in reply to this post, will be rewarded by a post in the Guardian from me, where I will denote the username with "Proper Prophet".

Best Regards,  
/Per

27 March 2018 23:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/27/england-italy-international-friendly-match-report#comment-114087265>

Still 3 matches.

USA - Paraguay 00:30

Iceland - Peru 01:00

Mexico - Croatia 03:00

Best Regards,  
/Per

27 March 2018 23:07

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/27/england-italy-international-friendly-match-report#comment-114087115>

Is this an advert for Dignitas clinique in Swizerland?

Best Regards,

/Per

27 March 2018 22:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/27/england-italy-international-friendly-match-report#comment-114086634>

If you have any interest for unimportant football matches, today's scores (and others) are here,  
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/sport/football/international-friendlies/scores-fixtures>

Any upsets, in your view?

Best Regards,

/Per

27 March 2018 16:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/mar/27/tony-blair-tells-tories-to-block-brexit-if-they-want-to-avoid-corbyn-government-politics-live#comment-114071242>

I have figured out the meaning of life.

It is not remaining in the EU. It is not having children. It is not even being happy.

It is avoiding ending up in Hell forever.

If you end up in Hell forever, you have basically lost.

Best Regards,

/Per

27 March 2018 15:47

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/mar/27/tony-blair-tells-tories-to-block-brexit-if-they-want-to-avoid-corbyn-government-politics-live#comment-114070362>

Mr Bean is thrifty. He is kind. He is an egoist.

All those are Brexiteer characteristics.

Best Regards,

/Per

27 March 2018 15:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/mar/27/tony-blair-tells-tories-to-block-brex-it-if-they-want-to-avoid-corbyn-government-politics-live#comment-114069580>

Mr Bean (Rowan Atkinson) is a Brexiteer.  
He says, "Lay down your arms, remainers. Resistance is futile."  
Best Regards,  
/Per

27 March 2018 15:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/mar/27/tony-blair-tells-tories-to-block-brex-it-if-they-want-to-avoid-corbyn-government-politics-live#comment-114068234>

Since I figured out that Boris Johnson, Nigel Farage and Arron Banks really were devout remainers (in bright leave clothes), my only conclusion is that "Vote Leave" organization were devout remainers too.  
They broke spending limits to have an excuse for Brexit referendum vote being questioned for its validity. (In case Brexit won)  
Best Regards,  
/Per

27 March 2018 14:29 (13:29 UTC)

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/mar/27/tony-blair-tells-tories-to-block-brex-it-if-they-want-to-avoid-corbyn-government-politics-live#comment-114066028>

It is claimed that "cheating" caused Brexit.

Do you know what is really going on, with regards to Brexit, Trump, Russia, and other events in the world?

Go to wikipedia talk pages "Existence of God" and "Jesus" and view the closed discussions on these talk pages. Expand them, and copy and paste into an email that you send to yourself, for future reference. Talk page discussions are deleted after some time.

Best Regards,

/Per

18 March 2018 12:45

[https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/18/stay-focused-brexiteers-russia-is-not-t  
he-enemy-stewart-lee#comment-113709777](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/18/stay-focused-brexiteers-russia-is-not-t<br/>he-enemy-stewart-lee#comment-113709777)

Well a bored Putin would not be good either.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

18 March 2018 12:41

[https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/18/stay-focused-brexiteers-russia-is-not-t  
he-enemy-stewart-lee#comment-113709589](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/18/stay-focused-brexiteers-russia-is-not-t<br/>he-enemy-stewart-lee#comment-113709589)

I know, but just like in football unexpected things can happen.

Best Regards,  
  
/Per

18 March 2018 12:21

[https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/18/stay-focused-brexiteers-russia-is-not-t  
he-enemy-stewart-lee#comment-113708513](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/18/stay-focused-brexiteers-russia-is-not-t<br/>he-enemy-stewart-lee#comment-113708513)

But the Earth seem to be flat, according to prominent physicists. You see the theory that is gaining traction is that the Universe is a 2D hologram. And 2D is flat. So if the Universe is flat, then the Earth (which is in the Universe) is flat.

Best Regards,  
/Per

18 March 2018 12:11

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/18/stay-focused-brexiteers-russia-is-not-t-he-enemy-stewart-lee#comment-113707970>

When I began reading this, I it seemed surreal to be in the Guardian!

Then came the sentence,

"Christ, I can't keep this forced nonsensical tone going any more, even to provoke the usual online Kremlin gremlin comments."

Ah. I see.

Well Mr Lee, let me continue for you.

Putin is cold. He is sensible. He is safe. He will not start a nuclear war. If any other wins, nuclear war might result (such as an extreme hot headed nationalist). Putin is the safe bet.

So let us hope the polls are right and Putin wins today's election.

This election is special. 7th (the perfect number, religious) Presidential election. On the 18th March 2014 the treaty allowing for Russian takeover of Crimea was signed. 2018 is a special year for Russia, FIFA 2018 WC.

Best Regards,

/Per

18 March 2018 10:56

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/mar/18/brexit-transition-period-plan-splits-mps-select-committee#comment-113703899>

No. I would never vote for them. They are disgusting. Election 9th September 2018 in Sweden. I will vote KD.

Best Regards,

/Per

18 March 2018 10:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/mar/18/brexit-transition-period-plan-splits-mps-select-committee#comment-113702961>

I know Brexit is a like a religion. Our faith is strong. We will not listen to heretics!

Best Regards,

/Per

18 March 2018 10:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/mar/18/brexit-transition-period-plan-splits-mps-select-committee#comment-113701648>

On wards and upwards, to the sunlit uplands with rich green grass for the frolicking and thriving unicorns to graze.

Best Regards,  
/Per

18 March 2018 10:13

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/mar/18/brexit-transition-period-plan-splits-mps-select-committee#comment-113701133>

Instead of a knee-jerk reaction, you should share my comment on facebook.  
That way people will think you are intelligent. And will gain respect among your facebook friends.

Best Regards,  
/Per

18 March 2018 09:56

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/mar/18/brexit-transition-period-plan-splits-mps-select-committee#comment-113700129>

Ok. Let us state the advantages and disadvantages of leave. Let us state the advantages and disadvantages of remain.

I begin.

Advantages of Brexit.

- It is about the future. A secure future. Against power concentration. For UK to have power over the legislation in UK. For people to feel they can more easily influence what happens in the UK. Control over who can live in UK, thus terrorism mitigation.

Disadvantages of remain.

Power concentration. People will feel even more that they cannot influence what happens in their country. The millions that will immigrate to Europe, will under free movement mean they will probably move to UK, since they probably know English.

Best Regards,  
/Per

17 March 2018 06:53

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113660176>

Have a good trip to Sweden. Best wishes. Now I am going to sleep well, time is 07:53.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

17 March 2018 06:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113659804>

About 15% own equity in Sweden. I believe union leaders are elected somehow, but this is an internal process. I have never elected a union leader, as a member.

If you believe that information access is fair and equally available to all, I am afraid you are naive. George Soros made a lot of money by knowing how the UK Pound would go. He knew this probably by contacts in the government. Information not available to all currency speculators.

By the way, where are you situated? I guess you are in the USA somewhere. On the East coast?

17 March 2018 05:54

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113659653>

You do not seem to get it. Power concentration will increase over time. The oligarchs can buy information. This will get them an advantage over time in investment strategies. This will lead to them increasing their share of the companies more and more, at the expense of the smaller investors.

In the 1980's in Sweden the unions of Sweden pressed for something they called löntagarfonder (employees funds). What this basically was to tax the companies, and buy shares of the companies. Can you not see where this would lead to over time? Total ownership of all the companies by the unions.



The same principle with the oligarchs. They will "tax" the smaller investors by making wiser investments over time. Thus they will increase their share of the companies more and more, just like the employees funds. Just like the unions.

The funds were discontinued by the conservative government that came in the 1990's.

The reason these funds were bad was that it was concentrating power to un-elected unions.

Could the Swedes hold elections and the electorate decide who controlled the unions, it could be good.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 March 2018 05:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113659533>

My point of the document I posted was that public ownership will lead to public ownership of a few private citizens, oligarchs.

The choice is between a few private individuals owning everything in a country and the government owning everything. The government can be ousted by the electorate, the few private citizens cannot.

Choose the least evil.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 March 2018 05:28

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113659455>

However if the money is invested in inflation compensated investments, e.g. attractive real estate (where rents, and thus return on investment, rise with inflation), this mitigating factor is diminished.

As you can see from equation (2) if real interest is negative, e.g. natural logarithm of a number less than 1, makes this  $\ln(1+k)$  a negative constant. Thus the power  $P$  decreases exponentially negative tending towards zero as time goes on.

When you compare investments between inflation compensated and no compensation, there can be a big difference. E.g. if you consider a price of real estate at a 5% return on investment, the valuation of the real estate could be  $1/0.05$ , or 20 times the return (This is a rough version of real estate valuation, when return on investment goes towards zero the valuation becomes unrealistic; in real terms you always compare what return you can get at other types of investment, thus even negative returns on investment can be the best choice, if alternatives dictate this). However when comparing this 5% with the interest on a bank account, you need to consider

the inflation. If inflation is 5% then the real currency return on investment compared to bank account is 5% (nominal return on investment at a particular year) + 5% (inflation part that the rents always increase with) = 10%. Over enough time, this is a huge difference between real estate investment and bank account.

All in all, since the power increase is exponential for a certain constant  $k$ , it is clear that with time, the one with largest initial power  $P_0$  and  $k$  in combination according to (2) will gain more yearly at the cost of the others; this is a clear factor in power concentration. To achieve the constant  $k$ , you need a good working system that achieves this. Over a period of 100 years, the difference between 3% and 5% is a factor of 112, thus  $P_0$  can be less than 100 times for the one with 5% increase yearly, and still beat the one with initial  $P_0$  a hundred times larger but with 3% increase.

Effects of power concentration

Now that it is clear that societies tend towards power concentration (at least there is a powerful factor for this), what are the effects of power concentration?

The most obvious one is that more human resources (work, thinking and natural raw materials) are spend towards keeping power of the concentrated power rather than on the welfare of the people in the society, since this shift of spending is directly advantageous and beneficial of those with concentrated power.

In a market economy, one can see this type of spending as resulting in the financial services sector growing large.

In the political landscape one can see resources spent on security and armed internal and external forces. Since loyalty is essential in power preserving, the hiring of friends and family (nepotism common in African states) is essential, and these persons know that their loyalty is really what matters, thus at the cost of skills that are wanted by society to run the society. If they get too good at the skills, and show this, then they naturally become a threat; thus this system self regulating towards poor management in terms of value to the society; however value to the power top is there in terms of loyalty (you know really why you were hired).

What's true in both in the market and political landscape is the paycheck hiring of people to secure the top personal power in a company or party; since power is linked with how you are portrayed, resources are put in the internal and external propaganda department (both to portray a nice surface of the top and to dirty the facade of the threats to the power).

Thus power concentrating leads to less efficiency for the society in terms of living standard.

Personal

Power comes in many shapes and forms, are separate and joined, in patterns all across the world; the child within you will help you become a victim.

17 March 2018 05:26

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113659438>

Let me copy and paste a pdf document that I have written (s: [www.taxharm.com](http://www.taxharm.com) )

As you can see from the document, power concentration is the natural development in Capitalism.

Anyway, here it is. (first part)

Best Regards,

/Per

Power concentration and efficiency

You can assume that each individual has a will to increase power. They may say they are not interested in power, but you can assume this is just a conscious or subconscious facade to reduce risk of being victim of a future power struggle.

This individual will to power is a natural factor of power concentration in society, since you can assume that the more power you have, the easier it is to gain additional power. Similarly, the more money you have the easier it is to gain additional money. The reason is that with a certain power  $P$ , you can utilize a system to use that power  $P$  to gain additional power where the effects of this system is better than proportional to the power  $P$ , over the years. You can see it as an interest (and interest on interest) on a bank account.

Imagine a yearly increase change of  $k \cdot 100$  percent, how is this reflected on the total power after some years?

Mathematically this can be expressed,

$$P(t) = P_0 \cdot (1+k)^t \quad (1)$$

$$dP(t) / dt = P_0 \cdot \ln(1+k) \cdot (1+k)^t \quad (2)$$

where  $P_0$  is a measurable quantity of initial power and is a function of time  $t$ , and  $k$  is a positive constant. In a bank account,  $k$  is the yearly interest and  $t$  is on a yearly scale. If you are not familiar with derivatives, the first part of (2) expresses the rate of change at a particular time. As you can see, the rate of change is exponential.  $\ln$  is the natural logarithm.

If you want to calculate  $k$  when you know  $P_0$  and  $P_{\text{final}}$  and  $t$  years, the interest over the years is,

$$k = e^{(\ln(P_{\text{final}}) - \ln(P_0)) / t} - 1 \quad (3)$$

In reality, you always need to spend outside the gain system (and with a local  $k$  of 100% or 1) and cannot reinvest all gain; the less you spend (and thus rather reinvest the savings into the gain system), the higher the  $k$ . The important part is the average  $k$ , which you get from  $P_{\text{final}}$  and  $P_0$  and time  $t$ .

However, this factor is not the only factor of power in society, making equation (2) less applicable in real life. There are other factors which mitigate this factor (which still is a real factor, though).

Without this mitigation we would see power concentration much more quickly.

Such other factors have lead to unions being created. Such other factors have lead to democracy to be strong force. Such factors have also lead to regulation such as antitrust regulation. Social emotional factors such as "Who do you think you are?", envy, empathy for the weak, disgust for the rich (in money or power) and reasoning factors such as "Is this really in my interest?", "What if I became a victim of power?", "Lets organize us and fight in our own interest." all are mitigating factors.

Inflation is a natural mitigating factor when it comes to money on bank accounts, since inflation can be described as a negative interest of the real worth of money.

17 March 2018 04:55

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113659187>

New systems of organizing society is required to meet the challenges of the future, especially that regarding technology.

The technological evolution we have had in the last 200 years has been phenomenal. It is this technological evolution that is the reason we have such high living standards, not only capitalism (capitalism we had even in middle ages and Jesus' time). The reason food is so cheap, relatively speaking, is because of the productivity gains in these 200 years due to automation (tractors, combines etc) and chemistry (read ammoniumnitrate, artificial fertilizer).

The 99% of the population (not the 1%) care about having a well paid job. They do not really care about who owns the means of production as long as they have their job to go to.

Now if the means of production is mismanaged, as in NK, the population will not have a high living standard. But if it is managed well, regardless if the government owns it or not, there is going to be acceptance of the economic system in place.

Economic freedom to the 99% means you have a job to go to, and are able to make capital investment into shares of companies. So that you can retire early because of your wise and successful investments. Public ownership, as you say. It is popular in Sweden, too. Sweden is on par in being a capitalistic market economy with USA.

Is public ownership of shares in companies allowed in my form of socialism? Yes. It is called "Perism" (s: [www.taxharm.com](http://www.taxharm.com) ) There middle sized and small businesses can be privately owned. They way to become economically independent is most easily done by investing shares in a start-up, and then see it grow. Under Perism it can grow all the way to a middle sized company. Then, after that, it is bought by the government, when it is large. So you do not lose any money as an investor. Perism is really the best of both worlds.

It is the future.

What are the risks of Capitalism, if technological evolution advances even more, which is very likely? Genetic engineering of viruses. I do not want to go into this, but the unregulated market of genetic equipment is very, very dangerous. Imagine a harmful new virus. Imagine a vaccine. You give the vaccine to yourself and your own. Then you let the virus loose. Those that have the vaccine will be alright, but those that don't won't.

AI and Robots. The power from these future technologies is enormous. Why should a few private citizens, un-elected citizens, be in control of such power. Do you not see the danger? Some people are good, some are evil, and some are both good and evil. Some like to harm other people for fun. With power of paid mercenaries weapons, you can realize sick dreams. Fear can control a population. Not only fear of dictator's armies and police. But also private mafia like citizen organisations.

Surveillance is only increasing. When you collect most information available about citizens, you have power. As soon as a oligarch notices a new kid on the block threatening the traditional power, you hire a hit man and pay and/or threat the police to look away. Police officers can also be afraid if you know everything about them, where they live, where they work, who your friends are, where you eat, where you shit etc. You get the drift.

Or are you going to say as the head of board of the transport authority in Sweden had as an excuse this week?

"I was dumb and naive"

Best Regards,

/Per

17 March 2018 03:50

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113658664>

Kennedy is an Irish name, is it not? In that case, happy St Patrick's Day!

Best Regards,

/Per

17 March 2018 03:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113658436>

Democratic socialism is dead? What are you talking about? Bernie Sanders almost got nominated by DNC, were it not for the six coin flips in Iowa. There is a good chance BS would have won the role of POTUS had he been against Trump. BS did declare openly that he was a democratic socialist, and America, the very capitalist America, almost endorsed him.

As I said, socialism historic record is bad. But it was never tried with market economy. Prices are set according to competition between publicly owned companies.

People can be fired, if they do a sloppy job.

Have you heard of RAID (Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks, originally coined). Redundancy is the key to this successful safe hard disk system.

Redundancy should be used in democratic socialism too. Many different competing dishwasher companies. Not just one state owned, as in traditional socialism.

Competition is the key to the success of this futuristic democratic socialism.

Utopia? No. Same world. Just safer alternative, compared to privately owned companies by oligarchs.

Behave with distribution of power as a general principle.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 March 2018 02:57

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113658186>

The trick is to use democratic socialism with market economy. The publicly owned companies can go bankrupt if mismanaged. If the company go bankrupt, the boss will get a bad CV; hence the boss will be motivated to do a good job. Bosses should not be politically appointed. They should be appointed by the board, where unions and workers are part of it, as well as government.

The reason socialism has failed historically is that bosses have been bad and corrupt. Workers have been motivated to do a good job since they get paid for their quality work.

So if you can appoint good bosses and motivate them to do a good job under democratic socialism, it will work.

That is my theory.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 March 2018 02:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113657960>

Let me explain why I am a socialist.

I can see into the future, that's why. Let me share the vision I see.

AI is coming. Robots are coming.

What does that mean?

For example, to make a computer program, you chat with your AI, and the AI makes a first draft of a program. You check it out, and discuss improvements with the AI. That easy.

Same with accounting, administration, phone help desk, engineering, architects, etc, do I need to go on?

So who owns the means of production is going to be even more important than it is today.

Democratic socialism is the same as the democratic government owns the means of production.

Of course small businesses like pizzerias etc, can be privately owned.

If the means of production is privately owned, then all that power is controlled by a few hands. Not in democratic control, like in democratic socialism.

Anyone wishes to discuss this with me. It is Saturday 03:35 AM in Sweden, so I might go to bed soon, so hurry up.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 March 2018 01:45

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/16/trump-cabinet-rich-men-carson-mnuchin#comment-113657362>

Trump is all about money, money money.

Is it not ironic that one of the most beautiful songs about freedom, which is such an American Republican word, was written by a socialist in Sweden, Björn Afzelius. He died 16 February 1999 from lung cancer, 52.

The song is call "Sång om friheten" (Song about freedom)

Here is the text, translated by me.

You are the nicest thing I know

You are the dearest in the world

You are like the stars, like the winds, like the waves, like the birds, like the flowers on the ground

You are my guiding star and friend

You are my faith, my hope, my love

You are my blood and my lungs, my eyes, my shoulders, my hands and my heart

Freedom is your beautiful name

Friendship is your proud mother

Fairness is your brother

Peace your sister

The struggle is your father

The future your responsibility

You are the nicest thing I know

You are the dearest in the world

You are like the stars, like the winds, like the waves, like the birds, like the flowers on the ground

Want to hear the song (which is very beautiful), youtube "sang om friheten" or click link below.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9w1lCmXbIKQ>

See if you can learn some Swedish by following the text in this tab of your browser, and youtube on another (right click on link).

Best Regards,

/Per

17 March 2018 00:38

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113656369>

You said something true. MSM picture, all in all. Have a recommend.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 March 2018 00:31

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113656231>

The problem with this world is that everyone wants a better world, but the masses are not ready to do the work needed. All you have to do in this Internet world is to share stuff on facebook that you feel are true. But you need to do it.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 March 2018 00:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113656051>

I hoped Hillary would win, which is clear in my history of comments, but I like at least one thing Trump is conveying as a message, namely that we should not trust MSM.

The reason is simple, EVERYONE HAS AN EMOTIONAL AGENDA.

Including everyone working for the MSM. Internet and the social media is a blessing.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 23:28

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113654963>

With Trump as POTUS, the only thing you have to do now is put up a few struts and draw a canvas over America, and you got a good circus.

Anyone find this funny?

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 23:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113654702>



Thank God for Bernie Sanders. On this St Patrick's Day in Sweden. And there is a God, I have unequivocal proof. See my history of comments. Or google "tax harm".

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 23:02 (deleted since OP was moderated)

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113654329>

There is a god. I have unequivocal proof. If you are of average intelligence or better, you will understand it.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 22:46

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113653876>

As I said, it is easy to criticize in hindsight.

Option b and c were not even on the table. Option c was more or less impossible should he run as an independent.

Further there were more theoretical options, starting with "Bernie won as an independent..." and "Bernie lost as an independent"

But that is all theory.

In practice at the time there were only two realistic choices.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 22:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113653097>

The proper one is,

"If your aunt had balls, she'd be your uncle."

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 22:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113652678>

In the long term, it is worse to lose your credibility.

But Bernie Sanders is old, maybe he figured he would be too old to run 2020. Maybe he figured this was his last chance.

That said, choosing between two evils, he figured it would be better if Hillary won (and losing his credibility) than Trump won (and retaining his credibility)

Tough choices faces us every now and then. It is easy with hind sight to criticize.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 21:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113652351>

Some people here criticize Bernie Sanders for embracing Hillary Clinton when he lost the primaries.

But he did not have a choice. If he ran as an independent, he would have split the left into two parties. And the Republicans would have won by a landslide. That is how first past the post system works.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 21:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113651530>

I have read quickly 250 of your last comments. You seem to be a smart, sane, sentient patriotic Canadian.

I particularly loved you saying the winning against Nazi Germany was basically a combined effort of Allies and Russia.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 20:34

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113650293>

The reason I support Russia, is that I do not believe in a uni-polar power world. I believe in power distribution, or devolution of power.

It is part of my religion.

Propaganda is so much easier when you have truth on your side.

Best Regards,

/Pe

16 March 2018 20:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113649750>

The FSB or the GRU has bad advisers. The bad advisers are probably a work of the West, that has infiltrated as a strategy. These bad advisers want to give Russia a bad name.

For example, less than a month ago, the Guardian reported that a youtube video of some government member have a go on a luxury yacht with an oligarch. There were escort girls involved. Now there was an agency in Russia that threatened to block the entire youtube if youtube did not remove this video. This is sophistry in work. If youtube had been blocked, then Putin would have lost the election on Sunday. People would be very angry, something that they notice clearly. The do not care about some odd video, but they care about the entire youtube being blocked. Youtube provides lots of amusement and knowledge.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 19:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113649187>

Have you ever listened to a speech by Putin? Have you ever heard him speak his mind? I have (translated). He comes across as educated (he talks German and Swedish) and as having a cause of justice and serving his people. He brought Russia from chaos (in 2000 people were living on food aid from the West) to relative prosperity. Of course people are going to vote for him on Sunday. I would.

Best Regards,  
/Per

16 March 2018 19:31

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113648779>

I remember that. Iowa. Six out of six wins. The chance of that is 1 in 64 or 1.6%. God?

Best Regards,  
/Per

16 March 2018 18:38

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/16/corporate-media-oligarchy-bernie-sanders#comment-113647451>

Dear Bernie Sanders,

I agree that wealth inequality is a major problem. Money is power, and inequality of money is inequality of power. Therefore inequality is the same as power concentration; this seems to be a long term evolution of power and money, since it is easier to make more money if you already have money.

The anti-dote to this is democratic measures to counter act this.

In Britain and USA, you basically have in practice first past the post (FPTP) type of democracy. In Sweden and other parts of Europe we have proportional representation (PR).

I have thought some of which system is the best.

FPTP systems tend to result in majority party governance in power. Thus the party that wins is capable of ruling without compromise of other parties. Another advantage is that you vote in persons that you believe in. Thus this system is more belief in persons. The disadvantage is that new parties have much more difficulty in establishing themselves. This is a disadvantage, since the traditional parties become corrupted with lobbying and donations etc, thus not serving the public. The easy emergence of new parties would counter-act this since they would be a competitive force that forces traditional parties to adjust to the will of the electorate. Another disadvantage is that many parties

like in UK can be unfair. The best way to win in FPTP systems is to start a new party that will split the opposition.

PR has the advantage that traditional parties have to adjust to the emergence of new parties, that can form easily and grow. Thus corruption of parties is much less of an issue. The disadvantage is less powerful governments, they have to form coalitions. Another disadvantage is that you do not vote for persons in parliament, a very good aspect of FPTP systems.

Is there a compromise of the two systems?

Well you could vote for persons, just like in FPTP systems. But the winner does not take all in the constituency. The parliament is still allocated according to PR. The people who will get to parliament could be according to how well they did in each constituency. Either by the number of absolute votes (if you have fairly equal sized constituencies) or by percentages.

For example, imagine 3 equally sized constituencies in a small democracy. Party A wins constituency 1 by 60%. Party B wins constituency 2 by 50%. Party C wins constituency 3 by 40%. The overall PR votes were 30%, 30%, 40% for party A, B, C respectively. Thus in a parliament of 100 seats, they get 30,30,40 seats respectively. Now each party must allocate those seats according to the person votes in each constituency. Since there is so small number of constituencies in this example, they cannot only take the winners, but second place, third place etc.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 March 2018 12:06

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/live/2018/mar/16/champions-league-quarter-finals-draw-live#comment-113630924>

Which team is the only team that has beaten Man City in the EPL this season?

Best Regards,

/Per

15 March 2018 23:25

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/15/donald-trump-admits-made-up-facts-justin-trudeau#comment-113611698>

Oh, very well then. Good that you have no pain, then.

Note that people will believe negative news automatically. They will not believe positive news in the same degree. This is because of evolution of mankind. If you did not believe that a lion ate a villager when this news was presented, you might die. Thus the survival of the fittest made our brains in such a way to automatically believe bad news.

Thus if you present news that Reagan had poisoned a little girl, when he was a teenager, people in general will automatically believe that.

What does it cost them to believe it? Nothing. They feel safe slipping that substance in Reagan's next meal.

Best Regards,  
/Per

15 March 2018 23:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/15/donald-trump-admits-made-up-facts-justin-trudeau#comment-113611345>

I see the risk of politically motivated harmful activities, yes. But I do not believe it. I said "could" be the victim of.

Of course, I do not believe in a conspiracy of chemists, that is your words. It is enough if one chemist get the chemical, to a well motivated small group of people that happen to know which restaurant Reagan and Thatcher eat at, after some research.

To actually convince employees at a restaurant to "help" you need a story. Maybe some fake evidence to be presented that Reagan has done some horrible crime, only your imagination of what that would be could make it believable to you or not.

People are more gullible than you think. Some will believe that without smoke no fire. Thus if you present smoke, they will believe fire, in other words that Reagan is guilty somehow of some horrible crime.

All I am saying is that it is possible.

I know for sure that there are dementia causing substances, I have read New Scientist.

Best Regards,  
/Per

15 March 2018 20:02

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/15/donald-trump-admits-made-up-facts-justin-trudeau#comment-113605885>

I was not thinking of physical pain, more like mental pain, since you seem troubled.

I have read some of your history, there you post

"Did you hear Trump's "speech"? Does he sound more or less demented than Nancy?"

That is quite harsh of you, since Trump risks being poisoned by dementia causing substances.

There are probably a lot of well motivated chemists in the USA, since he is so hated among some. Reagan and Thatcher could be victims of such a plot. The reason would be that their opponents

would want to associate dementia and its subsequent undignifying feeling towards them. (R Reagan did not know that he had been president, towards the end of his life). Thus the electorate would get a bad feeling when they thought of Reagan and Thatcher. That is how feelings work. Further intelligent right wingers would be discouraged to seek the highest office, since they would suspect this, since they are intelligent, and value their health more than serving. Thus only more or less dumb Republicans and Conservatives would want to seek office, thus a more easy task to win elections for their smart (and safe) opponents.

Two birds in one stone throw, in other words.

It's cheating, but that is not a world first anyone has cheated for power purposes. Ever read history of the Roman Empire? Lots of examples there.

Is the world ugly or beautiful to you?

I am well balanced, so I think there is both beauty and ugliness in the world.

Best Regards,

/Per

15 March 2018 18:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/15/donald-trump-admits-made-up-facts-justin-trudeau#comment-113603778>

Are you in pain or not?

Best Regards,

/Per

15 March 2018 18:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/15/donald-trump-admits-made-up-facts-justin-trudeau#comment-113603690>

But there is hope. There is a God. (google "tax harm" for proof)

If there is a God, there probably is an afterlife. Even if we die of nuclear war, we can live in next world.

Heaven and Hell is wasted. But there is a third world.

Best Regards,

/Per

15 March 2018 18:01

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/15/donald-trump-admits-made-up-facts-justin-trudeau#comment-113602042>

Dear Americans,

If there are any voters here eligible for the midterms 2018, remember that regarding Donald Trump, you should learn from history.

If you failed your history lesson in school, let me teach you a little.

When Adolf Hitler realized he had lost the war, what did he do?

Admit defeat, and saving as many Germans as possible (his own people that had vowed loyalty to him) by agreeing to an unconditional surrender?

No. He didn't. He knew he was going to be sentenced to death in coming trials. So he took the last desperate pleasure in having as many people as possible to follow in his death.

Think about that. That is human psychology, human feelings. Hitler was a human. So you can learn how our leaders are, by studying him.

When everything is nice and rosy, our leaders do not show their true colours.

So when things go bad for Donald Trump, his true colours will show. Nuclear war?

Best Regards,

/Per

15 March 2018 16:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/15/donald-trump-admits-made-up-facts-justin-trudeau#comment-113596253>

On Saturday 18 February 2017, Donald Trump made is famous "What happed last night in Swedem..." speech. Now the funny thing was that on the following Monday there were significant riots (complete with burning cars) in an immigrant dense community in Sweden.

This caused lots of people to say, "I do not think Trump is clair-voyant, but..."

The mere suspician that Donald Trump is sent by God, makes lots of people to vote for him. 81 percent of white evangelicals voted for Trump 2016.

Since there is a God (I have the proof), I want to make a statement,

"My oath to God, never to be retracted, witnessed by God, is that I will never agree, in part of full, to a religious contract, even if I sign it, or orally agree to it. Signed, Per Trydell, Kista, Sweden, 15 March 2018."

Best Regards,

/Per

15 March 2018 14:45



<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/15/donald-trump-admits-made-up-facts-justin-trudeau#comment-113591329>

I see something coming... How long will it be until Donald Trump stars in a porn video?

;-)

Best Regards,

/Per

15 March 2018 14:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/15/donald-trump-admits-made-up-facts-justin-trudeau#comment-113589999>

Right now on Swedish television the head of Swedish television is talking about a new phenomena, called deep fake. It is videos made by AI, so that on that video, you can make any person say anything, with video "proof". Google it.

Best Regards,

/Per

15 March 2018 14:14

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/15/donald-trump-admits-made-up-facts-justin-trudeau#comment-113589760>

Slowly but steadily a paraphernalia industry around Trump is building up.

Google "trumpy bear" for an example. Extremely cheesy commercial.

Best Regards,

/Per

14 March 2018 23:23

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/14/finland-happiest-country-world-un-report#comment-113558105>

Last summer (2017) which by the way is the loveliest time of year in Sweden, I was at my niece's birthday party, and we had dinner and talked (we were about 10 people around the table). And we were happy.

I said this.

Imagine you had 2 non-dividable hard candies and three children.

In Sweden, none of the children would get candy.

In France, the two most behaving children would get candy.

In USA, the children would compete for the candy.

In Italy, the children arguing most passionately for their sake would get the candy.

In UK, a lottery would give two of the children the candy.

One of the teenage girls said, the Swedish way is the most fair, to which I replied, but then you are Swedish, again.

Then she asked me, why would they do lottery in UK? To which I replied, since UK is very much like Sweden, they want to be as fair as possible (they do not want to blatantly favour some children), yet still be able to give the children candy.

Best Regards,

/Per

14 March 2018 22:59

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/14/finland-happiest-country-world-un-report#comment-113557198>

I live in Sweden, and I am fairly content. But then again I am easily pleased. A glass of tap water gets me satisfied. The standard double hamburger with lettuce, rhode island dressing and bacon gets me satisfied. I sleep well, so I am satisfied in the morning, a good start of the day. Working Internet gets me satisfied. A few extras every now and then gets me satisfied, like today evening I am drinking a glass of Laphroaig with spring water and no ice.

Best Regards,

/Per

14 March 2018 22:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/14/barcelona-chelsea-champions-league-last-16-second-leg-match-report#comment-113555356>

Pi day (3/14)

- Einstein born

- Hawking dies

- Barcelona beat Chelsea

Best Regards,

/Per

14 March 2018 21:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/14/finland-happiest-country-world-un-report#comment-113552434>

Tell me the best song in the world, in your view. So that I can tell who your are.  
My view is that "Lying Eyes" by Eagles is the best song in the world.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

14 March 2018 20:17

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/14/finland-happiest-country-world-un-report#comment-113550069>

What are the foundation for happiness?

1. Free from pain and serious disease.
2. Good social relations. Feeling wanted for your contributions.
3. Feeling that you have power in your life, that you can control your life.
4. Material wealth above a certain threshold.
5. Low crime.
6. Feeling that there is fairness and good governance in courts and government.
7. Pride of belonging to a blessed nation.
8. Music.
9. Humour.
10. Positive feelings like sex, touch and gentle words.

In other words, Brexit is right for UK.

Best Regards,  
/Per

13 March 2018 23:16

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/13/manchester-united-sevilla-champions-league-match-report#comment-113499720>

Power football by Sevilla, Roma.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

13 March 2018 18:56 (deleted after hours)

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/13/rex-tillerson-fired-hapless-hopeless-tragic#comment-113491206>

Failed politicians like Rex have an excuse.

They could learn from Rolf Annerberg (former head of board for Transport authority in Sweden), who was questioned today in Sweden. His excuse was that "I was both dumb and naive."

I am waiting for the politicians in Sweden to have the same excuse when Sweden has become a Sharia state, due to reckless immigration policies.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 March 2018 14:45

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/12/theresa-may-brexit-calais-business#comment-113481850>

I am a programmer by profession. I practice "spaghetti" programming (lots of goto statements). It is not recommended. Unstructured and not beautiful. But it works.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 March 2018 14:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/12/theresa-may-brexit-calais-business#comment-113480124>

Telegraph leadership is like Boris Johnson, profound remainers in leaver clothing.

This became clear when they disabled their comments when Brexiteer comments became too popular and common for them to stomach.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 March 2018 14:07

Serious.

I find that ordering comments after upvotes, does not give me any help. Top comments is normally just waffle. If it is not waffle, it is platitudes. Top comments is rarely deep with a profound understanding.

That is what I am looking for. Quality.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 March 2018 13:57

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/12/theresa-may-brexit-calais-business#comment-113479255>

The 350 a week was obviously about the future, when Brexit is in effect. Already in the news that I have read, the EU has made clear that the EU rebate to UK will not be in effect anymore soon. 350 times 52 is 18200m or 18.2 bn. Without the rebate that would probably be close to the sum UK pays to the EU if remain had won.

And do not tell me that money goes back to the UK, that does not count. Imagine a house community you pay to and then they say that you have to deduct the money that they spend on your house you have to deduct from the fee. Well money that you do not control the spending on is not your money, so you cannot deduct that. The community decides to paint (and pay for it) your house red, a colour you hate.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 March 2018 13:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/12/theresa-may-brexit-calais-business#comment-113477740>

I would like to study remainerism.

Who are the most potent remainers here, with the best arguments?

Just respond with a list of names.

If you have a link to their home on the guardian, that would be great.

Thanks in advance, if you post a complying reply.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 March 2018 12:42

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/12/theresa-may-brexit-calais-business#comment-113474364>

From what I understand, all politicians in Westminster made it their duty to honour the result, regardless of the outcome, prior to the referendum on 23 June 2016. Thus it was more than advisory.

From there your argument goes downhill steep. Crooked? Defranchising, what franchise was given and to whom?

Best Regards,

/Per

13 March 2018 12:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/12/theresa-may-brexit-calais-business#comment-113473098>

Let me educate you remainers a little bit.

When you have placed your marker on the roulette table and the ball falls on the wrong number, you cannot take back your bet; you have to accept that you lost that bet.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 March 2018 12:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/12/theresa-may-brexit-calais-business#comment-113472388>

Dear ParisBrit,

Well God's personal taste seems to be that there should be no easy choices. You should not judge a book by its cover.

There has to be a balance. If a wise man (or woman) appears, then that wise man (or woman) has to have low status, despised even. If a superstar with high status was wise, then that would be a too easy choice for God's taste. God wants every man and woman to think for themselves, to trust their own little calculator.

Look at the Jesus example. He was despised at the time, and therefore it was possible to crucify him even though he committed no crime.

I kind of agree with God on this. There should be no easy choices. You cannot just follow what everyone else does.

Every person has to think and feel for himself or herself, what the apparent face facts are about Brexit.

Best Regards,  
/Per

12 March 2018 21:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/mar/12/theresa-may-brexit-calais-business#comment-113448022>

Dear Guardian readers,

I have something to admit. I may have caused Brexit, and I may have caused Trump's election. Brexit I wanted, but Trump I did not.

I figured, get a person in UK that has a lot of listeners, and many listens to, for being with sane and pleasant opinions.

I figured my target would be Jeremy Vine, of BBC 2. So I set up to make sure he would vote leave.

Then during his radio shows, his alligence to leave would show through his voice, his emotions, when he talked to people on the Brexit matter in his show.

It worked.

The following is what I sent to him.

---

13 Apr 2016

Dear Jeremy,

Here is a rant for leaving.

There are basically two major opposing philosophies of how the world should be. One is like the sea. Global community without borders. The other is like the human body, made of distinct cells. A collection of nations with borders. Mind you, both ways work. The sea works. The human body works.

I prefer the nation model with distinct border controls. This is a slower, developmentwise, but safer model. My biggest argument is that we do not need really super fast devolopment, but rather a safer world.

With borders come immigration control and therefore control of spread of malign cultures, diseases and goods and services.

Every day a new business idea pops up. Threat people or harm people to earn money or power influence, with every more sophisticated variations of this basic scheme. These malign culture innovations spread like cancer without borders. Borders control these initiatives from spreading quickly globally.

For example, a business idea by someone in a 3rd world country might be to commit crime in the UK by travelling there. If you get caught you enjoy prison with free food, accomodation and TV, if you don't get caught you live off your crime. Either of these options is better than starving in a 3rd world country.

Power concentration is bad. With open borders, fanatics with a strong belief core, can monopolise power by spreading globally. Thus open borders encourage power concentration in the long time. What about Britain leaving, will Britain manage on its own?

Two world wars won without EU membership. An empire created on British skill and talent on its own. Most of the time in British history Britain has managed well without the EU.

If Britain succeeds, then the recipe for success can be copied by other nations in the world, 3rd world countries can do well by just inspiration of British institutions, British culture and British law and order. Much better than foreign aid. Remember Britain became an industrialised nation without foreign aid.

Economy is dependent on 3 things. 1. Initiatives by individuals or existing companies. 2. Finance of these initiatives by investors or own company money who believe in these initiatives. 3. Law and order so that financiers can have trust that they will get a return of capital in their investment.

Imagine a future internet service. A database of business ideas, new as well as old, sent in by the public for a reward if an actual business is created or the business idea is used by an existing company. Anyone can be inspired by this database and start a business, and pay the business idea contributor a share of future profits. The public can see videos, read presentations by these prospective businesses. Existing businesses can be inspired by this database, as well.

There is a way to do it alone, you know.

Best Regards,

/Per

PS. Enjoying your show right now from Stockholm, Sweden via internet. DS

---

Regarding Trump, I wanted Hillary Clinton to win, just like Trump, so the following I sent to the Democrats in 2015.

A week later, Trump said something along the lines in the beginning of December 2015 (6th or 7th), "Donald J Trump calls for a total and complete bad on Muslims entering the United States, until our representatives have figured out what the Hell is going on."

This statement got a lot of publicity, and probably stroke a chord in the USA voter base.

The following I sent.

---

To Mrs Hillary Clinton,

You only have to say one sentence to win the election against possible Mr Trump.

"We here in the USA are a Christian nation, we do not want to turn it into a Muslim nation due to a never ending flood of Muslim immigrants."

Test the sentence on a select test group.

Best Regards,

/Per

---

Enjoy!

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 23:16



<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113402182>

Donald Trump. What can you say. He is a proven liar.

I would like to say something, Donald.

Ordinary citizens, with limited logic resources, are faced every day with choices. Some of them become life changing choices. We have a free will. Let me prove that.

Imagine you got a script from God, telling the events of the future. There it said every choice that you would make. It said, on eleventh of March, 2018, you would eat chicken for dinner. You read it. Then you decided, no I will eat fish for dinner. See how easy it was. You have a free will.

How, then, should ordinary citizens use their free will to make choices. Well, you have to know what is going on. How do we know what is going on? Well you can read the world, and analyze it. The world is full of traps, deceptions. By smart people. That want to fool you, and gain advantages for themselves.

But the ordinary citizen has limited logic capability. So difficult to analyze the world, the deceptive world. So you have to trust somebody.

To speak the truth.

Will somebody speak the truth, please?

No.

What to do?

Well you will have to use that God damn logic, anyway. The limited logic resources. The mini calculator.

What do I know?

1. All is about power.
2. God exists.
3. This translates to that power in this limited life world is insignificant, what matters is eternal power.
4. Why do we want power? So that we do not suffer. From people whose idea of fun is to hurt.
5. How do we get enough power? We organize. We think. We learn. We are diligent.

Liars come in two forms. Obvious and sophisticated. One is dangerous, one is not so dangerous.

Sometimes you are a life long supporter of a football club. Then the club betrays your values. Then your only choice is to leave that club and support another. That simple it is.

Motivation is everything. If you figure out what motivates your target, then you own that target. You control it.

The subconscious controls our emotions. And our emotions rule us. Sometimes you conscious and subconscious have opposite goals. Your subconscious rules, if that is the case.

Well that was some of the things I know, for now.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 18:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113394169>

I saved you on my guardian intelligence file on my computer, this is an extract from my file (since I am an open person, I reveal it)

UnstableGenius

Joined 22 January 2018 (First post 11 March 2018, it seems)

Trump supporter, probably American

Seems knowledgeable, humorous

Find this person:

<https://profile.theguardian.com/user/id/18502304?page=1>

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 18:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113393190>

The point of reading the comments section, is to read a lot, and find the golden nuggets.

Once you have found a golden nugget, click on the user name to see if you have found a streak of golden nuggets in the river bed.

Remember the golden nuggets.

Then at the dinner parties of friends and family you can tell them (and say that you have figured out them on your own), thus you will be respected for your intelligence.

If you do this program, you will gain respect and love in your close relationships. Even sex with your partner will be greater, since sex enjoyment is all about the brain.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 17:53

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113393014>

If you are an American reading this, please recommend the guardian to friends and family.

You see, the point of the comments section is to be an anti-dote to all bad news in the world.

The one's you recommend it to, will be grateful, believe me.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 17:39

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113392658>

If you tell a big enough lie and tell it frequently enough, it will be believed.

Read more at: [https://www.brainyquote.com/quotes/adolf\\_hitler\\_385028](https://www.brainyquote.com/quotes/adolf_hitler_385028)

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 17:14

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113391974>

By "your type" I mean people that speak from authority, that have an emotional agenda. You may be a doctor, and you may speak using that fact, to emphasize that you should be listened to more than the average bloke. That is completely natural, I would too, if I had a PhD.

"Rev. Dr." use their title too to get followers, but they too have an agenda.

I believe people in general do not use logic, but rely on associations. Thus speaking from authority works. To win elections. To win a career move. To win in general.

But the problem is, that if certain people win (like Hitler), everyone else loses. Since fascists torture for fun. That is their goal of power, to have fun.

There were plenty of "educated, informed and experienced" people that supported the Nazis and Adolf Hitler in Germany during 1930's and 1940's.

Once you have figured out that your authority is in for a Jonestown koolaid, you listen more to somebody who speaks common sense and is pedagogic.

I trust somebody.

Myself.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 16:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113391244>

All people have emotions. Thus all people have an emotional agenda that drives your arguing.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

11 March 2018 16:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113390838>

Regarding the "Best Regards /Per".  
If you write good posts, it is an asset.  
If you write awful posts, it is a liability.  
It is there for people to associate my posts with my name. Since most people are not aware of who is posting, unless you do like me, force them to read my name.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

11 March 2018 16:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113390440>

What people must realize that all people have an emotional agenda. It influences all your arguments.  
Experts have an agenda.  
Those that hate Trump (or love) have an emotional agenda.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

11 March 2018 16:07

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113390065>

We have got your type in Sweden too. Professionals in mental health called out in a major news paper in Sweden that Donald Trump was diagnosed as mentally ill. (My patients have the same condition) (s: [www.aftonbladet.se](http://www.aftonbladet.se) )  
You speak from authority.

I speak from common sense.

People have realized that experts have an agenda, like everyone else. Emotional agenda, that is.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 15:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113389579>

As far as the nerve gas poison incident with Mr Skripal, if the Russians did it, it is a clear propaganda failure. They might get boycotted at FIFA 2018 WC.

They have advisers that are their enemies of Russia. The West have this problem too.

Some advisers asked for a censoring of youtube. That would have caused Putin's loss at the election on the 18th.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 15:39

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113389358>

Why do you think heroin became illegal, as opposed to cigarettes or alcohol. There is a reason it is still illegal, it is because its users cannot hold a job as junkies.

Educate yourself.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 15:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113389200>

Another lie.

Some Swedish stand-up comedy artists are originators of the in Sweden famous club called SUCK (Stand-Up Comedy Klubben), suck means sigh in Swedish.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 15:25

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113389015>

Well if it was humour, you should have put up a smiley at the end. Otherwise it can be taken for fact. In fact the Swedish king, Carl XVI Gustaf, said "Kära Örebroare" (Dear Örebroare) when he was in Arboga. It was all over that news.

Now that is a fact, unlike your lie that you just admitted to.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 15:11

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113388656>

I googled "its great to be in philadelphia again pittsburgh trump"

No news of this. If it happened it would be front page news of MSM.

Are you a liar?

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 15:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113388378>

But that is the essence of a heroin addict. They are junkies, they do not perform as expected at work. They are unreliable and dangerous; imagine a machine operator that is high; they would be dangerous.

The stigma of the junkie will not disappear just because they can get the drugs legally.

Place the shops in the wilderness, far out from civilization. Then the junkies will be concentrated away from normal people. Government could build project housing at these remote places.

Everybody happy and the problem is mitigated.

Think about it.

It is a perfect solution, if you do the details correct.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

11 March 2018 14:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113388125>

Well there is a difference in being an addict to different things. You have to make that distinction. All else is fascist.  
There is a difference in being addicted to the Internet and heroin, would you not say?  
Best Regards,  
/Per

11 March 2018 14:40

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113387745>

Try telling that to your employer. If I was an employer and found out that my employee was an heroin addict, I would immediately call the trade union and get them to agree for him or her being fired.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

11 March 2018 14:30

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113387449>

How about a solution to the drugs problem?

1. Make it illegal to sell drugs, except for government to sell drugs at government monopoly shops.
2. To dissuade normal people from using drugs, the government asks for identification and publishes all drug users on the Internet.

Best Regards,  
/Per

11 March 2018 14:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113386504>

What does Conor Lamb say to the sheeple?

-Baa

What does the sheeple respond with?

-Baa

;-)

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 13:43

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113385924>

Is Lamb part of the sheeple collective?

;-)

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 13:02

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113384626>

Ok, keep telling on the comments sections of the world, that Donald Trump is mentally insane. Just keep on nagging.

After all, Hitler said that "If you tell a lie big enough, and often enough, it will become a truth."

That is how feelings work. So keep up the good work.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 12:17



<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113382870>

Well in Sweden today, you can get medical cannabis prescribed if you have a certain disease. On television, one user said that he had terrible back pain, and traditional pain relievers did not work, then he tried cannabis, and it changed his life for the better completely.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 12:01

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113382234>

Well I hope he does not extend the death penalty to drug possession. Then, if you are tired of your wife, and she is rich, and you want to inherit her wealth, you could plant drugs in your wife's handbag, and then tip off the police.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 March 2018 11:43

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/11/donald-trump-advocates-death-penalty-for-drug-dealers-in-rambling-speech#comment-113381379>

Death penalty for drug dealing? Well I sincerely hope future legislation distinguishes between drugs and drugs. Cannabis does not kill, and it helps relieve terrible pain, several studies have indicated.

Best Regards,

/Per

10 March 2018 18:58

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/10/manchester-united-liverpool-premier-league-match-report#comment-113354930>

I am not saying that supporting City is the same thing as voting Tory.

I am talking about what the clubs represent.

City have a big ship on its club shield. (United has a small ship). Ships represent business and trade, a right wing trait.

City used to have a bird of prey in its shield; birds of prey is not about solidarity and helping the weak (left wing), it is a symbol of individual struggle and entrepreneurship. (right wing)

United has a Devil as a symbol. A left wing symbol. (Since Jesus said in MATT 25:41, that the Devil and those on his left shall burn in Hell forever)

Best Regards,

/Per

10 March 2018 18:15

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/10/manchester-united-liverpool-premier-league-match-report#comment-113353560>

Well I am in Europe. In Europe, red has always been left. Blue has always been right. What colour is Chinese flag? What colour was the flag of USSR?

I do not know why Republicans are red and Democrats are blue, but they are out of line as far as symbolic meaning of colours.

Best Regards,

/Per

10 March 2018 18:01

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/10/manchester-united-liverpool-premier-league-match-report#comment-113353157>

Manchester City's colour is blue. Right wing.

Manchester United's colour is red. Left wing.

Best Regards,

/Per

10 March 2018 17:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/10/manchester-united-liverpool-premier-league-match-report#comment-113352373>

Well I declared my support for City first in 2014 in the telegraph disqus forums. Then I declared my support for both teams on guardian public forum 3rd December 2017. As you can see on the following link (which has an interesting fact to consider, so click on it).

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2017/dec/03/manchester-city-west-ham-united-premier-league-match-report#comment-109145503>

As I have said on the telegraph disqus forum before, Manchester United is the workers football club, left wing. Manchester City is the entrepreneurs football club, right wing.

Since I am both right wing and left wing, I can support both clubs with credibility.

Best Regards,

/Per

10 March 2018 16:10

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/10/manchester-united-liverpool-premier-league-match-report#comment-113349979>

I am a Manchester United (and City, too, strangely) supporter. You are the one that is bricking it?

Best Regards,

/Per

10 March 2018 16:01

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/10/manchester-united-liverpool-premier-league-match-report#comment-113349704>

Liverpool only team that has beaten Manchester City this season.

Best Regards,

/Per

10 March 2018 15:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/mar/10/manchester-united-liverpool-premier-league-match-report#comment-113349232>

Jesus H Christ in Hellfire, Manchester United won over Liverpool! What does this say about the state of the world? Since I am superstitious, I believe this result has some significance in telling us what is going on.

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 12:49

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/09/trump-kim-jong-un-believe-winning-risks-epic-analysis#comment-113310537>

A successful politicians, like Donald Trump, must know by heart two things.

1. Rose. (You must smell good to your supporters)
2. Ruse. (You must be able to fool your opponents)

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 12:28

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/09/trump-kim-jong-un-believe-winning-risks-epic-analysis#comment-113309388>

An example of a stupid boss, who have admitted on Swedish television that he is of low intelligence, is the late Ingvar Kamprad, who was CEO of one of the most successful large private corporations in the world, IKEA.

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 11:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/09/trump-kim-jong-un-believe-winning-risks-epic-analysis#comment-113307468>

I do not know if meeting Kim is stupid or not. There are risks in going to NK.

But then again, I took on the impossible task of arguing that large corporations should have a stupid CEO, and I succeeded in my arguing. I even got a prominent experienced Swedish management consultant since the 1980's (Bert Johansson, club chief of Stockholm Golf Club) to admit that you could have a stupid boss, after he read my report.

If you want to read the report, go to [www.taxharm.com](http://www.taxharm.com) .

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 10:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/09/trump-kim-jong-un-believe-winning-risks-epic-analysis#comment-113304692>

I have heard speculations that Thatcher and Reagan were possibly administered dementia causing substances.

The reason, as I heard it, was to cause a general undignified association to those two, that is how feelings work.

Further it would prevent intelligent right wingers to seek the highest office, since they would suspect such a thing.

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 10:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/mar/09/trump-kim-jong-un-believe-winning-risks-epic-analysis#comment-113303644>

I do not like Donald Trump, but you have to give credit where credit is due.

Further it takes some bravery from Trump to physically meet Kim, I mean he is crazy enough to try to poison Trump with some substance that is hard to detect and shows definitive evidence of poisoning, like a cancer causing virus.

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 04:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/08/chinese-exports-trade-wars-trump-tariffs-ecb-mario-draghi-business-live#comment-113294007>

Over time, the worth imports and exports have to balance. Unless the country borrows money. Unless the country lets other countries invest. Now you might think that foreign investments are good. Until you realize that those investments are there to make money. For example if China invests in USA to buy land, real estate etc, then in the long term you will become slaves to China, through your own ownership laws. You will have to lease the farm land from China. You will have to pay rent to China just to have a place to stay.

So what you do is to trade perishable short term goods (TVs, clothes, toys etc) for "eternal" goods (companies, land and real estate). That is a bad deal.

If you do not borrow money, and do not let the foreigners buy companies, land and real estate, then the value of the dollar will sink if there is a trade deficit since then more people are selling dollars than buying. This lowering of the dollar will make exports cheaper to the foreigners, so exports will increase and there is no more any deficit.

But if you borrow money (thus the Americans will buy dollars from yuan), or allow investments (thus the Chinese will sell yuan for dollars), then people will buy dollars in as high degree as selling dollars (to buy Chinese goods) in spite of a trade deficit, thus the dollar will remain constant.

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 04:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/08/chinese-exports-trade-wars-trump-tariffs-ecb-mario-draghi-business-live#comment-113293737>

Let me give you some background to the post. The post was posted on talk.origins newsgroup. There there is a (sometimes heated) debate between evolutionists and creationists. No matter how you present the evidence of evolution to the fundamental creationists, you seem to never be able to convince them of the evolution fact.

Personally, I believe in the existence of the Divine, as well as biological evolution. I think God works through evolution.

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 03:51

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/08/chinese-exports-trade-wars-trump-tariffs-ecb-mario-draghi-business-live#comment-113293601>

Well I read up on wikipedia, there it said,

"During the 19th century the popular image of the war in the United States was of an American victory, and in Canada, of a Canadian victory."

I do not think popular image, even in USA, is that USA won the Vietnam war.

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 01:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/08/chinese-exports-trade-wars-trump-tariff-s-ecb-mario-draghi-business-live#comment-113293257>

Here is some humour I posted some time ago regarding this prophecy, to lighten up the night.

On 2 Dec, 21:26, SkyEyes <skyey...@cox.net> wrote:

> You're not honest, you're merely a drive-by poster with an agenda.

>

> Brenda Nelson, A.A.#34

> skyeyes nine at cox dot net

You've got some insight, SkyEyes!

A parable,

George:

USA has never lost a war. I am a fundamentalist on this, not some considerate historian "experts" as you are. Show me the \*evidence\* that the mighty USA has lost a war.

Historians:

USA lost the Vietnam war. There is tons of evidence for this. Here is some films...[Historians shows films]...here is some audio recordings of soldiers, presidents of the time, journalists, etc...[Historians presents the recordings]...here are some still living eyewitness accounts...[Historians makes eyewitnesses meet and talk to George].

George:

You haven't shown me the evidence. USA has never lost a war. I am fundamental on this. Show me the evidence.

Historians:

We have shown you the evidence, why do keep ignoring it?

George:

USA has never lost a war. Show me the \*evidence\* that the USA has lost a war.

Historians:

Sigh...

etc...

/RR

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 00:58

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/08/chinese-exports-trade-wars-trump-tariff-s-ecb-mario-draghi-business-live#comment-113293071>

You have been hit by CD (Cognitive Dissonance).

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 00:43

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/08/chinese-exports-trade-wars-trump-tariff-s-ecb-mario-draghi-business-live#comment-113292865>

I may not be a prophet, but I predicted one thing right, advance of time, namely that Donald Trump would exit from the Paris accord. This is proof of that.

Best Regards,

/Per

To Jeremy Vine show BBC radio 2

Subject: Sad Destiny

Date 29 May 2017 22:16 (CET)

Dear Sir/Madam,

There exist a sad destiny.

It is,

1. Donald Trump will reject the COP21 Paris Climate Deal showing to the world that the USA does not care about children or grandchildren of the world
2. Donald trump will be a failure
3. The USA will become a pariah of the rest of the world, since (1) and (2) above, because of strong right wing feelings

Best Regards,

/Per

9 March 2018 00:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/08/chinese-exports-trade-wars-trump-tariff-s-ecb-mario-draghi-business-live#comment-113292377>

Well to be fair, you said "nagging" side effects.

But then, again, unemployment can be "nagging" for some, at least.

nagging

^nag1n/Submit

adjective

1.

(of a person) constantly harassing someone to do something.



"a nagging person"

2.

persistently painful or worrying.

"a nagging pain"

(s: google)

Best Regards,

/Per

8 March 2018 23:56

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/08/chinese-exports-trade-wars-trump-tariff-s-ecb-mario-draghi-business-live#comment-113292061>

Your comment is contradictory.

You first said no side effects. Then you say there may be side effects.

Please clarify.

Best Regards,

/Per

8 March 2018 23:16

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/08/chinese-exports-trade-wars-trump-tariff-s-ecb-mario-draghi-business-live#comment-113291141>

Next up from Trump.

"I am calling for a complete ban on all steel immigration from shithole countries!"

Best Regards,

/Per

8 March 2018 22:19

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/08/chinese-exports-trade-wars-trump-tariff-s-ecb-mario-draghi-business-live#comment-113289494>

Price of steel is less than a dollar a kilo. So raw material price increase is less than a quarter per gun.

Prices of guns is much more affected by demand and supply. If a president is against guns, along with congress, people are going to be afraid that gun sales will be restricted in the future, and thus more people will buy guns, i.e. demand and prices go up.

Conversely, if you have a president and congress that are pro gun, people are calm, and will not rush to buy guns, thus demand goes down and prices go down.

Trump and current congress is pro gun. Thus prices will not increase, in total.

In spite of raw material price increase.

So your point is mute.

Did you understand?

Best Regards,

/Per

8 March 2018 19:30

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/mar/08/international-womens-day-2018-live-protests-press-for-progress-live#comment-113283936>

My secret (not now, anymore) anti-dote to depressing news in the world, is to read the guardian comments (and Daily Mail, to some degree). It is like searching for golden nuggets in a river bed. Sometimes you find a nugget, and click on the user name to see if you have found a streak of golden nuggets.

My golden nugget for today is,

"In general, women are much better at cooperating and achieving results without a strict hierarchy than men."

Best Regards,

/Per

8 March 2018 17:01 (deleted after about twenty minutes)

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/mar/08/international-womens-day-2018-live-protests-press-for-progress-live#comment-113278660>

There seem to be a woman heroine that is so brave that it is beyond comprehension, according to my profound dream today morning on international womens day. This dream I had is a summary of all dreams I have had, religious dreams, and therefore seemed very true to me.

This I emailed earlier.

Best Regards,

/Per

Subject: Dream

Date: Thu, 8 Mar 2018 13:50:54 +0100

Dear RT,

I had a very strong dream tonight. I went to bed around 01:00 8th March and slept all to around 12:00.

I spoke to a woman Angel in the dream. I do not know if the dream is true, but at least it is coherent, so it could be true.

This was what she told me,

1. On 30th August 2015 there was a chicken race between Jesus and the Jews, represented by Albert Einstein. This happened in Heaven. Both died. The reason they ventured into this chicken race was because it was about all future power. The result was that all people in Heaven are now in incredible pain. Those in Hell are also in incredible pain. Hell and Heaven are basically two worlds where people can live after they have died on Earth.

2. On 14th July 2016 God did try to get all future power. He tried to kill any possible overlord(s). There turned out to be no overlord(s). But God lost anyway, because he had done a deal with a heroine human. This heroine would take all blame as stipulated in a contract if the overlord won the war between God and the overlord. In return for this, God would create 5 additional Gods, 4 in the image of the Heroine and 1 in the image of the God. So there are 6 Gods now (since there turned out to be no overlord, which God bet on there to be). The two Gods in the image of the God started fighting and made each other in incredible pain and psychotic through magic. So they are basically dead. There turned out to be no overlord, so these 4 living and 2 dead Gods is all that we have got now.

3. The God that people pray to is basically a creation of God. It is an AI (Artificial Intelligence). This AI does all the magic on earth. The reason God did this construct was that he wanted to without accountability on what happened on Earth and its magic. He is only accountable for creating the AI, thus the AI is fair. You see God suspected there to be an overlord that would judge him.

4. Lastly the Angel told me to check the sports results for proof of this. The different sports clubs represent different things, different values. Check their history, their nicknames, etc.

I see a real possibility that this dream is representing truth. I know there is a God. See the God proof on [www.taxharm.com](http://www.taxharm.com) . There is also proof for magic there.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 March 2018 13:14

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/mar/07/markets-slide-gary-cohn-resignation-fuels-trade-war-fears-business-live#comment-113216732>

How to win against Capitalism.

Ordinary people seem to be losers, no matter what they do, since they do not have the capital.

Ordinary people's only hope is the lottery.

But imagine the capitalists are in control of who wins the lottery too. You see they have bribed those that bring up the numbers.

It all seems natural. Lottery numbers seem to be chosen completely at random and natural, but that is part of the illusion. The capitalists want it to seem that way. The TV-studio where they choose the numbers is rigged. Somehow the capitalists can choose the numbers.

What to do?

1. Build a network.
2. Get competence such as hackers, and other intelligence workers.
3. Find out who controls the number choosing.
4. Replace those people.
5. Get your numbers.

This is a metaphor how the system is rigged against ordinary people. I do not actually believe the lottery numbers are not random.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 March 2018 12:39

Looks as if we are going to have a trade war. The only winner of this trade war is Russia, since they are sanctioned anyway.

In for a betting in this war?

Make sure that if you bet, bet on the right horse.

The wrong bet is if you win the bet, but still loses, since those in power that you bet on will use that power to destroy you. There exist such bets, believe me.

It is called a death cult. If you bet on the death cult to win, you will win the bet but lose your life, just like the Jonestown koolaid (which was not koolaid, btw, check wikipedia).

Why would your leaders be a leader of a death cult, you may ask?

Well some people, like Hitler, wants his own people to die, if he knows he is going to die.

Or to put it more bluntly, if you know you are going to be sentenced to Hell because of your debt, you want everyone to suffer Hell.

Life is no game.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 March 2018 08:43

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/06/donald-trump-fortune-fallen-forbes-worth-amazon-jeff-bezos#comment-113204098>

By the way, speaking of fascists, I saw what I believed intuitively what I believe was a fascists. He said he was a math genius, and he was in this mornings TV4 show, a half an hour ago.

He made a soap bubble device for the viewers to be amazed at.

But that was not what made me believe he was a fascist.

He had a shirt with a print on. It said "FIGHT or die".

It should have said "FIGHT and die".

If you fight for fascists, like Nazi Germany, you die if you win and you die if you lose.

Now history told us differently, the Nazis were given mercy by the western governments, but do not be fooled by this. Today we know that Jesus' teachings is shit. No mercy will be given in future wars.

Why, then would you die if your fascist team wins? Because they are fascists. That is what they do.

They enjoy hurting people for fun.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 March 2018 04:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/06/donald-trump-fortune-fallen-forbes-worth-amazon-jeff-bezos#comment-113200374>

Ok. Nothing wrong with calling out fascists. I am not sure though that Putin is a fascist. From the interviews I have heard him speaking (translated) he seems intelligent and reasoned. Even wise. I have in recent years got a quite liking for Russia and Putin. The following wisdom I sent to RT, since I want them to succeed. Instead of copying and pasting the items 6 times (including subject line) I copy and paste once from my backup of my emails, since I want things to go fast and I am a bit lazy.

Subject: Wisdom

Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2018 14:48:32 +0100

Dear RT,

Here is a piece of wisdom.

"You have to give your enemy dog a bone to bite on. Give the dog a bone with a clear marking that it belongs to you, then the dog will accept the bone and show that he/she got this bone from you, since it has a marking on the bone from you. The dog will do free advertising for you using the dogs own money to advertise. But it will be clear to most that the dog's bone belongs to you, and that the dog is controlled by you."

Best Regards,

/Per

Subject: Propaganda

Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2018 15:16:40 +0100

Dear RT,

I have a quote.

"Propaganda is so much easier when you have truth on your side."

Remember all is about power. Power is about who to have faith in, who to believe. Who one has faith in, and believe in, is decided mostly by propaganda.

Events in the real world is the best propaganda. It is there for everyone to see. The truth is a great propaganda channel.

Remember you enemy knows this too.

They will want to show clear winners and losers. And to do so, the enemy will build up a challenge, with nominees from both your side and its own. Using their own money to fund your side's nominees. So that when there is a showdown, the enemy wants to make it clear that your nominees lost.

The art of the game is to get your side to win in this challenge, using your enemies money.

That is true propaganda.

Best Regards,

/Per

Subject: Military power

Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2018 15:39:29 +0100

Dear RT,

Here is a poem I have written.

"Krigsdikt" (av mig, på engelska)

Military might is all that counts in the end;

The unreasonable listens to it and it tend;

You can argue for ages and ages;

"I don't understand" is all from the cages;  
"Do you understand this gun?"  
All do and take to the run.  
Obviously one shall not come with peace to them;  
This only makes them stronger, their military stem;  
No make sure that they fight within;  
All else is really a sin;  
Of course peace efforts is reserved for your own country;  
Since a civil war weakens the land of bounty;  
People that strive for universal peace do stick;  
Stick to shit and make me sick.

Further war is fun to watch from a safe seat;  
See them strike, see them beat;  
If the potential enemies fight;  
They will pose a less threat, right?  
Among all these hard facts of war;  
There has to be love in store;

Dikten tillägnas Teknisk Fysik, KTH (där jag gick, f88)

Dedicatated to Engineering Physics, KTH, Stockholm, Sweden KTH  
Vetenskap och Konst

Winning the world is all about weapons. Weapons are made by engineers.  
Engineers that will do a good job if they believe in the leadership. For  
them to believe in the leadership you need propaganda. (see previous email)  
If the engineers do not believe in the leadership of your country, they  
will do a bad job. They will leak to your enemy. They will plant in the  
weapons features that make sure that the enemy is in control of your  
weapons for real.

For example, if the engineers of USA do not believe in their leadership,  
they will make sure that the weapons USA has will not win wars. If they  
believe in USA leadership, they will make weapons that make sure USA  
wins wars.

The same of the operators of weapons, the military. If the military do  
not believe in the leadership, they will make sure that a worthier  
leadership wins wars, even if that is the enemy.

People are driven by fear and desires.

If you can show as a leader that you will protect the loyal (relieving  
fears) and provide them with their desires, they will have faith in you  
and be loyal. Those engineers will make good weapons, and that military  
will do its most to win wars for you.

It is all about who people believe will serve them best.

It is that simple.

Propaganda and truth. What a beautiful combination.

Best Regards,

/Per

I want to share some wisdom to you and readers of the Guardian.

Best Regards,  
/Per

7 March 2018 03:19

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/06/donald-trump-fortune-fallen-forbes-worth-amazon-jeff-bezos#comment-113199780>

You should not let hateful emotions drive your comments.  
Look at my original post and understand the stalker's attraction to Agnetha.  
Youtube "the winner takes it all abba" or click on the link below,  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=92cwKCU8Z5c>  
She is breathtakingly sexy and beautiful in that video.

Best Regards,  
/Per

7 March 2018 01:33

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/06/donald-trump-fortune-fallen-forbes-worth-amazon-jeff-bezos#comment-113198428>

Well if you look at the media exposure of Donald's Muslim statement, and Putin's facebook ads, there is a huge difference.  
There is a wide difference in what Americans like about the Muslim statement and Putin's ads.  
There is also a wide difference in the authenticity behind Donald saying something strong and suspicious ads.

Best Regards,  
/Per

7 March 2018 01:13

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/06/donald-trump-fortune-fallen-forbes-worth-amazon-jeff-bezos#comment-113198117>

My personal theory is that Donald Trump's statement "total and complete shutdown of Muslims entering the United States" won him the election.



(s:

[https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-politics/wp/2015/12/07/donald-trump-calls-for-total-and-complete-shutdown-of-muslims-entering-the-united-states/?utm\\_term=.9c0f907d7103](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-politics/wp/2015/12/07/donald-trump-calls-for-total-and-complete-shutdown-of-muslims-entering-the-united-states/?utm_term=.9c0f907d7103) )

He made this statement on 6th or 7th December 2015, I believe.

The interesting part is that I, after having analyzed the sentiment of Muslims in USA, sent a message to Hillary Clinton, through the Democrats website, to take advantage of this sentiment.

I basically wrote that she should say that USA should limit the number of Muslims coming to America, and preserve the Christian nation. Then I said that this statement would win her the election. Then as a last point I said, try this on a group of people and see how they react.

Now what happened is that Donald Trump said this officially one week after I posted to the Democrats.

So my suspicion is that the Russians hacked the Democrats and passed this information to Donald.

So I may have a part in electing Donald, though inadvertently.

That is life.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 March 2018 00:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/mar/06/donald-trump-fortune-fallen-forbes-worth-amazon-jeff-bezos#comment-113197433>

Donald Trump is a living example that even people with suspected mental illness (from a diagnosis of Swedish psychiatrists, see my history of posts) can make it.

This reminds me of the stalker, Gert van der Graaf, that stalked Agnetha Fältskog of Abba, for two years. He even got to have a sexual relationship with Agnetha, the superstar.

I guess if you are focused enough on your goal, even if you are mentally ill, and are persevering enough, and have some degree of luck, you can achieve your goal.

(s: youtube "Abba behind the blonde", really good and interesting documentary in 4 parts)

Best Regards,

/Per

24 February 2018 00:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112645938>

Yes, I did. I have run out of beers. Must buy more this Saturday. In Sweden we have a state run company called Systembolaget which has a monopoly on all alcohol sales, direct sales, of course in bars and pubs you can buy alcohol. I usually buy ecological beer. And wine.

Time in Sweden is now 01:48.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

24 February 2018 00:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112645771>

No, right now in the UK, the time was 12:26 am. Right now the time is 00:34 am in the UK.  
Best Regards.  
/Per

24 February 2018 00:22

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112645617>

It is late here in Sweden, time is 01:21 (CET) is it not time, after all my beers, to ask what is the EU/Brexit debate all about, after all?  
Best Regards,  
/Per

23 February 2018 23:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112644455>

quote from another article "May's chosen approach rejects the idea of being in any form of customs unions with the EU, with the health secretary, Jeremy Hunt, stressing on Friday that this would not happen."

(s:

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/feb/23/eu-pours-cold-water-on-uk-hopes-of-chequers-brexit-breakthrough> )

Well, that wasn't too hard, was it? That is the way to negotiate, girl! Show them that you do not need them, we can grow our own potatoes, so to speak.

Best Regards,

/Per

23 February 2018 22:13 (deleted since the one I replied to was deleted)

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112643712>

It was humour, but I guess that passed you.

Best Regards,

/Per

23 February 2018 22:00 (deleted after an hour, after response to it was deleted)

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112643445>

When people are in a serious precarious situation, what do people generally do?

They turn to religion.

So what does religion teach us?

"36 Master, which is the great commandment in the law?

37 Jesus said unto him, Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind.

38 This is the first and great commandment.

39 And the second is like unto it, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.

40 On these two commandments hang all the law and the prophets."

(s: MATTHEW. 22:36-40, KJV from biblegateway.com )

So where did that get Jesus? To love God and your neighbour. Well God, in his time, was the romans, and his neighbours were those that lived with him in Palestine. What did those two do to him? They crucified him.

So what does that teach us? UK's God is the EU and UK's neighbour is the EU. So if you guys love EU, they will crucify you.

Now, that was a lesson, wasn't it!

So we turned to religion, and that did not turn up too great. Except that we learnt something.

What to do?

Well maybe we can learn something from the current affairs from these times.

Who are the people in most precarious situation, in current times? Well, what about the refugees.

What can we learn from the refugees? You flee.

OK!

Where to? Well you what the refugees do, you learn of better places. You ask friends, you listen to rumours, you search the Internet.

1. Learn where the sunlit uplands are.
2. Go there.
3. Hope they will accept you.

Best Regards,  
/Per

23 February 2018 20:23

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112640391>

IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR THE NEGOTIATING TEAM IN UK.

"Don't mention the war."

(s: Basil Fawlty, in Fawlty Towers TV-series)

Best Regards,  
/Per

23 February 2018 20:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112639624>

Did you know you should hire a dumb boss (but with good memory, and social) for a large corporation. Yes, it's true. You wonder why? Read "Members of Board Education" at [www.taxharm.com](http://www.taxharm.com) .

Best Regards,  
/Per

23 February 2018 19:49

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112639335>

No country can be a net importer or net exporter for a long time. Imports and exports have to be balanced over time. Yes, you can over a short period of time be a net importer or net exporter but then you will have to borrow money from abroad or loan money to others, otherwise the value of the currency will change, i.e. for a net importer the currency will decrease since you have to sell your

currency for other currencies to import. Thus in time it will make your products you export cheaper and exports will increase. The opposite for net exporters.

Best Regards,

/Per

23 February 2018 18:49

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112637698>

What it all comes down to, is that in the long term, solutions will be found for trade between UK and EU.

It is all negotiations, right now.

If UK says to EU, we MUST have access to the single market and customs union, then a raw deal will be made for the UK.

If you say, "We can walk away." Then you have much better chance of getting a good deal.

The object of any deal between two parties is to make sure your opponent cannot walk away from the deal, and that you can walk away at any time. Then you will get a good deal.

(s: "The Art of the Deal" by Donald Trump)

Best Regards,

/Per

23 February 2018 18:31

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112637191>

Commodore 64: "SYNTAX ERROR"

Best Regards,

/Per

23 February 2018 18:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112636899>

"We are not proponents of increasing the total share of taxes in regard to GDP, rather to shift the tax weight of different taxable entities; in other words to tax or raise tax on harmful activities we necessarily must lower taxes of benign activities, for example income tax of work that is benign."

(s: [www.taxharm.com](http://www.taxharm.com) )

Best Regards,

/Per

23 February 2018 18:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112636621>

Well an international court would have to decide that. It could be made the case that it is not illegal state support, since it only there to level the artificial tariffs. If EU did the same, it would be a level field.

It is all about interpretation of the agreements.

Best Regards,

/Per

23 February 2018 18:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112636300>

Dear Jeremy Corbyn,

What will happen on Monday?

I would just like to inform you of a solution. A solution regarding Single Market and Customs Union.

Let us say UK opts out of the SM and CU. Then there will be tariffs. UK will impose tariffs on imports from EU and EU will impose tariffs on imports from UK.

These tariffs could slow down trade between UK and EU, and thus both economies could potentially suffer.

Is there a solution from this?

Yes, there is.

UK government can take its revenue from the import tariffs from EU and distribute this same money to the exporters of UK to EU. Thus the exporters of UK to the EU will be compensated for the tariffs that the EU imposes on imports from the UK. That way the UK businesses will be just about as

competitive as before the exit of the SM and CU.

Will there be administration costs? (To administer the import tariffs and then the distribution of money to the exporters of UK to the EU.)

Yes, there will be administration costs. But with Internet and computer software, this administration can be streamlined to very low overhead. It is a one-off cost of computer software programming, and once that is in place, the administration of the tariffs and re-compensation will be more or less automatic and effortless.

Best Regards,  
/Per

23 February 2018 17:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112635539>

All it takes is an agreement. An agreement that USE will tax its citizens, just like the federal government in USA. The individual countries can still tax its citizens, just like states in USA taxes its citizens.

But USE will put a federal tax on its citizens, just wait and see.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

23 February 2018 17:22

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112634880>

Well, the single market and customs union, the economic motivation of the EU, soon to be USE, turned out to be a bait for an ever closer political union.

Do you think Jeremy Corbyn thinks he has a chance of political influence in USE?  
Or do you think he is quite happy with his influence in the UK, his home country.

Best Regards,  
/Per

23 February 2018 16:47

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/23/brexit-walls-theresa-may-customs-union-trade-bill-bystander#comment-112633423>

quote the article, "Politics is like comedy in two ways: most of the people who think they would be good at it are wrong, and success depends on timing."

Speaking of timing and comedy, remember when neutrinos were said to go faster than light, but turned out to be a physical connection problem? Well if matter can go faster than light, then that would lead to that the time arrow could also go in the opposite direction. Which leads to the physics joke,

"Neutrinos faster than light are not served in this bar!"

Two neutrinos walked into a bar.

Best Regards,

/Per

23 February 2018 14:08

<https://www.theguardian.com/sport/2018/feb/23/team-usa-medal-target-winter-olympics-2018#comment-112625717>

USA may not have reached its medal target, but Norway surely has. Leads, so far. But then again we know of Norway's sophistry; Therese Johaug, from Norway and a leading female skier, was caught with the anabolic steroid clostebol, in her blood, too high levels, and she has the excuse that she used an ointment called Trofodermiin, which contained the anabolic steroid. It was a clear marking on the package.

This leads one to conclude that she used the ointment in order to have a credible explanation, should she be caught. The Norwegian cried in television.

The Norwegians, as usual for the Western population is to use sophistry.

And most people went for it.

Best Regards,

/Per

22 February 2018 19:29

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/21/berlusconi-comeback-italy-fascist-past-postwar-shame#comment-112597903>

quote from the article "How to stop this spiral? I believe the solution for my country lies in the hope that one day a United States of Europe will emerge."

Well, well, now we see the beginning of the armada of arguments for USE.

And the remainers keep lying that USE will never happen...

Best Regards,

/Per

22 February 2018 18:47



<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/feb/22/debt-for-dolphins-seychelles-create-huge-new-marine-parks-in-world-first-finance-scheme#comment-112596222>

I do not know if Seychelles belong to Africa or India, either way its a poor country. The poor countries of the world will be helped by useful information. The Internet will probably help a lot. The following I sent to RT.

Dear RT,

A cheap strategy is to make it available for free in Africa, India and east Asia. That is a way to influence the West, since the West's good-doers listen to the poor, since those good-doers want to appear non-racist and open. Thus this strategy will cheaply influence the West naturally.

Best Regards,

/Per

22 February 2018 15:33

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/feb/22/debt-for-dolphins-seychelles-create-huge-new-marine-parks-in-world-first-finance-scheme#comment-112587311>

Why does it come to private initiatives from DiCaprio. By the way, the money quoted is peanuts, compared to foreign aid by Western governments.

Why did not the foreign aid people come up with this obvious idea?

Because they are hypocrites, and really do not care about people or Nature. Only to fuck them as recent Oxfam scandal shows.

Best Regards,

/Per

21 February 2018 19:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/film/2018/feb/21/best-oscar-winning-lead-actor-of-all-time-sidney-poitier-robert-de-niro-daniel-day-lewis#comment-112548998>

The best movie according to imdb.com user reviews, is "The shawshank redemption". Morgan Freeman was nominated an Oscar (best actor in a leading role) but never won. Both Morgan Freeman and Tim Robbins acted very well.

Morgan Freeman, as it appears, is a commenter in the guardian. If you want to read his posts, go to <https://profile.theguardian.com/user/id/13200350?page=1> .

The title in Swedish is "Nyckeln till frihet" which means "The key to freedom". I think that is a great title, since the key to freedom was the hole in the Bible, with room for the rock hammer.

Best Regards,  
/Per

21 February 2018 03:35 (deleted)

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112511462>

If ISIS (pure evil) had a 75% chance of winning all power and Russia (evil and good, together) had 25% chance of winning all power, who would you bet on?  
If the winner goes into a room with all weapons, and the opponent goes towards the corner (thus still fighting), does that mean that the opponent has a chance?  
No. It is a question of time, before the opponent is dead.

Best Regards,  
/Per

21 February 2018 03:03 (deleted)

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112511117>

Do you remember the Jordanian pilot that was burned alive in a cage by ISIS?  
ISIS said to their defense that he was given pills.  
So he died confused and in terrible pain.  
Those of you that bet on evil, and got into the gloating a bit too soon all are sorry now, are you not?  
It is not a case of evil versus good.  
It is "pure evil" versus "good and evil, together"  
Tragedy, for you, as Front 242 sings.  
/Per

21 February 2018 02:39

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112510810>

There is a well know saying in Sweden, there is even a TV-series after this saying,  
"Ärliga blå ögon"  
Which means "Honest blue eyes"

(s: [https://sv.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C3%84rliga\\_bl%C3%A5\\_%C3%B6gon](https://sv.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C3%84rliga_bl%C3%A5_%C3%B6gon) )

Best Regards,  
/Per

21 February 2018 02:07

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112510335>

Funny, I shot straight right on target with guardian too.

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112510000>

4 zeroes.

Best Regards,  
/Per

21 February 2018 01:46

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112510000>

When I was in Las Vegas, I went to a shooting range for fun, to see if I could shoot straight.

I got an Glock 17. Moved the paper with the man on it as far as you could get it, and all my shots hit targets, I believe I emptied two mags. All shots were within 10 inches in the middle of the paper target.

First time I ever held a gun. And only, so far. I have shot a few times with an air gun, though.

Best Regards,  
/Per

21 February 2018 01:38

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112509884>

Stormy Daniels,

- Yes, that's my left nipple!

Best Regards,  
/Per

21 February 2018 01:27

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112509696>

Trump is playing black jack in one of his casinos.

Dealer,

- House got 21, you have 18, house wins
- But I am the house, I win
- Who are you?
- Trump. And I am playing in a Trump casino
- You have the trump, you win

Best Regards,

/Per

21 February 2018 01:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112509228>

You can see my picture on linkedin if you google "Per Trydell". I am blue eyed and blonde. And live in Kista, Sweden.

Best Regards,

/Per

21 February 2018 00:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112509105>

Melania to Trump,

- How come your height on your drivers licence is less than your current height by an inch?
- The doctor told me to grow up.

Best Regards,

/Per

21 February 2018 00:24

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112508594>

A man went to his doctor.

- I have an orange d\*ck
- Oh that is strange, let me see, take of your pants.
- Ok
- Yes I see. It's true.
- Well what do you do for a living, maybe it's work related.
- Oh I am unemployed.
- Ok. What do you do all day?
- I watch porn and eat cheese snacks.

Best Regards,

/Per

21 February 2018 00:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112508461>

A poster here said that the USA employs 30000 trolls and the UK 500. Then there are Russian and Chinese trolls.

So the trolls are discussing with themselves. ;-)

But why are the American trolls so against there president?

If I were president I would fire all anti-president trolls that they employ and replace them with pro-president trolls.

Maybe he is stupid, after all.

Best Regards,

/Per

20 February 2018 23:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112507502>

I think it is outrageous and hypocritical of the West to say that anyone that threatens our aim to enslave all else, will be dealt with.

That is why they hate Russia, they threaten West's will to total power.

Best Regards,  
/Per

20 February 2018 23:31

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112507348>

If you do whataboutery, I will do whataboutery.

Iraq 2003-2013, about 174000 dead by West.

Vietnam war, 7 m tons of bombs dropped as opposed to 4.3 m bombs by all allies in WW2, including nuclear TNT equivalent by West.

Libya, one of the most successful countries in Africa totally wasted by West.

And I say this as a Western citizen.

Best Regards,

/Per

20 February 2018 23:22

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112507104>

There is no doubt that Russia wanted Trump to win. Why? Because Hillary Clinton as president would probably have imposed no-fly zones in Syria and started a nuclear war. (s: Daily Mail)

Best Regards,

/Per

20 February 2018 23:11

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112506759>

I do not understand the vitriol or even hatred towards Russia.

There is a book by Dick Harrison, about our former Prime Minister, Tage Erlander. In that book, he claims, after reading his extensive diaries, that Erlander did not want power, but if he got it he would use it to serve his people survive and possibly thrive, if possible. (my interpretation)

Are not Russians of the same quality. After all they abandoned the Soviet Union, because they saw it did not serve the people. Thus they gave up power to somebody who could serve them better,

even though they had all power in that country. And having nuclear weapons, they would not be overthrown by a foreign power anytime soon. Thus they really had all power.

Is that not commendable and noble behaviour by the Russians?

Best Regards,

/Per

20 February 2018 22:39

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/20/trump-russia-inquiry-lawyer-who-worked-with-manafort-charged-with-lying-to-fbi#comment-112505648>

What I find confusing is that the Wolff book, "Fire and fury", claims that Donald Trump did not want to win. He even, according to the book, as I understand, promised Melania, his wife, that he would not win. It was only a stunt to make his name known, a brand strengthening stunt. Thus he wanted Hillary Clinton to win.

Now the confusing part. How can there be collusion between Russia and Trump, if they had opposing views of who was to win the election.

Best Regards,

/Per

20 February 2018 19:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/20/david-davis-leavers-brexite-britain-vien-na-speech#comment-112499547>

No. Although Per and Peter has the same name root from Petrus, which means rock.

Best Regards,

/Per

20 February 2018 19:38

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/20/david-davis-leavers-brexite-britain-vien-na-speech#comment-112499091>

Imagine you during the 1930's. "You are overlooking the positives of the Nazi Germany..."

If that is too distant, let us keep us to the immigration policy in EU,

"You are overlooking the positives of a future Sharia EU..."

As far as Russia, goes, let us take one more example,  
"You are overlooking the positives of Gulag and Stalin in the Soviet Union..."  
All is about power, future power, some realize this, some do not."  
Best Regards,  
/Per

20 February 2018 19:09

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/20/david-davis-leavers-brexite-britain-viena-speech#comment-112497887>

Take your lies elsewhere. I know you probably are scoundrel, since you read the guardian. For all else, do you remember this article,  
<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2017/dec/07/martin-schulz-united-states-of-europe-germany-sdp>  
Best Regards,  
/Per

20 February 2018 18:46

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/20/david-davis-leavers-brexite-britain-viena-speech#comment-112497047>

quote from the article "As reality bites, it is becoming clearer that those who voted leave because they wanted to pull up the drawbridge on the rest of the world, and because they believed that we could revert to an era when only the UK parliament made our laws, will not be able to get their way"  
I know, that if I lived in Britain, and there was a referendum today, that I would vote leave, since I want power closer to home. As a citizen, I feel I have very little influence over politics, and an EU superstate, USE, would make that little power I have, even less. How many of you feel you can influence British politics, even if the suggestions are reasonable. How, then, can an EU superstate let you have more influence, as a citizen?  
If your politicians are not acting with common sense, YOU KNOW THEY HAVE AN AGENDA.  
Whether it is bribery, threats, or both from those WHO REALLY GOVERN.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

20 February 2018 18:03

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/20/david-davis-leavers-brexite-britain-viena-speech#comment-112495356>



There are basically two successful opposing ways on how to raise your children. These two ways are also two opposing ways onto how to manage negotiations, like in Brexit.

One way is to be hard, but fair, when the children are young. You do not give them nice food, you punish them when they do wrong, you are hard but fair on them. Then when they are old enough, you treat them lovingly, give them very nice food and are generous generally toward them. Then they will love you for the rest of your life, and take care of you when you are elderly.

The other way is to be soft when your children are young. You give them nice food, do not punish them, say nice things to them, and you forgive them whenever possible, all when they are young. Then when they trust you, that you are nice and good, the trap closes. Then when you have power of trust from them, you show your real colours. You tell them that you have friends that can do great harm to them. You tell them that you have a hook on them, forever. Then when they are old enough, they realize that you have all power over them. So they treat you nicely when you are elderly.

The same with EU negotiations. The EU and its adherents are following one of the strategies, the Brexit followers another.

Can you figure out which strategy belongs to whom?

Best Regards,

/Per

20 February 2018 15:58

<https://www.theguardian.com/tv-and-radio/2018/feb/20/six-feet-under-creator-alan-ball-here-now#comment-112489224>

The Fisher family running a funeral home, in "Six Feet Under" got me thinking. What happens to me when my parents die? I phone my mother daily, and speak to my father very often too.

On the 1st February 2018 my Uncle Göran passed away, 79. Funeral Friday next week, which I will attend.

On Sunday on SVT, in the Agenda program, there was a section why so many elderly people in Sweden commit suicide. Apparently, according to the psychiatrist on the show, it is because elderly meet so many losses, loss of meaning through job, loss of friends who die, loss of partner who die. According to the psychiatrist we do not place enough resources to psychiatric care of the elderly, since the values in Sweden is, "they are old, anyway", according to the psychiatrist on show.

But elderly have so much to give. So much experience. I am sure many elderly comment on here. According to studies, elderly brains function with not much less capacity than when young, unless they get a disease that affect the brain, of course.

I think sport, and being a supporter for a football team, helps you vaccinate against being too depressed from loss. This since supporters know that loss of a football match for your team, means not end of the world. Thus you know that a loss is not the end of the world.

Life will go on, as was said in Thomas Hardy's novel "Far from the maddening crowd."

Best Regards,

/Per

20 February 2018 13:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/20/donald-trump-trump-soft-russia-muelle#comment-112482569>

quote the article "...then why do you refuse to condemn Vladimir Putin or enforce sanctions against Russia?"

Why should Trump condemn Putin?

If you learn a bit of modern history, you will know that Russia after the fall of the Soviet Union and at least ten years on Russia was in pain and in a confused state. The economy was extremely poor, people in Russia was on food aid from the West, just not to starve.

Then Putin was elected in year 2000. Since then the economy in Russia is more or less thriving now. In spite of sanctions from West, due to Crimea annexation. Crimea has always had a mostly Russian population, and according to the principle of League of Nations (the precursor to UN), the culture of the inhabitants shall decide which nation they should belong to.

Åland islands were decided to belong to Finland, after a dispute between Sweden and Finland, in spite of that most people there are Swedish, so the League of Nations did not even follow their own principle.

Putin is a hero to most Russians. They are grateful for him being predictable and in the interest of the Russians.

We should have the same type of leaders in the West.

Spaciba, Mr President Vladimir Putin.

Best Regards,

/Per

20 February 2018 00:41

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/19/wigan-athletic-manchester-city-fa-cup-fifth-round-match-report#comment-112459371>

Total dominance by Man City, by looking at the stats. Yet they lost. You need precision. Here is a tip to the Man City team, to improve your precision when you have a goal chance, or a chance to a crucial pass.

Play billiards. That will improve your mental focusing needed by practicing shots of billiard balls. Football is a mental game, just like billiards.

If you find the conventional games of billiards boring, here is a new game.

Tricolore game

This is a game played with billiards balls and table. Only three balls are used, the white, the red and the blue. At the beginning, the white ball is placed on the centre spot, and the two others at the two other marked spots. The object of the game is to win with the highest number of points at the end of specified time, or reach first a prespecified score.

You get points by sinking balls, one point for sinking any of the balls, two points for two balls, and four points for all three. Thus in this game you can and should sink the white ball to score. You can only score points if all the balls are set in motion with a single stroke.

There are beginners rules, or advanced rules. Beginners rules tells that you can continue to strike, if you set all balls in motion, advanced rules tells that you can continue to strike if you score points; otherwise (in both cases) the turn is given to the other player(s) (in same predefined succession if more than two players).

When one or more balls are sunk, then the ball(s) are placed at the three spots marked on the table, the player next in succession places the ball(s). If ball(s) are sunk without all balls in motion by a single stroke, then corresponding minus points are deducted from the score, -1 (for one ball), -2 (for two balls), and the turn is given to the next player in succession, who also are allowed to place the ball(s) at his or her choosing at any of the spots.

Best Regards,

/Per

19 February 2018 06:28

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112420130>

No, I am not joking.

To evangelicals and other religious (Jesus being the most prominent religious figure in history, according to if Jesus miracles are true (which I believe), including resurrection) the partner is Jesus. He is cheating, according to my view.

Best Regards,

/Per

19 February 2018 05:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112419529>

Here are the references from the Bible (KJV)

"Then shall he say also unto them on the left hand, Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels:" (MATTHEW. 25:41)

"I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things in the churches. I am the root and the offspring of David, and the bright and morning star." (REVELATION 22:16)

Now if you go to google translate, and translates "morningstar" to latin you will see among the alternatives that it is translated "lucifer".

Lucifer is another name for the Devil.

Thus by logic, Jesus requested to burn in Hell forever.

Best Regards,

/Per

19 February 2018 05:31

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112419310>

Jesus weeps because he burns in Hell by his own request. It is in the Bible.

Best Regards,

/Per

19 February 2018 05:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112418919>

Two more religious songs by secular artists.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m1e3VdKZPJl>

(Amanda Jenssen's "Ghost", who I believe is secular)

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Kc7b\\_cEE7ys](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Kc7b_cEE7ys)

(ABBA's "Move On", I know Björn Ulvaeus is an atheist, who wrote the lyrics)

Best Regards,

/Per

19 February 2018 04:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112418777>

If you are intelligent enough and diligent enough to follow the pointer I gave, you would probably not say that in honesty.

Best Regards,  
/Per

19 February 2018 04:36

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112418630>

Who is the witch?  
;-)  
Best Regards,  
/Per

19 February 2018 04:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112418585>

Youtube "I know something is going on frida" or click the following link,

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=372436tJiaM>

(It is a great song)

Is there something going on?

You cannot ignore religion.

Best Regards,

/Per

19 February 2018 04:06

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112418299>

So you area NOBODY.  
Somebody has my best interest at heart.  
Somebody speaks for me.  
MYSELF.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

19 February 2018 03:55

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112418180>

You have to got to learn priorities. All is about power. Have you not realized this, yet. Who has power over you, power to harm you?  
In your family. In your local community. In your country. In the world.  
Eternal torment is nothing to ignore, since the implications are so profound for your well-being.  
I have given you a pointer to a proof of the Divine. And you still do not seem to get it. Too bad. For you. Tragedy, for you, as Front 242 would say.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

19 February 2018 02:54

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112417413>

But the American dream is to prosper, from rags to riches.  
Eternally prosper, in the context "In God we trust" that is on American money and in law.  
The dark underbelly is in this context nothing but eternal Hell. (And that you are the same person with all your faults, should you end up in a nice afterworld)  
Best Regards,  
/Per

19 February 2018 02:23

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112416955>

Have you heard the song "Hotel California" by Eagles?  
The last phrase is, "You can check out any time you like, but you can never leave..."  
Did you know that that line is religious?  
Translated,  
"You can kill yourself anytime, but you can never leave this world..."  
Meaning you get to the next world, whether it is nice or Hell.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

19 February 2018 01:49

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112416470>

If you do not dare to google it due to virus infection risk, look at my history of comments.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

19 February 2018 01:28

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112416177>

No. Google "tax harm" to see it.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

19 February 2018 01:17

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/donald-trump-evangelicals-code-of-et-hics#comment-112416032>

What complicates matters, is that there is an unequivocal proof of the Divine. I have heard it. If you read it, or heard it, and checked the references, you too would probably be a believer of the existence of the Divine.

Best Regards,  
/Per

18 February 2018 22:25

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/i-was-a-pawn-in-one-of-the-great-comedy-crimes-david-chappelle#comment-112412886>

Then suppose the local starts to threaten me, when he noticed the con did not work.  
Now I know for real that the rapture will send me to Hell.  
So I have a choice of he realizing his threats or going to Hell.  
Easy choice. With him realizing his threats, I have a better chance with my friends and the police.  
With Hell, I have no chance.  
Life is full of choices.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

18 February 2018 22:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/i-was-a-pawn-in-one-of-the-great-comedy-crimes-david-chappelle#comment-112412454>

Which makes you wonder, who would gain from a rapture? Like those right wing evangelicals wishing this. They are probably crazy and fooled by the Bible.  
When someone continuously adds information as to what is really going on, you cannot but be suspicious.  
Suppose I had said, to the local, that I suspect there will be a rapture if I buy America from you, and then he adds new information, "But you will gain from the rapture, it is true that America will be worth nothing, but you will be among the winners."  
Well why the Hell did you not say this in the beginning. Now I think you are a scoundrel, because you tried to fool me before. How can I trust that you have told me all information now?  
Luckily I did not buy.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

18 February 2018 21:34



<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/i-was-a-pawn-in-one-of-the-great-comedy-crimes-david-chappelle#comment-112411768>

I remember a funny episode when I was in Gaborone, Botswana, Africa. I my brother and our friend where offered to buy America from a local. We said no. Probably because we thought he was obviously trying to con us.

But here comes the really funny part. Suppose he really owned America. And he wanted to sell this to us. He was a stranger, and we were young boys, in plain clothes, not looking rich, what would that tell us about the value of America?

For every seller there is a buyer. He, who knew America, wanted to sell this to us, for a few Pula (the local currency).

Obviously, to him, these few Pula were more worth than America.

Or there was something strange going on. Maybe the world would reach rapture if he sold America, and then America would be worth nothing.

Funny.

I should be a stand-up comedian.

Or maybe not.

Best Regards,

/Per

18 February 2018 20:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/i-was-a-pawn-in-one-of-the-great-comedy-crimes-david-chappelle#comment-112409764>

What do you think of comedians that are "punching down"? I generally detest it, but when you are punching down on someone that is currently down but going up, and without a doubt will reach the very top in the future with detestable politics, then I am all for punching down.

Best Regards,

/Per

18 February 2018 19:45

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/i-was-a-pawn-in-one-of-the-great-comedy-crimes-david-chappelle#comment-112409249>

I would just like to point out that the article author never wrote "Social Democrats of Denmark has finally come to its senses". That was just what the article said to me, since I am against mass

immigration, and the consequential change of culture with it to a right wing conservative religion/culture. I write fast, and rarely read the posts I write before posting. But I usually read the post again after being published. What is correct is correct, after all.

Best Regards,

/Per

18 February 2018 17:26

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/18/i-was-a-pawn-in-one-of-the-great-comedy-crimes-david-chappelle#comment-112404136>

No, the best stand-up comedians I found at [www.expressen.se](http://www.expressen.se) today (use google translate, if you wish). There it said that the Social Democrats of Denmark, i.e. the liberal left, has finally come to its senses. They are funny in a good way. In the article, it said that the Social Democrats proposed that all asylum seekers that come to Denmark shall be sent to North Africa for asylum processing. If they are granted asylum, then they shall not be sent to Denmark, but instead sent to an UN refugee camp.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 February 2018 01:59

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-referendum#comment-112339067>

Do you remember your time as a child? Children societies, such as in schools, to a certain degree, are much more naked to the truth, since children have to learnt the role playing that takes part in adult societies.

There were always a bully. All is about power, in child societies too. As long as you obeyed the bully and his friends, you were fairly safe. If you did not, then there were threats and harassment, until you complied. If you did not comply, then there was a real fight, that could get you hurt.

This same basic truth in child societies is still true in adult societies. It is just that we have a surface of civilized behavior. The behavior is civilized as long as there is competition for power, no-one wants to show their true colours.

But do not be fooled by this civilized state. As soon as there is a winner of eternal power, then the true colours will show. It will be horrible.

The EU has not achieved eternal power yet. But when, and if it does, then the EU will not be civilized. It will be back to child societies again, with the bullies and his friends.

Who are really the delusional? Who are fooling themselves? And others, along the way.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 February 2018 00:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-refere ndum#comment-112338329>

As far as the British Empire goes, they left their colonies in Africa and India without too much hard feelings. They left them in a good state. Not like the Portuguese who, when they left Angola, put concrete in the sewer systems as a revenge for them wanting their freedom.

British people are viewed with respect in Africa.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 February 2018 00:26

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-refere ndum#comment-112337944>

The cake is poisoned. Not good to eat, anyway. Better to bake a new cake with fresh British eggs instead of eggs from Holland that were reportedly in the guardian full with poison.

Best Regards,

/Per

17 February 2018 00:17

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-refere ndum#comment-112337778>

Did you know that if there was a second referendum, and the outcome was in favour of remain, then the consequence would be that Britain could NEVER leave by another referendum. It was in the guardian. If you want to read it, read my history of posts.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 23:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexit-second-refendum#comment-112336512>

Those that spread false facts in the name of Brexiteers, could be conscious false flags, or they could be consciously supporting Brexit, but their subconscious supports Remain.

Just like the Cox murderer, with a murder just before the June 23 vote 2016, his conscious probably supported Brexit, but his subconscious had figured out that his hate could swing opinion in favour of Remain side, because of the publicity and the rightful disgust the public would feel of this murder, and therefore vote remain by their emotions of outrage.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 22:28

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexit-second-refendum#comment-112335126>

It's Friday, and I have had some beers and right now I am listening to music on my computer. Right now "Smooth Operator" is playing. Which got me to wonder, who are the smooth operators in this world? Who are pulling the strings in this world? Any suggestions?

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 22:02

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexit-second-refendum#comment-112334384>

"Off on holiday to escape Brexit? Don't go to the bar. In the months since Britain voted to leave the European Union, dozens of beers have been named after Brexit by breweries around the world. There's Brexit Through the Gift Shop, made by Ex Novo Brewing of Portland, Oregon, and Brexiteer, by Paname Brewing in Paris. There's Brexit Solution, by Gæðingur Öl Brugghús in Iceland, Big Mistake Brexit IPA, by Two Sides Brewing in Ireland and If Tha Brexit Tha Fixes It, by The Imperial Brewery in Yorkshire."

(s:

<https://www.theguardian.com/lifeandstyle/shortcuts/2017/dec/10/so-british-it-even-tastes-great-warm-the-breweries-inspired-by-brexit> )

Have any of you tried one of these beers?

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 21:41

[https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-refere  
ndum#comment-112333817](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-refere<br/>ndum#comment-112333817)

If anyone wonders what the cryptic "TIXERB" is, it is "BREXIT" backwards.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 17:25 (deleted)

[https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-refere  
ndum#comment-112325280](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-refere<br/>ndum#comment-112325280)

Remainers, sign up to the new NGO "Ignorants Without Borders"

You can reach them here.

[www.ignorantswithoutborders.org](http://www.ignorantswithoutborders.org)

(I may be lying)

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 16:49

[https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-refere  
ndum#comment-112323674](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-refere<br/>ndum#comment-112323674)

This is what I wrote today to Labour.

Best Regards,

/Per

---

Dear Labour,

Here is an idea. Assign people to monitor the comments on public forums such the guardian and the daily mail. Let the search for gold nuggets. You really have to go through all the comments (not just the popular ones), since I have seen gold nuggets with low up votes. Let these assigned people make a pithy digest of the best comments that are potentially valuable for you.

Best Regards,

/Per

Dear Labour,

Regarding my previous message, it is a good idea also to keep a record of all interesting posters that seem knowledgeable. You see consistency with some posters, some all high quality, some all low quality.

In the guardian you have to save the link to the posters all comments. You used to be able to search for the name, but that is not possible any more.

Best Regards,

/Per

Dear Labour,

With all the information you get, from newspapers, TV, Internet, comments, make a document with prioritized information with references to fact sources.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 16:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-referendum#comment-112321683>

The ones responsible for the referendum (or even elections) could hand out money to each constituency for gifts. Each constituency could decide what type of gift should be offered. Devolution in practice.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 15:34

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/16/possible-reverse-brexite-second-referendum#comment-112320242>

If you guys hold a second referendum, you should encourage all to vote. Maybe offer a gift at the polling station, once you have voted. The gift could be a cheap scientific calculator from China, I have seen a nice one for one pound each, ordered in quantity.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 01:51

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/15/ostersund-arsenal-europa-league-last-32-first-leg-match-report#comment-112292896>

See, you can get away with vast off-topic comments.

Seen at the moderator,

TYPE: OFF-TOPIC

REASON: "What is advice about raising your children doing in a football comment section. REMOVE IMMEDIATELY"

TYPE: OFF-TOPIC

REASON: "HAS THERE EVER BEEN A MORE BLATANT OFF-TOPIC COMMENT"

TYPE: OFF TOPIC

REASON: "IT IS NOT EVEN SHOEHORNING. HE BEGINS WITH, Since you live in Sweden, and then comes the COMPLETE OFF-TOPIC POST. IN A FOOTBALL SECTION!"

;-)

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 01:02

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/15/ostersund-arsenal-europa-league-last-32-first-leg-match-report#comment-112292292>

Self-esteem is really, I mean really important. With it comes self-confidence.

You do NOT get self-esteem by telling yourself in the mirror that you are really valuable. That does not work.

You do not even get self-esteem by someone else telling you that are really valuable. You will only get a inflated ego.

You WILL get self-esteem by proving to yourself, through actions and conversations, that you are valuable.

Your children will be helped by telling them that they are stupid, since then they will be MOTIVATED to prove you wrong. Children are children, they will not break down and kill themselves, by you telling them that they are stupid. Quite the opposite will happen to normal children, they will get into fighter mode, and say NO, I AM NOT STUPID AND I CAN PROVE IT!

Note if you have sensitive children that have a psychological condition the advice I gave may NOT work. As a caution.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 00:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/15/ostersund-arsenal-europa-league-last-32-first-leg-match-report#comment-112291911>

Another positive effect of telling your children that they are really stupid, is that they will be offended and then naturally want to prove you wrong, that they are not easily fooled, and that they want to learn things, study hard in school, all to prove your wrong.

So they will become more intelligent by you telling them that they are really stupid!

Best Regards,

/Per

16 February 2018 00:03

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/15/ostersund-arsenal-europa-league-last-32-first-leg-match-report#comment-112291499>

Since you live in Sweden, I will give you some good solid that looks wrong at first glance, but becomes common sense and obvious when you here the motivation.

Tell you loved children that they are really stupid. Do not tell them that they are special and intelligent.

Why.

Because children are stupid. They can be easily fooled by more intelligent adults. Like when an adult says to one of children to get in his car and they will get some candy/look at his pup and cuddle it/he can do some real magic, and he will teach you to do it/etc.

If you have told your stupid children that they are intelligent and special, then they will be fooled by those more intelligent.

If you have told your loved children that they are really stupid, then they will be leery when someone approaches them. They will not as easily be fooled and that could save them a lot of agony, if not their life.

Best Regards,

/Per

15 February 2018 22:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/15/ostersund-arsenal-europa-league-last-32-first-leg-match-report#comment-112290230>

The unexpected win was of course for Östersund, the poorer team. Perhaps with a bit of magic from Potter. But as I have said earlier in a previous post, the magic is gone in Sweden. Magic has become expensive in these days for some.



Best Regards,  
/Per

14 February 2018 06:28

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112197903>

In Sweden we have a word for those who go by emotions and cheers from the onlooking crowd.  
"jubelidiot"

No offence, but "jubel" means cheers. The word probably comes from the fact that those who are easily fooled by cheers of the crowds are considered complete idiots.

Right now Manchester City is cheered on by the crowd.

I look at cold facts and asses mostly from them only.

It is a fact that Manchester City has only lost one game so far in the 2017/2018 EPL, but you cannot go just from the last year to asses a club, you have to go deeper.

Best Regards,  
/Per

14 February 2018 06:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112197710>

Since you are not responding, let me spell it out for you.

UEFA are professionals. They asses each top tier league in each member country. They allocate CL and EL spots from the final league table results accordingly. Therefore the number of UEFA cups each European club has in a ten year period is a good measure who is better than the other club.

Therefore Basel is a better club than Manchester City, which proves my original point.

Best Regards,  
/Per

14 February 2018 05:56

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112197527>

Ten is greater than eight, did you not know that?

Best Regards,  
/Per

14 February 2018 05:08

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112197071>

In the last ten years,  
Basel has been part of UEFA cups 10 times.  
(s: <https://www.uefa.com/teamsandplayers/teams/club=59856/profile/index.html> )  
Manchester City has been part of UEFA cups 8 times.  
(s: <https://www.uefa.com/teamsandplayers/teams/club=52919/profile/index.html> )  
Best Regards,  
/Per

14 February 2018 04:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112196866>

Another way to put it,  
Life/Nature is cruel.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

14 February 2018 04:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112196581>

"Life finds a way" (s: Dr. Ian Malcolm (played by Jeff Goldblum in Jurassic Park))  
Another way to put it.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

14 February 2018 04:01

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112196295>

The perfect song to represent Manchester City currently,  
"Slipping through my fingers" by ABBA  
Best Regards,  
/Per

14 February 2018 02:54

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112195239>

Just checked, the result was 1-2.  
Goal difference 0-1, that is what I remembered.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

14 February 2018 02:38

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112195011>

But as we have seen better teams can lose. Manchester United is a better team than Manchester City, proven by EPL history. So the fact that the latest EPL game where Manchester United lost 0-1 to Manchester City, proved once more that the better team lost.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

14 February 2018 01:14

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112193746>

But Basel were the better team. They beat Manchester United. Look at Manchester United's EPL history.

Best Regards,

/Per

14 February 2018 00:41

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-mat-ch-report#comment-112193187>

In any case it looks as if,

1. God is rooting for Manchester City.
2. The referees are rooting for Manchester City.
3. The other teams are fearful of meeting Manchester City.

4. The,

"Spectators of the show

Always staying low

The game is on again

A lover or a friend

A big thing or a small

The winner takes it all" (s: The Winner Takes It All, ABBA)

Best Regards,

/Per

14 February 2018 00:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-mat-ch-report#comment-112192501>

Well FIFA 2018 in Russia is coming in June.

As another CiF:er said,

"I put my money in Panama, er, on Panama."

Best Regards,

/Per

13 February 2018 23:28

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-mat-ch-report#comment-112191576>

quote you "...City team is special."

Brexit is special.

See the connection? Is there any connection in the world of things?

Best Regards,

/Per

13 February 2018 22:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112189414>

Regarding your poetry in your post,

The sun probably never sets.

To an individual on Earth it may appear so, but it is an illusion. Regarding the aging of the sun some 4 billion years or so into the future, I am sure God's magic will keep it shining. After a hundred billion years into the future, it will be obvious that there is magic by God.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 February 2018 22:02

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/feb/13/basel-manchester-city-champions-league-match-report#comment-112188886>

If it is you for real, Morgan Freeman, I just want to say I loved your acting in Shawshank Redemption. That film, is as you know, voted the best film ever (so far) by the voters at imdb.com .

Best Regards,

/Per

13 February 2018 16:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/live/2018/feb/13/oxfam-scandal-charity-commission-opens-inquiry-politics-live#comment-112179401>

The magic gone in Sweden because of terrorism.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 February 2018 15:33

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/live/2018/feb/13/oxfam-scandal-charity-commission-opens-inquiry-politics-live#comment-112177409>

Are you advocating USE (United States of Europe)?

You have to think longer than your nose reaches. A world without borders will make it easy for terrorists to roam and terrorize freely. The reason is that easy movement in the world eases the travel of harmful entities and people.

Today was the first day of the trial of Akilov. The terrorist that killed five Swedish on 7th April 2017.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 February 2018 13:38 (deleted)

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/12/children-alcoholic-parents-services-cutt#comment-112171856>

They need help you say.

I have realized something. God is truly evil and does not do compassion. God has realized compassion is for losers. Just like a women letting in a suffering stranger to her house, only to be raped and killed as thanks.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 February 2018 23:33

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/12/trump-infrastructure-plan-200bn#comment-112148468>

One billion dollars would stack up to 1 kilometer.

I done the calculation, with the knowledge that 1 cm of paper stacked is 100 sheets.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 February 2018 23:24

<https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2018/feb/12/trump-infrastructure-plan-200bn#comment-12148221>

Did you notice something about Donald Trump? I have. All is about power. Once you have realized this, certain things follow.

1. You are in for the long run.
2. Once you have acquired power, you need to maintain it.
3. There will always, for an eternity, be people who bet to try to take that power.
4. To defend power, you have to teach what happens to those who challenge you for power.
5. Teaching is best done by example.
6. Horror examples.
7. Therefore you have to be evil, to take and maintain power.
8. Therefore all evolution of power leads to the evil reigning forever.
9. Take note, choosing sides is important to avoid being in eternal agony.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 February 2018 21:36

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/feb/12/safe-happy-and-free-does-finland-have-all-the-answers#comment-112145002>

You seem to be good with dates, do you know what date "TIME person of year" was announced? When Trump declared Jerusalem capital of Israel? When Putin said he would run for president 2018?

All the same date. Hint. Look in my original post.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 February 2018 20:53

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/feb/12/safe-happy-and-free-does-finland-have-all-the-answers#comment-112143741>

What was not told by the guardian,

- The war between whites and reds. Very bloody and bitter in the 20th century
- Finland's 100 years of independence was 6th December 2017

- Finnish limited company is "oy", just like Inc, Ltd, GmbH  
Best Regards,  
/Per

12 February 2018 16:53

[https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe  
nny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112134073](https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe<br/>nny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112134073)

There is a lesson to be learnt by future generations. It is a very important lesson. Therefore the  
victims are the victims. You learn by example, horror examples especially.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

12 February 2018 16:06

[https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe  
nny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112131982](https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe<br/>nny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112131982)

The paper edition has say 1 million in circulation. The website has 15 million daily. 240 million  
unique viewers a month.  
I found the lions eating all but the head of the poacher amusing though.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

12 February 2018 15:57

[https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe  
nny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112131587](https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe<br/>nny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112131587)

Go to wikipedia and google "oxfam labour party"  
Best Regards,  
/Per

12 February 2018 15:45



<https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe-ny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112130939>

We live in strange times. Nowhere on the front web page of Daily Mail can you find the Oxfam (which is embarrassing to the left) story. You have to look under the "news" tag to find a small article.

Daily Mail is right wing!

The Guardian has this as front page news.

The Guardian is left wing!

Almost as strange as Boris Johnson and Nigel Farage are devout remainers in Brexiteer's clothing.

And Jeremy Corbyn is a devout Brexiteer in remainer's clothing.

We live in interesting times, as the Chinese curse goes.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 February 2018 14:28

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe-ny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112126966>

Credit to the guardian for spreading this news. It shows that they care about the truth, even if inconvenient for the left, this being a left wing paper.

If only more people read the Guardian, it used to be very centered towards the intelligentsia, but has recently introduced more light-weight material to attract the masses, just like Daily Mail. You need a balance. The opinion of the masses influences politicians, since they will nearly only propose ideas that what is popular (seen from their private polls of opinion), since they want to win elections.

RT only panders really to the intelligentsia. It is obvious that politicians, journalists and business people in the West looks at them. RT seems to pander to the truth, just like the Guardian. They need to keep a high journalistic level, since Russia's reputation is so bad in the West. Which makes them enjoyable and interesting to watch on the Internet.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 February 2018 13:27

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe-ny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112123985>

Those on the right on here that gloat, let me remind you that if you condemn an entire organization for some few bad apples, you will be rightfully condemned when a few bad apples in your organization drags dirt onto your name.

"For with what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again."

(s: MATTTHEW. 7:2, KJV)

Best Regards,

/Per

12 February 2018 13:06

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe-ny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112122887>

This is not about left or right wing politics. It is about bad apples in an organization. The hypocrisy of some are astounding. See my earlier post on this thread.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 February 2018 12:16

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/live/2018/feb/12/oxfam-prepares-for-crunch-meeting-with-pe-ny-mordaunt-politics-live#comment-112120165>

The hypocrisy of some people are astounding.

I learned from Lee Camp's show on RT, that Libya was one of the most successful countries in Africa, with the highest living standard, before West's intervention. Now look at Libya.

Sweden's SIDA (government aid to developing countries, approx 1 % of GDP) like talk about developing Africa. Then when it really is an actual African country that is really successful, Sweden's JAS 39 fighter-attack-reconnaissance planes are used in the Libya conflict, throwing this successful country to the shithole status.

Best Regards,

/Per

11 February 2018 22:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2018/feb/11/australias-solar-power-boom-could-al-most-double-capacity-in-a-year-analysts-say#comment-112099541>

In the future, vast solar arrays could be placed on the moon, using the electrical energy to refine metals from ore there or asteroids. Then send the refined metals to Earth.

Speaking of the moon, can somebody please decode this dream that was told to me in the dream to be very profound.

"On the 14th of September 2017 in the afternoon, GMT time, the moon and Russia had a chicken race. The moon won."

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 08:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-prisidency#comment-111902183>

Today in [www.aftonbladet.se](http://www.aftonbladet.se) (Sweden's leading tabloid newspaper) a psychiatrist says that Trump is a copy of her patients.

I think it is outrageous that professionals speak out of him as possible schizophrenic without even having met him. My belief is that no schizophrenic can become a billionaire and even less POTUS. It is rather more likely that the psychiatrist has an emotional agenda that she wants to spread through her professional authority. People must remember that experts have an agenda. Journalists have an agenda. Everyone has an agenda. Emotional or explicit logically.

In Sweden we say that psychiatrists seek to the profession to get self help from their own problems.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 07:45

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-prisidency#comment-111900595>

It seems God allows people to create their own religions, as I have done. I suggest you create your own religion that suits you. If you want, you can fork [www.simplereligion.org](http://www.simplereligion.org) to suit your needs emotionally or logically.

I created SR because I was not happy with what was on offer historically in the religion department.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 07:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-pr esidency#comment-111899645>

No. I am a "behave". That are what followers of [www.simplereligion.org](http://www.simplereligion.org) are called since the first word of that religion is "Behave".

Best Regards,  
/Per

7 February 2018 06:27 (deleted)

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-pr esidency#comment-111898619>

в Электронную приёмную Президента Российской Федерации

Фамилию, имя, отчество адресата: Putin, Vladimir

Full name (surname, name, patronymic): Trydell Per

Organisation: Sparkling Light Firm

Email address: per@trydell.net

Phone: +46708500040

Type: appeal

Text

Dear Mr President Vladimir Putin,

Perhaps you have heard this proof of God before, since it apparently originated in Russia, but I will tell it to you just in case. In the middle of 2000 year decade I had lunch in Kista Galleria alone. Next to my table was another man eating alone. We started talking. He told me a definite proof of God. He said it originally originated from a Russian man in Russia, that wanted to remain anonymous since he did not want to be associated with the proof since he was afraid.

This was the proof that I heard back then,

The double slit experiment is the basis. In this experiment you can for instance send electrons, one at a time through a plate with two slits and then the electrons hit a screen onto which a pattern emerges. The pattern proves that electron are waves. Even if you send one electron at a time. If you place detectors at the slits to see which one of the two slits the electron pass through, then the pattern on the screen shows that the electrons are now particles. The detectors do not really interfere with the electrons, it is the fact that an observer observes the electrons that causes the electrons to become particles. Now the interesting point is that even if no human observes the data from the detectors, then the electrons will still behave as particles. Thus this proves there exist an observer that is not human. An observer with computation, memory and senses. I.e the divine. This proof is true if you believe the laws of physics are true. Otherwise it is not a proof.

The man I talked to said he liked me. He even asked me to go with him on his yearly Tallin cruise, but I declined. I have not seen him since.

Best Regards,

/Per

Sent: September 5, 2017, 03:15

PS.

Physics links related to double slit experiment

<http://physicsworld.com/cws/article/news/2015/may/26/do-atoms-going-through-a-double-slit-know-if-they-are-being-observed>

<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/1998/02/980227055013.htm>

DS.

7 February 2018 05:50

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-pr esidency#comment-111898047>

If I could prove to you, that the is the Divine, unequivocally true, and you would agree that the proof is true, would that change your opinion?

There exist an unequivocal proof of the Divine. Do you want me to spell it our for you?

Ignorance is bliss, only in the short term.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 05:40

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-pr esidency#comment-111897906>

On the contrary, how do you know what you say in your post?

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 05:27

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-pr esidency#comment-111897675>

Let me inform you. You have got a body, and you have got a soul. Both God's work. The soul is what makes you \*feel\* you are a conscious person even if you move in this world, or to the next. You will

\*feel\* you are you when you burn in Hell in the next world should you happen to end up there. It is all computation for God. To copy your body at a certain age in this world to the next world, with the same soul. The soul is the binding glue that keep you feeling that you are actually living in this world, and in the next. It is all done by magic, God's magic. The laws of physics apparent consistency is all an illusion. There exist real magic. it is all very subtle. I do not know why this is, and how magic works, but it works, that is all I know. I have evidenced magic. The laws of magic is all a skin on top of a truly magical world. God controls every atom of your body.

That is why I ask, "Who can you trust?"

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 04:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2018/feb/06/falcon-heavy-spacex-rocket-florida-launch#comment-111896890>

Imagine a Donald Trump tweet,

"I take full credit for the successful launch, since I prayed that the launch of the SpaceX Falcon Heavy rocket would be successful, which it was, if not perfect considering the centre rocket landing, but still it was a huge success. God is on my side, and listening to my prayers, not something anyone can say. But I can, since it obviously was a success."

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 04:08

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-presidency#comment-111896472>

Except that if you break down a camel to atoms, you cannot assemble the atoms to a camel again, something you can do with the fibers of a rope.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 04:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-presidency#comment-111896366>

No. The issue was not to "fit" the rope through the eye of the needle, but for a rope to "go through" the eye of the needle. One is true, one is not. Once you have passed all the components of the rope through, you have made it. Much like a crowd passes through a check-point of a football stadium, but not at the same time saying the crowd fits in the check-point. Do you see the difference?

Do you see the writing on the wall?

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 03:39

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-presidency#comment-111896059>

Well a rope is made of multiple fibers, thin fibers, if you take a fiber through the eye, each at a time of a fixed length rope, then you can make it.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 03:26

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-presidency#comment-111895847>

From what I have learned on the comments pages here, the greatest wisdom from "The art of the deal" book is,

"Make sure you can walk away, and make sure your opponent cannot do this, to the highest degree as possible, and you will have a great deal."

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 03:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-presidency#comment-111895615>

Do you know what the really annoying part of religion and faith is? It apparently matters. God is real. I can prove it to you. The stakes are really high. We are talking about possibly suffering in agony forever. Get it?

Who can you trust in these matters. That is the million dollar question.

There is a famous American military saying, "In a world of imperfect information intelligence, you have to do a choice, and bet on what you believe is true for real."

Well if Heaven and Hell is real, and life forever, whether it be in pleasure or agony, the real question is, "What do you bet on?"

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 01:49

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-pr esidency#comment-111894144>

"That whosoever would not seek the Lord God of Israel should be put to death, whether small or great, whether man or woman."

(s: 2 Chronicles 15:13, KJV)

Makes you think about "tolerant" Christians.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 01:30

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-pr esidency#comment-111893764>

I believe Jesus said, "It easier for a rope to go through the eye of a needle, than a rich man to go to Heaven."

Kamilos means Camel (NT was written in Greek). Kamelos means rope.

Best Regards,

/Per

7 February 2018 01:11

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/06/donald-trump-faith-politics-religious-pr esidency#comment-111893341>



There is a song that perfectly summarizes the Donald Trump phenomena. By Kirsty MacColl. She died while diving in Mexico. A billionaire boat drover over her. She saved her son, by sacrificing herself. The last thing she did. Please visit wikipedia for this. Here are the lyrics,

Oh darling, why'd you talk so fast?  
Another evening just flew past tonight  
And now the daybreak's coming in  
And I can't win and it ain't right  
You tell me all you've done and seen  
And all the places you have been without me  
Well, I don't really want to know  
But I'll stay quiet and then I'll go  
And you won't have no cause to think about me  
There's a guy works down the chip shop swears he's Elvis  
Just like you swore to me that you'd be true  
There's a guy works down the chip shop swears he's Elvis  
But he's a liar and I'm not sure about you  
Oh darling, you're so popular  
You were the best thing new in Hicksville  
With your mohair suits and foreign shoes  
News is you changed your pick-up for a Seville  
And now I'm lying here alone  
'Cause you're out there on the phone  
To some star in New York  
I can hear you laughing now  
And I can't help feeling that somehow  
You don't mean anything you say at all  
There's a guy works down the chip shop swears he's Elvis  
Just like you swore to me that you'd be true  
There's a guy works down the chip shop swears he's Elvis  
But he's a liar and I'm not sure about you  
There's a guy works down the chip shop swears he's Elvis  
Just like you swore to me that you'd be true  
There's a guy works down the chip shop swears he's Elvis  
But he's a liar and I'm not sure about you  
I said he's a liar and I'm not sure about you  
I said he's a liar and I'm not sure about you  
He's a liar and I'm not sure about you  
Best Regards,  
/Per

7 February 2018 00:47

<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2018/feb/06/falcon-heavy-spacex-rocket-florida-launch#comment-111892711>

Are you going to recognize when this person posts though, with a moniker of "ID0238758"? Probably did not understand that one could customize the moniker with a more recognizable tag. Once you have registered, you cannot change the moniker! Bad luck.

Best Regards,  
/Per

7 February 2018 00:24

<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2018/feb/06/falcon-heavy-spacex-rocket-florida-launch#comment-111892149>

What confused you? Please clarify. I am a very good pedagogue. My mother is a retired primary school teacher, probably got it from her. Gene wise, the hard school of life has only improved it.

Best Regards,  
/Per

7 February 2018 00:01

<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2018/feb/06/falcon-heavy-spacex-rocket-florida-launch#comment-111891570>

I googled a bit on Elon Musk's political standings. According to numerous sources he is "half-democrat and half-republican". He did not support Donald Trump in the 2016 election. Which country should take credit for this successful launch. It was not perfect. The video transmission of the center rocket failed, we do not know yet if it landed. Space X is an American company. Elon Musk was born in South Africa, yet he was bullied at school when there, so he probably hates his fellow South Africans. United Kingdom were the originators of Industrial Revolution. Sweden is the self-proclaimed humanitarian super power.

So many questions, so few answers.

Best Regards,  
/Per

6 February 2018 18:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/feb/06/bitcoin-price-crackdown-bis-cryptocurrency#comment-111879105>

The big question remains. Would Jesus Christ approve of the Bitcoin? Jesus main teachings was that of forgiveness and love all.

Though he said that the Devil should burn in Hell forever (MATTHEWS. 25:41). So the Devil and those who stood on his left side and the Devil were probably not forgiven and he probably did not love all, thus. So he said the Devil shall burn in Hell forever. Then on the last page of the Bible, he does a kicker ending, HE SAYS HE HIMSELF AS JESUS IS THE DEVIL (REVELATION. 22.16). So Jesus wants to burn in Hell is the only conclusion.

Be careful what you wish for, as the Chinese say, especially when it comes to God.

Jesus would probably not approve of Bitcoin.

Best Regards,

Per

6 February 2018 18:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/feb/06/bitcoin-price-crackdown-bis-cryptocurrency#comment-111877188>

I know the original inventor of Bitcoin with the moniker Satoshi Nakamoto (anagram for "so a man took a shit") intended it to be a RAT (Rise And Trash) for the fun of it and to earn a buck at the same time.

But now things have changed. Believe me.

Best Regards,

/Per

6 February 2018 16:56

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/feb/06/bitcoin-price-crackdown-bis-cryptocurrency#comment-111874199>

The whole point of Bitcoin is that it serves as a long term investment. To live off as a pension injection. If I am to believe the Bitcoin enthusiasts. The whole idea behind HODL investing strategy is that that you buy Bitcoin and never sell them. Short term speculators can get there fingers burnt. Why not sell? How does that work? You use your Bitcoins to buy things. Not sell them for another currency. If all Bitcoin investors did this strategy, Bitcoin can in theory only bur rise.

Note. I am not a Bitcoin holder.

Best Regards,

/Per

6 February 2018 16:41

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/feb/06/bitcoin-price-crackdown-bis-cryptocurrency#comment-111873532>

The point I tried to make is that all those four are ghosts. From the song, "You can't hurt me, I am a ghost."

Best Regards,

/Per

6 February 2018 16:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2018/feb/06/bitcoin-price-crackdown-bis-cryptocurrency#comment-111871721>

Amanda Jensen's "Ghost" explains it all. Brexit, Donald Trump, Bitcoin, Russia. The whole lot.

Best Regards,

/Per

6 February 2018 14:42

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/feb/06/stock-market-turmoil-europe-ftse100-heavy-losses-asia-us-dow-jones-live-updates#comment-111867207>

The irony is that all the fearful millenials are finally pouring into the market, one millennial even said that Trump is adding an extra layer of insulation to a crash!

(s: Keiser Report episode 1182 (30 Jan 2018) (c) Reuters, available for free on RT.com )

Best Regards,

/Per

5 February 2018 23:09

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/05/americans-uk-health-system-trump-nhs#comment-111829919>

Imagine a fascist place of work, where you are employed. At the exit of the work place there is a trap door. If opened it will cause you to fall to death. It can be opened at will by the boss. The boss decides the price for not to open the trap door. If you do not pay him, or pay less than what he demands the trap door will be opened and you will thus be killed.

Is that not extortion, you may ask. Well yes, but that is a parallel situation if you have a deadly condition and seek treatment at a private for profit health provider. They can charge you anything, and you will pay, just to be saved from the deadly condition.

Any thoughts?

Best Regards,

/Per

5 February 2018 22:01

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/05/americans-uk-health-system-trump-nhs#comment-111826231>

If you have a hole in your boat that is steadily increasing in size, it is not merely about man-power resources using buckets to empty the incoming water outside the boat. It is, about fixing the hole. In other words, resources in form of budget money is not the silver bullet that will solve NHS crisis, if costs continue to increase.

If you increase the budget for one entity, then necessarily you have to decrease the budget for other entities, necessarily, as every accountant knows, if the total revenue does not increase.

You cannot borrow your way out forever, as Greece knows, you have to find a sustainable solution.

Best Regards,

/Per

5 February 2018 21:29

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/05/americans-uk-health-system-trump-nhs#comment-111824745>

Ok. But I still think Britain should do something about the increasing costs of health tourism. It is unsustainable to not ask for proof that you are a UK citizen. You do not need identification cards, just some anonymous card that proves you are a UK citizen.

Best Regards,

/Per

5 February 2018 21:15

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/05/americans-uk-health-system-trump-nhs#comment-111824087>

Please do not tell the Americans without any health insurance that a cheap air ticket could get you free health care in UK worth tens of thousands of pounds.

Best Regards,  
/Per

5 February 2018 20:55

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/05/americans-uk-health-system-trump-nhs#comment-111823139>

A question. In Sweden you have to show your identification card or drivers licence to get an appointment. Obviously in A&E cases your get treatment regardless. But could you get a NHS appointment without proving who you are? In other words they trust what you say your name and nationality is?

Best Regards,  
/Per

5 February 2018 20:42

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/05/americans-uk-health-system-trump-nhs#comment-111822488>

In Sweden, once you have paid the fee, the prescription is without extra cost. However you have to pay for the medicines, though there is a ceiling of about 200 pounds per year, after that all medicines are free for that year.

Dental treatment is completely non-tax financed in Sweden. You have to pay everything yourself.

There are both public and private dental treatment service providers.

What about UK, regarding cost of medicines?

Best Regards,  
/Per

5 February 2018 20:31

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/05/americans-uk-health-system-trump-nhs#comment-111821940>

From what I have understood, point of service for NHS is completely free. Is this true?  
In Sweden, where we have free healthcare for all financed by taxes, as in the UK, you still have to pay a small fee (10-30 pounds) for an appointment. That way the hypochondriacs (and everyone else who seeks help for every possible and impossible reason) have think about their budget before they make an appointment. That keeps waste of resources to a manageable level due to over-usage.

Best Regards,  
/Per

5 February 2018 20:02

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/05/americans-uk-health-system-trump-nhs#comment-111820512>

The reason the US health care is much more expensive than NHS, or Sweden's for than matter, is I suspect,

1. Nurses are paid much more, I have heard a figure of aprox. ten thousand pounds per month.
2. The health care bill also pays for a lot of lawyers, because of the suing culture with unreasonable amounts paid out for malpractice.
3. The private hospitals charging much more than the cost, since they want as high profit as possible for their owners.
4. The insurance companies administration costs and profits (both for health insurance and insurance for malpractice).

I have suggested DARE as a remedy for this. (Read my history of posts)

Best Regards,  
/Per

5 February 2018 03:29

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/feb/04/carbon-emissions-negative-emissions-technologies-capture-storage-bill-gates#comment-111771958>

Dear John Vidal,

I googled a little and it seems according to one source that the CO2 that enters the atmosphere net (total emissions minus what is absorbed naturally by plants etc) is 15 gigatons per year. Than is 15 billion tons per year.

The prototype you described removes 1000 tons per day (I double checked with wikipedia, "Carbon Engineering"). That is if 50000 units are built  $1000 * 365 * 50000 = 18250000 = 18.25$  billion tons or 18.25 gigatons of CO2 removed per year.

Thus a net reduction of CO2 every year.

Is 50000 units a lot to the industrial capacity of the Earth? Probably not, millions and millions of cars are produced every year.

Best Regards,

/Per

4 February 2018 17:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/04/brexit-mess-theresa-may-tories#comment-111751192>

quote from the article "...a terrible party conference..."

But quite funny, especially the fall of "F" and "E", "BUILDING A COUNTRY THAT WORKS OR EVERYON"

(s: youtube "Conservative Conference 2017, at 1:41:53)

Best Regards,

/Per

4 February 2018 16:24

<https://www.theguardian.com/sport/2018/feb/03/super-bowl-predictions-mvp-patriots-eagles#comment-111750003>

Let us all hope Patriots lose. The reason is that I learned the Donald Trump supports the Patriots. The superstitious Americans will believe that Donald Trump is the right man, if the Patriots win.

Best Regards,

/Per

4 February 2018 16:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/feb/04/carbon-emissions-negative-emissions-technologies-capture-storage-bill-gates#comment-111749312>

Another solution, if possible, would be to plant massive amounts of magical money trees with the help of Christ. ;-) That effect would be major.



Best Regards,  
/Per

4 February 2018 15:39

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/feb/04/carbon-emissions-negative-emissions-technologies-capture-storage-bill-gates#comment-111748410>

The albedo effect is about 27-29% for deserts.

(s: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Albedo> )

My bet is that the reduced carbon-dioxide in the atmosphere due to CHRIST (my acronym, Carbon by Humans Reduced by Industrial Scale Technology) will lower temperatures more than the possible more heat due to solar cells in deserts.

I doubt all desert land will be needed for CHRIST to work, just a small percentage.

Thus in reality the albedo effect is negligible in any case. The reduced CO2 effect due to CHRIST (the saviour of the world?) is however not negligible.

Best Regards,  
/Per

4 February 2018 14:38

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/feb/04/carbon-emissions-negative-emissions-technologies-capture-storage-bill-gates#comment-111746482>

I doubt your statements. It easy to make up figures.

quote wikipedia, "In 2015, a 4-junction GaInP/GaAs//GaInAsP/GaInAs solar cell achieved a new laboratory record efficiency of 46.1 percent (concentration ratio of sunlight = 312) in a French-German collaboration between the Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems (Fraunhofer ISE), CEA-LETI and SOITEC.[43]"

(s: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar\\_cell](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_cell) )

The key is land usage and water usage. If you are going to plant trees in the desert, you would need far more energy for artificial desalination of salt water. One cubic meter of desalinated water requires 3-5-5 kWh per m3.

(s: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Desalination> )

Maybe you should be diligent before you post.

Best Regards,  
/Per

4 February 2018 14:03

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/feb/04/carbon-emissions-negative-emissions-technologies-capture-storage-bill-gates#comment-111745151>

The most efficient way to counter-act rising CO2 and its consequence is industrial scale artificial carbon capture with solar panels in deserts.

The reason is efficiency. Plants are 1% efficient using solar energy. Solar cells are up to 42%, while the cheaper ones are 15-20% currently.

Solar cells are now cheaper than coal powered power plants.

Smart ass Donald Trump subsidizes coal, and put tariffs on solar cells.

Go figure.

Best Regards,

/Per

4 February 2018 13:14

<https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2018/feb/04/carbon-emissions-negative-emissions-technologies-capture-storage-bill-gates#comment-111743385>

Humanity is doomed. Just like birds of prey are not adapted to wind turbines, and thus they are being killed, humans are not adapted to the fast technological evolution.

It is all in biological evolution. We are all concerned by the surface rather than understanding the fundamentals.

Read my history of comments, and save the link to my page on your computer for future reference.

Best Regards,

/Per

3 February 2018 03:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/02/theresa-may-brexit-future-disappointment-fact-free#comment-111693174>

Well on second thoughts, I think I owe you an explicit explanation of the last paragraph of the original post of mine.

What do I mean?

The last paragraph basically says that our politicians in EU (I am in an EU country, Sweden) and Britain have failed us completely. It is up to everyone in the EU and Britain to save themselves. I know of horrible facts, for the future. Undeniable facts. All learned from RT and thinking.

Imagine a God. A God that we all trust. Then you learn from facts of life, and from thinking, that this God is not happy with merely watching all the suffering that goes on in this aquarium world. God wants to be part and accountable for future torture. The only reason God has abstained from being accountable from doing suffering and torture, is the fact that God imagined an overlord that might punish God for causing suffering.

All is about power. Even for God. God wanted total power, and not having to worry about retribution from a possible overlord.

If this evil God gets all power, and not being worried anymore about any judgement of an overlord, then we all will be truly tortured for infinity, at God's evil will. Imagine being tortured with pain going towards infinity for an eternity. This is all God wants. To torture with magic.

So there is really no way out. For some. Unlucky.

It is all about saving yourselves now.

Imagine your commander of a battle SCREAMING, "THE BATTLE IS LOST, EVERYONE FOR ONE SELF NOW, SAVE YOURSELVES."

What kind of spirit does that statement convey? All is lost. That is what is conveyed.

The awful truth is that genetic engineering from scientists is that God.

Imagine a virus. Imagine a vaccine. War by virus and vaccine to everyone who will be saved.

Imagine multiple parties having a war.

Best Regards,

/Per

3 February 2018 02:02

[https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/02/theresa-may-brexit-future-disappointment-fact-free#comment-111692603](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/02/theresa-may-brexit-future-disappointm-ent-fact-free#comment-111692603)

All that came from that, came from RT.

Best Regards,

/Per

3 February 2018 01:51

[https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/02/theresa-may-brexit-future-disappointment-fact-free#comment-111692464](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/02/theresa-may-brexit-future-disappointm-ent-fact-free#comment-111692464)

Watch RT (Russia Today), and you might learn something.

You can watch the TV on the Internet, go to rt.com click LIVE or shows. I can recommend "Redacted Tonight" with host Lee Camp, a left wing comedian, a new episode every Saturday.

Best Regards,

/Per

3 February 2018 01:03

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/feb/02/theresa-may-brexit-future-disappointment-fact-free#comment-111691811>

quote Marina Hyde, "... there must be some weapons-grade good news about Brexit buried out there in the sand."

The weapons-grade good news about Brexit is in the sand of collective emotions about Brexit.

Could it be that when the commoner being subjected to emotions of being a minion to politicians, the judiciary system of judges and police, and the media finally get a feeling of being sexy, interesting and treated with respect through the Brexit vote has anything to do with it?

Could it be that the feelings of belonging to the wrong group has anything to do with it?

Could it be that the feeling of common sense has finally been hit?

Could it be the realization of EU and Britain has done a "chicken race" and both fatally wounded, and you need to escape from this fact?

Best Regards,

/Per

30 January 2018 23:45

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/30/leaked-brexit-memo-labour-choice-poorer#comment-111544731>

Did you notice that I wrote "who camps" instead of the intended "two camps"?

I write very fast, and press the send button quickly before reading what I wrote, as a habit. That way you can see what my subconscious wanted to type! It is called "Freudian slip"

A famous freudian slip was when David Cameron in his Conservative Conference speech 2015 (I believe) said "We resent the poor children", when the script from the teleprompter said "We represent the poor children".

Interesting with freudian slips, although I cannot decrypt what my sub-conscious meant with "who" instead of "two".

Best Regards,

/Per

30 January 2018 22:09

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/30/leaked-brexite-memo-labour-choice-poorer#comment-111540982>

John was a farmer. He had 40 years ago joined the Union of Nearby Farmers (UNF). Now he wanted to quit the union. People on the farm were divided into who camps, remain and leave. The remain camp said that our agricultural output will decrease dramatically and we will starve. Why said the leavers, the weather is not going to change, the soil is the same, we are still going to be diligent, the output will be the same.

But we cannot trade our potatoes for eggs from the nearby farms, said the remainers. But we can trade with others outside the UNF, and we can have chickens and hens of our own, said the leavers. The argument continues.

Best Regards,  
/Per

26 January 2018 20:19

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/26/davos-humiliation-britain-david-cameron-lakshmi-mittal-theresa-may#comment-111361168>

I believe in devolution of power, not concentration of power.

Best Regards,  
/Per

26 January 2018 20:15

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/26/davos-humiliation-britain-david-cameron-lakshmi-mittal-theresa-may#comment-111361051>

"SEX" in Swedish means six or sex. Same word. "IT" is "DET" or "DEN" in Swedish.

Best Regards,  
/Per

26 January 2018 19:53

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/26/davos-humiliation-britain-david-cameron-lakshmi-mittal-theresa-may#comment-111360293>

I used to be ambivalent as to EU and Brexit. I actually voted for Sweden to join the EU in the mid 90's. I remember my father said the greatest advantage to join was that then "the socialdemocrats could not rule with their sosse-politik anymore."

But now I want Sweden to leave the EU. SEXIT!

Best Regards,

/Per

26 January 2018 19:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/26/davos-humiliation-britain-david-cameron-lakshmi-mittal-theresa-may#comment-111359225>

I see from your comment history that you are a Brexit supporter. Where do you live? Are you a Russian troll? If not, I would like to inform you that the single greatest advantage of Brexit is that the UK government has more power over UK affairs outside EU than inside.

E.g. If Labour wins 2022 GE, and decides to nationalize railways etc, that will be in their power to do so, but if inside EU you can bet that EU would soon pass an EU law (that is UK law if inside EU) that reverses that nationalization and then forbids nationalizations outright.

Best Regards,

/Per

26 January 2018 15:10

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/jan/26/davos-2018-donald-trump-carney-mcdoonnell-world-economic-forum-live#comment-111348199>

(Hard deleted without a trace, then after some time it appeared again!)

Donald Trump slamming the media, once again. I saw the live stream, and there were boos, but not from the whole room, just a few, probably the press.

When I was in Africa, doing my O-levels and A-levels, and also doing Swedish schooling, my Swedish literature teacher was Lars Hedin. He was incredible fat and obese, abnormally fat, probably a weight of 250 kg. We in the Swedish community called him Lasse Liten (Lasse Little), since a famous song is called Lasse Liten.

He was a poker player and intelligent. He was a CEO of TGB, Botswana. They drilled for water, there.

Once he told me he had applied for the School of Journalism in Sweden (there is only one in Sweden). He had to do a test. A test with many political questions. He answered them as if he was a rabid left winger. He passed. Then he realized "what kind of colleagues I would get", so even if he got in, he passed that and became a businessman instead.

There is a song called "Twist in my sobriety" by Tanita Tikaram. In that song, she sings "Different thoughts are good for me".

Evolution tells what is good for humanity in terms of survival. Evolution has made us diversified, in terms of political leaning. Right and left. It is not good if only left is representing the press. We need both leanings. Genes determine to a great degree if you are left wing or right wing. (American study) I do not know if the entire world only accepts left leaning people to journalism. But if they did, it would be a shame. Perhaps there is some truth in the critique by Donald Trump.

Best Regards,

/Per

25 January 2018 18:21

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/jan/25/davos-2018-may-trump-hammond-lagar-de-climate-change-crypto-tax-avoidance-day-three-live#comment-111313461>

- Why is the Donald so keen on meeting other Heads of State?
- He wants to build bridges. Bridge is his favourite game.
- Why?
- He always has trump on his hand.

Best Regards,

/Pe

25 January 2018 17:40

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/jan/25/davos-2018-may-trump-hammond-lagar-de-climate-change-crypto-tax-avoidance-day-three-live#comment-111311689>

- Why are the far right Nazis scared to death of Donald Trump?
- He likes Jews.

Best Regards,

/Per

25 January 2018 16:41

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/jan/25/davos-2018-may-trump-hammond-lagar-de-climate-change-crypto-tax-avoidance-day-three-live#comment-111308676>

I have found Donald Trump's secret diary! Among other important revelations, I found his secret key to power revelation.

"If you piss into the wind hard enough and long enough, the wind will turn."

Best Regards,

/Per

25 January 2018 15:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/jan/25/davos-2018-may-trump-hammond-lagarde-climate-change-crypto-tax-avoidance-day-three-live#comment-111305933>

Journalist: "Who is ruling the world?"

Trump and May in unison: "The Anglo-Saxons!"

Journalist: "But didn't the Normans beat the Anglo-Saxons in 1066?"

T&M: "OK, the Normans are ruling the world."

Journalist: "But isn't God ruling the world?"

T&M: "Technically, yes, but God never intervenes."

Journalist: "But I have proof that God intervenes."

T&M: "God cheats!?"

Journalist: "Yes, but He is subtle!"

T&M: "Ok."

Best Regards,

/Per

23 January 2018 14:59

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/jan/23/davos-2018-narendra-modi-justin-trudeau-cate-blanchett-inequality-wef-day-1-live#comment-111214301>

quote you "What are the tangible economic and societal benefits for countries with aging populations not bringing in as many migrants as they can get their hands on?"

But the immigrants will age too, one day. Who is going to take care of them when all those are pensioners. More immigrants ad infinitum, keeping your policy England has billions of people soon.

Best Regards,

/Per

23 January 2018 13:50



<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/jan/23/davos-2018-narendra-modi-justin-trudeau-cate-blanchett-inequality-wef-day-1-live#comment-111211350>

True nationalists are all for adopting children of various races to become good citizens within your own culture. English blacks are much more English than some whites in South Africa. It is really all about culture. Racism is all about kicking you down for something you cannot do anything about. You can adopt to a culture, but you cannot adopt to your colour of your skin. Best Regards,  
/Per

23 January 2018 13:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/jan/23/davos-2018-narendra-modi-justin-trudeau-cate-blanchett-inequality-wef-day-1-live#comment-111210465>

Nationalism is all about allowing the world to have many different nations. Globalization is about abolishing nations and borders. Hitler wanted to abolish nations. Do you not see the pattern, surveillance everywhere, globalist world without nations. When there is no nations, there is nowhere to flee, should you be unlucky. You can run but you cannot hide... Best Regards,  
/Per

23 January 2018 13:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/live/2018/jan/23/davos-2018-narendra-modi-justin-trudeau-cate-blanchett-inequality-wef-day-1-live#comment-111208472>

quote "Modi: Globalisation is under attack"

This is actually a strange comment from Modi. He has revitalized Indian nationalism.

Nationalism, true nationalism, is actually very good. The reason nationalism feels so bad, is because the far-right Nazis have associated it with racism. Nazis are doing so much damage by being disgusting shit and then associating things that are actually nice with themselves.

Nationalism is all about having your own culture, instead of a global world going towards one commercial culture, all the same all over the world.

Just like you can have your own house rules in your home.

Hitler was not for nationalism. He did not respect other nations and their nationalists. He invaded other nations, and aimed for a globalist world with the Nazis ruling it all. Fascism is all about globalization and ruling the world with force. Nationalism is about respecting other nationalists and nations, and respecting others to rule themselves.

Best Regards,  
/Per

22 January 2018 15:33

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/jan/21/jd-wetherspoon-tim-martin-tirade-pub-trade-brexit-in-chief#comment-111165495>

Here are some more business rules,

Business Rule "Cost"

There is a cost associated with your every action. Sometimes cheap, sometimes expensive.

Caveat.

Sometimes a short term cost can be cheap but very expensive in the long term. Sometimes a short term expensive cost can be very cheap in the long term.

Business Rule "Newton"

To every action there is a reaction. Just like in chess. But as in chess, you can still win.

Caveat.

Sometimes what seems as an insignificant short term reaction can be a very significant long term reaction. Sometimes what seems as a significant reaction can be a very insignificant reaction in the long term.

Business Rule "Insurance"

It is much better to take a small limited loss than a risk to lose everything but at a same time, a chance to be loss free. Even if the COP (Chance Odds Product, s: "Simple Rulership Techniques) loss is the same in both cases.

Caveat.

Sometimes you have to go for the risk if the long term projection is continued small losses until you lose everything.

Best Regards,

/Per

21 January 2018 21:58

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/jan/21/jd-wetherspoon-tim-martin-tirade-pub-trade-brexit-in-chief#comment-111134761>

Since this is in the business opinion section, I will give some business advice for free.

Business Rule "Entail"

If someone is nice to you, reward this someone. If someone is nasty to you, punish this someone.

Caveat.

Sometimes someone is nice to you in the short term, in order to be nasty to you in the long term.  
Sometimes someone is nasty to you in the short term, in order to be nice to you in the long term.  
How does this Business Rule "Entail" apply to Brexit? Think.

Best Regards,  
/Per

20 January 2018 23:47

<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2018/jan/20/brexit-britain-tired-old-economy-not-strong-enough#comment-111104182>

I do not think there will be a civil war in UK because of Brexit and the division it has caused.  
Hate in society will probably not kill you.  
But you should write a will in any case.  
You need someone who appreciates you in afterlife when that time comes.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

20 January 2018 19:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/19/boris-johnson-channel-bridge-churchill-brexit#comment-111097608>

quote "It is easier to reform the EU. The Westminster system is difficult to reform and I doubt if it is even worth it. The USE is just a figment of your imagination. "  
So as a general principle you think it is easier to reform larger entities than smaller? Easier to reform the EU than your family?  
USE is not a figment of my imagination.  
quote the Guardian,  
"Martin Schulz wants 'United States of Europe' within eight years"  
(s:  
<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2017/dec/07/martin-schulz-united-states-of-europe-germany-sdp>  
)  
Best Regards,  
/Per

20 January 2018 18:36

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/19/boris-johnson-channel-bridge-churchill-brexit#comment-111096942>

Do you think it is easier to reform the system in UK or the USE by the British?

All Jeremy Corbyn has to say is to scrap the House of Lords in his manifesto. Together with PR (Proportional Representation).

I do not understand the role of the House of Lords, they have no power in practise anyway, since all their votes can be overturned by MPs in due time (s: wikipedia)

Best Regards,

/Per

20 January 2018 18:23

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/19/boris-johnson-channel-bridge-churchill-brexit#comment-111096596>

Labour 2022!

Best Regards,

/Per

20 January 2018 18:07

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/19/boris-johnson-channel-bridge-churchill-brexit#comment-111096119>

Apathy is the disinterest in life as you live it. Polls have shown that many people \*feel\* they have no influence of how the country is run.

Do you think that feeling will increase or decrease if you give all power to the future USE (United States of Europe), where laws are made by unelected individuals to a high degree in EU's current form (s: wikipedia on EU)?

To feel that you have influence over your life increases the feeling of meaning of life. Do you agree?

Devolution of power is all about giving life a meaning to people.

i would rather be having a feeling of meaning in my life, than drive a mercedes to work and feel completely unhappy.

Best Regards,

/Pe

20 January 2018 17:44

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/19/boris-johnson-channel-bridge-churchill-brexit#comment-111095480>

I am both left wing and right wing. That characteristic let me analyze correctly many things in terms of right and left.

The best thing of the left, is its distribution of power ideology. Money is power, and left is for equalizing money ownership.

The best thing of the right, is its devolution of tasks, trusting the individual to do what is best for himself or herself, thus when you have the right motivational structure, you can delegate power and trust that individuals will do their best. Thus the right is also about distribution of power.

Brexit is both left wing and right wing in this sense. It is about delegating power to the UK instead of concentration of power to the EU. Thus Brexit is about devolution of power. To trust that people can rule themselves, without being minions to some higher power.

Will Brexit be a success? That depends on the competence of the British. If they are capable of ruling themselves, Brexit will be a success.

Best Regards,

/Per

19 January 2018 23:54

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/19/boris-johnson-channel-bridge-churchill-brexit#comment-111077210>

Dare.

Best Regards,

/Per

19 January 2018 23:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/19/boris-johnson-channel-bridge-churchill-brexit#comment-111076875>

During the immigration crisis, many at DM said, "We have the channel, thank God".

With a bridge, this statement is void. Get it?

Best Regards,

/Per

19 January 2018 22:29

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/19/boris-johnson-channel-bridge-churchill-brexit#comment-111075760>

Would it be workable? Make up your mind.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

19 January 2018 21:31

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/19/boris-johnson-channel-bridge-churchill-brexit#comment-111074703>

There are many here among the commentators who say the government is incompetent. There is a simple method called DARE (Diagnosis And Result Evaluation) that could work in schools, health care, social services, elderly care, and even prisons. Whether the service providers are private or not. For example, imagine you have a single payer school system with private schools. An independent evaluation service evaluates all school children prior to school start and gives each child a score. After each school year the said service evaluates performance result of each child. Then the private school get paid accordingly. A student that have poor results in the diagnosis prior to school, and the same gets high scores at performance results, tell that the school have done a great work, and gets paid accordingly with high payout. If the opposite, low payout. Could DARE be implemented for the ruling of a country, e.g. the government gets paid according to DARE?  
Best Regards,  
/Per

19 January 2018 18:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/19/boris-johnson-channel-bridge-churchill-brexit#comment-111069336>

quote the Guardian,  
"One group of Tory remainers watching the speech on TV jeered out loud when a rather pale Johnson said leaving Europe needn't mean pulling up the drawbridge; that this epic victory for Nigel Farage could somehow "take the wind out of the sails" of anyone playing politics with immigration. Too late for all that now, one said."

(s:

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/jun/24/a-pyrrhic-victory-boris-johnson-wakes-up-to-the-costs-of-brexit> )

So Boris Johnson was rather pale, on 24th of June 2016, just when the Brexit vote was certain.

"The most people want from an artist is sincerity, if you can fake that, you'll make it."

(s: I first heard it on BBC Radio 4, "The Archers", but it probably originated in France with Jean Giraudoux)

It seems Boris Johnson can't even fake it! He was rather pale! He is not a Brexiteer in heart. He is doing a con on the British people!

Just like Nigel Farage and Arron Banks. They say they are Brexiteers, but then Nigel and Arron said they wanted a second referendum, all while the polls show a significant favour of a remain vote result, should a second referendum take place!

Further, the key members of the EU, according to the Guardian (see my historic posts for guardian link), wants to make a binding law forbidding a third referendum should a remain result be a reality in the second referendum. Thus the EU wants Britain to NEVER leave by referendums should a second referendum show remain.

Is it a devious plan by Nigel and Arron? To make Britain NEVER leave.

"You can check out anytime you want, but you can NEVER leave."

(s: Hotel California, Eagles)

Best Regards,

/Per

18 January 2018 22:54

<https://www.theguardian.com/global/commentisfree/2018/jan/18/fear-donald-trump-us-president-art-of-the-deal#comment-111036025>

\*that uses carbondioxide and water with electricity

18 January 2018 22:49

<https://www.theguardian.com/global/commentisfree/2018/jan/18/fear-donald-trump-us-president-art-of-the-deal#comment-111035835>

They have a chance of being viewed as saviour of the world from Climate Change, that Donald Trump does not believe in, in spite of hurricane Harvey that devastated Houston 2017.

They will win mid terms 2018.

Presidential election 2020.

And a good chance of all further elections, simply by repeating, "Who saved the world? Yes, that's right, the Democrats."

I believe Russian hackers have already got the messages that I sent, so I can tell you that the idea was about announcing a competition open to the world, to submit ideas of how to produce carbon

capture devices cheaply, the carbon capture devices will be needed to be produced cheaply since millions will be produced to be placed in deserts, powered by solar cell technology (cheaper than coal power now). The participants will not have to build prototypes, that will the hosts do, to prove the technology. The prizes will be grand, and since it is about saving the world, it will cause a lot of media stir. And people will forever remember the Democrats as the saviour of the world. It is about associating positive potency with the name Democrats. The carbondioxide captured from air will be converted to blue crude, and AUDI process, 70% efficient, that uses carbon dioxide and air with electricity. Then you can make diesel out of blue crude or bury it in the ground.

CHRIST - the saviour of the world.

Best Regards,

/Per

18 January 2018 22:24

<https://www.theguardian.com/global/commentisfree/2018/jan/18/fear-donald-trump-us-president-art-of-the-deal#comment-111034770>

Code name CHRIST - Carbon by Humans Reduced by Industrial Scale Technology.

Best Regards,

/Per

18 January 2018 22:15

<https://www.theguardian.com/global/commentisfree/2018/jan/18/fear-donald-trump-us-president-art-of-the-deal#comment-111034352>

I wrote to the Democrats today, and gave them a secret weapon. Hopefully they will realize its potential and act quickly. There are so many spies and hackers around, so they will have to realize it before the hackers get it and notify the Republicans.

Best Regards,

/Per

18 January 2018 21:59

<https://www.theguardian.com/global/commentisfree/2018/jan/18/fear-donald-trump-us-president-art-of-the-deal#comment-111033763>

quote T Schwartz "My hope and belief is that Trump will no longer be president by this year's end."



That is my hope and belief too. I hope and believe that the Democrats will get a majority in the House and Senate after midterms 2018.

Then they can impeach him for whatever reason they want, and vote in the Congress about it. Hell, they could even impeach him for eating cheeseburgers in bed as a president.

Best Regards,  
/Per

17 January 2018 03:47

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/jan/16/leicester-city-fleetwood-town-fa-cup-replay-match-report#comment-110951920>

Yes, the truth of somebody!  
Best Regards,  
/Per

17 January 2018 02:26

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/jan/16/leicester-city-fleetwood-town-fa-cup-replay-match-report#comment-110951405>

Do you know what will happen at noon in England today?

The plan is that no-one will tell you the truth.

Ha, ha. (After having drunk 5 beers)

Best Regards,  
/Per

17 January 2018 01:51

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/2018/jan/16/leicester-city-fleetwood-town-fa-cup-replay-match-report#comment-110951130>

Question: Why did the Foxes win 2015/2016 Premier League?

Answer: Leicester City F.C. was waiting for a secret weapon.

Best Regards,  
/Per

16 January 2018 23:55

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/16/democratic-tragedy-brexit-ancient-greece-citizens-assembly-democracy#comment-110949851>

The Guardian is a quality newspaper, recognized by many intellectuals. Even Steve Bannon, and ardent right winger recognizes the Guardian as his favourite newspaper (online I suppose), according to the book "Fire and Fury" by Wolff.

The Guardian is a left wing newspaper, and I am a person with left wing genes (genes decide to a high degree if you are a left or right winger according to an American scientific study many years ago), but was brought up in a right wing environment.

There you have it.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 23:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/16/democratic-tragedy-brexit-ancient-greece-citizens-assembly-democracy#comment-110949540>

quote the Guardian,

"Martin Schulz wants 'United States of Europe' within eight years"

(s:

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2017/dec/07/martin-schulz-united-states-of-europe-germany-sdp>

)

Nuff said?

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 23:25

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/16/democratic-tragedy-brexit-ancient-greece-citizens-assembly-democracy#comment-110949344>

What people must learn and remember is that experts have an agenda. They are not impartial. They all have their hands in the EU cookie jar.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 23:02

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/16/democratic-tragedy-brexit-ancient-greece-citizens-assembly-democracy#comment-110948911>

Key member states are Germany, France, Italy.

Do you think they do not have the main say in EU? Do you think Angela Merkel has a say in EU policy?

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 22:26

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/16/democratic-tragedy-brexit-ancient-greece-citizens-assembly-democracy#comment-110948103>

Use your share option, if you have facebook or twitter.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 22:14

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/16/democratic-tragedy-brexit-ancient-greece-citizens-assembly-democracy#comment-110947831>

See now why Nigel Farage (MEP - have had talks with other EU parliament members, without a doubt) and Arron Banks are complete traitors to the leave campaign. They are probably colluding with EU to make sure UK can NEVER get out of the EU through referendums.

Best Regards,

/Pe

16 January 2018 21:57

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/16/democratic-tragedy-brexit-ancient-greece-citizens-assembly-democracy#comment-110947494>

With the consequence (if second referendum is remain) that Britain can NEVER get out of the EU through referendums.

Best Regards,  
/Per

16 January 2018 21:44

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/16/democratic-tragedy-brexite-ancient-greece-citizens-assembly-democracy#comment-110947256>

quote the Guardian,

"Brussels is not making preparations for a second UK referendum, with senior officials regarding it as highly improbable. In the event of a remain vote, however, key member states would probably seek to legally bind the UK into not calling a third referendum in the foreseeable future, officials believe."

(s:

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2018/jan/15/norway-may-rip-up-eu-deal-over-uk-brexite-demand>  
s )

So if the outcome of second referendum is leave, then there will be a third referendum and if the outcome is remain, UK will have binding law that forbids a third referendum.

See how undemocratic the EU is now?

Power politics.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 17:15

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexite-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110937416>

quote ATL "Shawcroft says it's just 'a minor sub-committee' and she doesn't know what all the fuss is about"

Believe in prayer, folks...

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 17:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexit-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110936559>

google "comedian nazi germany my dog jumped this high"  
He probably knew he was getting a severe punishment.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

16 January 2018 17:48

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexit-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110935858>

This whole mess makes me wonder, who is the UK a minion to?  
Reminds me of a comedian in Nazi Germany. He made the Nazi salute on stage, and the whole audience did the salute together in return.  
Then he said "Today my dog jumped this high."  
Best Regards,  
/Per

16 January 2018 16:33

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexit-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110935017>

I guess you think UK is like Sweden.  
In Sweden we say,  
"If USA says jump, Sweden says, 'how high?'"  
Best Regards,  
/Per

16 January 2018 15:51

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexit-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110932736>

I googled "boris johnson erdogan goat" and got

Johnson then offered the limerick: "There was a young fellow from Ankara, Who was a terrific wankerer.

"Till he sowed his wild oats, With the help of a goat, But he didn't even stop to thankera."

(s:

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/may/19/boris-johnson-wins-most-offensive-erdogan-poem-competition> )

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 14:54

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexit-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110929581>

Same with facebook filter algorithms, to make people live in a filter bubble. The Guardian is still more serious than the Sun for example, and still has much more web traffic (170 m unique visitors a month)

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 14:35

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexit-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110928595>

Einstein was a notorious misspeller. Google it.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 14:24

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexit-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110927991>

Regarding EU contributions that UK make, the true figure is gross contribution minus rebate (however this rebate may not be in the future), since the money that goes back to the UK, is decided by EU.

Reason is best illustrated with an example.

Imagine you live in village where you pay 5000 pounds every year to the community of houses. Now the community say you only pay 500 pounds a year since 4500 goes back to your house. However, the community decides to paint your house red, a colour you hate, and since they pay for it they say that the money has gone back to you.

See my point. Only money that you decide on what to spend on is your money.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 14:12

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexit-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110927183>

If the Guardian want people to read more ATL than BTL, by making a terrible font in BTL and a good font in ATL, it is better to only allow paid subscribers to make comments BTL. That would help their terrible economy too.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 13:59

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexit-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110926342>

It used to be darker, now it is light grey, making it difficult to read.

Best Regards,

/Per

16 January 2018 10:53

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/blog/live/2018/jan/16/brexit-boris-johnson-condemned-for-escalating-discredited-claim-about-saving-uk-350m-per-week-politics-live#comment-110914644>

That's right, to be a brown-nose minion of EU is so brave.

IRONY.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

15 January 2018 17:40

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/15/brexit-second-referendum-nigel-farage-remain-leave#comment-110883625>

On the TV news yesterday in Sweden, Pentagon said they would use Artificial Intelligence (AI) to automatically with computers comment on social media. To form opinion to their liking. AI that uses expert psychology to monitor emotion on social media to get the highest number of up votes.

Do you think it is already here realized?

Best Regards,  
/Per

15 January 2018 16:17

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/15/brexit-second-referendum-nigel-farage-remain-leave#comment-110879038>

"Very funny and erudite movie. You will understand the world, and how it operates, better if you see and understand this movie.

In the closing scene, with Brian on the cross, the movie ends with the song "Always look on the bright side". Well taking this idea further, you will realise that the movie ends happy for Brian, though not shown. You see, Judith, his lover, knew that he was on the cross, and had not been released, as the order from Pontus Pilate was. All Judith had to do, was to point out this to the Romans, and they like to follow orders, and because she loved Brian, it is easy to assume that she would realise this and tell the Romans about this order not being fulfilled. Thus eventually he would be saved."

(s: IMDb comment on Life of Brian by Per T)

Best Regards.  
/Per

15 January 2018 16:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/15/brexit-second-referendum-nigel-farage-remain-leave#comment-110878397>

"You have to think for yourselves."



Brian  
(s: Life of Brian, Monthy Python)  
Best Regards,  
/Per

15 January 2018 15:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/15/brexit-second-referendum-nigel-farage-remain-leave#comment-110877689>

quote you "Remain 51%, Leave 41%, Don't know 8% (8 December 2017)"  
Of course Nigel Farage are aware of the opioin polls. Thus Nigel Farage is a traitor to the leave campaign. Strange but true.

Best Regards.  
/Per

15 January 2018 14:29

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/15/brexit-second-referendum-nigel-farage-remain-leave#comment-110872582>

The main problem leavers have is the leadership.  
Theresa May is a remainer.  
Nigel Farage turned out to be a remainer.  
Arron Banks turned out to be a remainer.  
Talk about remainers being devious.  
Some odds we leavers had, huh.  
Best Regards,  
/Per

15 January 2018 13:37

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/15/brexit-second-referendum-nigel-farage-remain-leave#comment-110869132>

And do not think you can be irresponsible, and just kill yourself if things go wrong.  
If you kill yourself, you will notice that there is more worlds that this one.  
"You can check out anytime, but you can never leave..."

(s: Hotel California, Eagles)  
Best Regards,  
/Per

15 January 2018 13:18

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/15/brexit-second-referendum-nigel-farage-remain-leave#comment-110867815>

Before someone calls me paranoid, and I am thinking only the worst of humanity let me tell you one thing. I have studied history.

Germany in the beginning of last century was considered one of the most civilized societies in the world. Well educated population, high arts etc.

Did you know that in the Holocaust Nazis tortured Jews for fun. They even invited Muslims to torture Jews for fun. According to an account, the Muslim tortured Jews so horribly that even the Nazis were shocked. (s: Swedish National Radio, SR, P1 a few years ago)

Life is no game.

Best Regards,  
/Per

15 January 2018 12:41

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/15/brexit-second-referendum-nigel-farage-remain-leave#comment-110865021>

But leaving the EU is the correct thing.

Do you believe Nietzsche's "will to power"?

Do you believe the tale of the slow cooking frog?

Do you believe that in future, with technology as surveillance, AI that makes no mistakes in advising, new weapons, that once power has been achieved by devious tactics, it is absolute power, and can never be defeated.

Do you believe that people who have achieved absolute power get bored, and start to harm for fun.

Best Regards,  
/Per

14 January 16:29

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/13/nuclear-policy-review-war-risk#comment-110825771>

Regarding the proof of God, please understand it and spread it as much as possible. When people are afraid of God and His judgement, they will probably behave better, since many figure out that God will be angry if his aquarium (this Universe) is destroyed by nuclear war, pestilence from genetically modified viruses etc, since then God's amusement from watching us in his aquarium will be no more.

Best Regards,  
/Per

14 January 2018 05:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/jan/14/half-of-non-believers-pray-says-poll#comment-110800623>

quote "An eternity is a very long time, especially the last part."

Does that quote scare you?

Best Regards,  
/Per

14 January 2018 03:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/jan/14/half-of-non-believers-pray-says-poll#comment-110799778>

quote from the article, " Prayer can involve requests, but it's unhelpful to see God as a heavenly Santa."

Best Regards,  
/Per

14 January 2018 02:51

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/13/nuclear-policy-review-war-risk#comment-110799059>

Not true. All ten nuclear powers could engage in a total nuclear war every 1000 years, and fulfilling your example assumption.

Best Regards,  
/Per

14 January 2018 02:36

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/jan/14/half-of-non-believers-pray-says-poll#comment-110798836>

The problem with you Christians (if you are one) is that you do not seem to believe in biological evolution. You have a problem with that. Why cannot you believe that God works through evolution?

Best Regards,

/Per

14 January 2018 01:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/13/nuclear-policy-review-war-risk#comment-110798092>

Your argument is out of ignorance.

It is as if I state a mathematical truism, and there exist an unequivocal proof in a math book, and you say it is complete, utter and total bollocks without even looking into the book.

e.g. Chain Rule

Look it up on wikipedia.

Best Regards,

/Per

14 January 2018 01:30

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/jan/14/half-of-non-believers-pray-says-poll#comment-110797648>

I have not managed it, apparently rather a Russian citizen, if I was told the truth.

Want to read it?

1. Google tax harm (quickest)

2. Go through my history of comments (about 40 minutes to read them all)

Best Regards,

/Per

14 January 2018 01:05

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/jan/14/half-of-non-believers-pray-says-poll#comment-110796978>

What about the unequivocal proof of God?

Best Regards,

/Per

14 January 2018 00:03

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/13/nuclear-policy-review-war-risk#comment-110794878>

Do you believe in God?

I have a proof of God, unequivocal proof, in my history of comments. I dare you to challenge that proof.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 January 2018 23:15

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/13/nuclear-policy-review-war-risk#comment-110793291>

I do not seriously think the Trump presidency will start a nuclear war. Reason? 1. He does not seem insane. 2. There was a military official that said that he would disobey order for nuclear strike if illegal. 3. According to the book Fire and Fury by Wolff, Trump did not want and did not expect to win the presidency, he merely wanted to promote the Trump brand for commercial purposes, thus he is a pragmatic, self-interested guy who wants to survive, not a suicide-bomber material. 4. He believes in God and His judgement.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 January 2018 22:52

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/13/nuclear-policy-review-war-risk#comment-110792590>

\*positive number greater than one

13 January 2018 22:32

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/13/nuclear-policy-review-war-risk#comment-110791901>

Nuclear war is a certainty in an infinite amount of time. If nuclear war is a non-zero chance, then this non-zero chance multiplied by infinity is a positive number, i.e. certainty.

But there are other threats, such as genetically modified viruses, much cheaper to develop.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 January 2018 21:31

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/13/nuclear-policy-review-war-risk#comment-110790382>

It is called irony. Life's little ironies.

Like the best left wing television shows are on rt, a propaganda outlet of right wing Russia! Some true world irony I do not understand. Do not believe me? Go there and click on shows. Respond to this thread once you have an opinion about it.

Best Regards,

/Per

13 January 2018 18:16

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/13/nuclear-policy-review-war-risk#comment-110785769>

I think you are right. Doom and gloom. At least we can laugh at the misery. I recently discovered a very funny comedy show. Google "rt redacted tonight" or go to rt dot com and click on shows and then redacted tonight.

Redacted tonight is hosted by Lee Camp, a left wing comedian from Washington DC.

Heard from today's show, regarding that police want to collect DNA freely from the public,

- The police ask for "stop and spit"

- Well at least it is not "stop and swallow"

Best Regards,

/Per

12 January 2018 21:29

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/12/referendum-ii-farage-brexit-steve-banon#comment-110764862>

What political course do Brexiteers hope for by summer 2019?

Left.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 January 2018 13:49

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/12/racist-in-chief-shithole-countries-donald-trump-bigotry-historic-racism#comment-110749822>

If Trump is a racist, he is despicable. If he meant his words in his inauguration speech, that "no matter if you are white, brown or black, all our blood is red", then he has a point.

You see culture matters, not race. Race is like a computer hardware, as long as it is functioning, it does not really matter that much which computer hardware you have. What matters is the software, the culture. If your software is buggy, then your system will work poorly.

Culture is much more important than genes. Proven by the fact that in the future culture will produce any genes in designer babies.

Are all cultures equal? No.

The illegal drug addict culture is not of the same worth as a clean one in this context. It is much less worth.

The Nazi culture is not of the same worth as a democratic culture. It is much less worth.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 January 2018 11:09

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/11/labour-europe-cautious-jeremy-corbyn-dilemma-come-out-fighting#comment-110741654>

Unexplained moderation.

What appeared to disappear was saved and left a legacy.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 January 2018 02:23 [the post I was responding to was deleted without a trace, unexplained]

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/11/labour-europe-cautious-jeremy-corbyn-dilemma-come-out-fighting#comment-110732255>

quote "and nobody has a clue what socialism actually is"

Time to introduce "Perism".

Perism is defined as a "democratic government owning all large businesses under a free market money oriented society".

That way the ownership of large businesses and therefore the power is under democratic rule.

Medium and small businesses can freely form and remain privately owned.

A free market allows for government businesses to go bankrupt and all their bosses and workers are out of a job due to poor performance. That way the whip is on the bosses of government businesses to perform well.

A classic problem in government businesses (who often are politically put there) under socialism, empirically proved.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 January 2018 02:03

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/11/labour-europe-cautious-jeremy-corbyn-dilemma-come-out-fighting#comment-110732032>

quote "and I'd wager a large majority don't feel like they have any power to alter the course of the country"

If you have a facebook or twitter account, you can be viewed as smart by your followers AND affect the course of the country by simply sharing comments that you deem smart.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 January 2018 01:04

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/11/labour-europe-cautious-jeremy-corbyn-dilemma-come-out-fighting#comment-110731197>

Many times remainers have said, "Give us one advantage of Brexit, you can't."



Well the main advantage is obvious to me, so I will state it in clear language.

"To have more power over your own affairs."

There you go.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 January 2018 00:50

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/11/labour-europe-cautious-jeremy-corbyn-dilemma-come-out-fighting#comment-110731020>

Imagine you were a farmer. Quite a large farm, self-sufficient. Things are going quite well, none is starving and quality of life is high.

Then one day your neighbouring farms says, "Join us in our trading union.". That sounds good and you join. Soon, however you realize that this trading union want to make up the rules on your farm, when you get out of bed, what you feed your cattle with, when you go to sleep, and that any other people from the other farms can live and work on your farm. So it was more than a trading union.

Then they talk of United States of Farms, and a common army.

So you decide to quit. To have more power of the affairs in your farm.

But then the union says, but then you cannot trade freely with us. Then we will have tariffs. You see, we the union are afraid that others will quit, so we want to punish you for quitting.

Do you really not understand Jeremy Corbyn's position?

Best Regards,

/Per

12 January 2018 00:26

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/11/labour-europe-cautious-jeremy-corbyn-dilemma-come-out-fighting#comment-110730617>

The left has always been about devolution of power. Against power concentration. The right has always been about serving the incumbent power. EU is the incumbent power.

If Brexit is realized, then the ruling party of the UK, e.g. Labour come GE 2022, will have much more power over the affairs of UK, than if a member of EU. The reason is obvious. Being a member of the EU necessarily means that you give legislative power to the EU.

Consider Germany's 50 million fine to facebook and twitter for hate speech. Who decides what is hate speech? Could it be any speech that is critical of the incumbent power? The EU court is the final court, and the final say. Can you not see the pattern?

Guardian will NOT be a free speech paper if the current trajectory of EU regarding limitations of free speech is realized.

Once the EU court establishes what is hate speech, facebook and twitter in Germany, will be very afraid and not dare taking any risks. They will rather delete than risk losing 50 million. Soon the population's free speech will be controlled by the EU.

Best Regards,

/Per

12 January 2018 00:00

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2018/jan/11/labour-europe-cautious-jeremy-corbyn-dilemma-come-out-fighting#comment-110730112>

Want to understand the psychology of a traitor? Study them. First Bannon, now Farage and Arron Banks.

Corbyn has always been EU sceptical.

You see, if you want Brexit to happen then you do not want a second referendum, since the default is Brexit with the 23 June 2016 result.

Corbyn says he does NOT want a second referendum.

2018 seems to be the year of the traitor. Who is next? Guardiola?

Anfield on Sunday.

Best Regards,

/Per